Contract No.:	278-C-00-02-00210-00					
Contractor Name:	Chemonics International, Inc.					
USAID Cognizant Technical Office:	Office of Economic Opportunities USAID Jordan					
Date of Report:	August 2005					
Document Title:	'Impact of Trade Liberalization on Jordar Manufacturing and Services Performance, 19 2003' Final					
Author's Name:	Geoff Wright/The Services Group					
Activity Title and Number:	Achievement of Market-Friendly Initiatives and Results Program (AMIR Program)					
	PSPI Component, 'Issues Paper on National Enterprise Strategy Project,' Task No. 531.01					

Final Report August 2005

The author's views expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect the views of the United States Agency for International Development or the United States Government.

Impact of Trade Liberalization on Jordanian Manufacturing and Services Performance, 1994-2003

Data Page	
Name of Component:	PSPI
Author:	Geoff Wright/The Services Group
Practice Area:	Trade and Investment
Service Offering:	N/A
List of Key Words Contained in Report:	Trade liberalization, imports, WTO accession, tariffs, free trade agreements, manufacturers, services

Abstract

Part I of the report examines Jordan's trade liberalization path from the early 1990s under World Bank support through WTO accession to implementation of free trade agreements with major trading partners. Part II of the report compares changes in the source and composition of imports with tariff reductions. Part III of the report examines key performance indicators of 80 manufacturing and 55 service subsectors over the period 1994-2003 using Department of Statistics survey data.

Table of Contents

Data Page	ii
Abstract	
Abstract	
Table of Contents	. iv
List of Tables	
List of Figures	. vi
Acronyms	viii
Executive Summary	
Introduction	
Part I Path of Trade Liberalization in Jordan	20
1.1 Empirical Observations on Trade Liberalization	20
1.2 Elements of Trade Liberalization in Jordan	22
1.2.1 Tariff Exemptions	23
1.2.2 Import Licensing	
1.2.3 Tariff Liberalization Targets	
1.2.4 WTO Accession	
1.2.5 Export Subsidies	
1.2.6 Price Controls	
1.3 Evolution of Tariff Reductions	
1.3.1 WTO Accession Tariff Reduction Program 2000 – 2010	
1.3.2 Jordan's Other Free Trade Agreements	32
1.3.2.1 European Union Association Agreement	32
1.3.2.2. Jordan-US Free Trade Agreement	34
1.3.2.3 Greater Arab Free Trade Area	35
1.3.2.4 Other Bilateral Trade Agreements	36
Part II Impact on Imports	
2.1 Modeling Import Demand in Jordan	
2.2 Imports Classified by End Use Over Time	
2.3 Imports by Regional Trade Agreement	
2.3.1 US Exports to Jordan	
2.3.2 Imports under GAFTA	
2.3.3 Imports from the European Union	47
Part III Impact on Business	
3.1 Economy-Wide Indicators of Performance	
3.1.1 Sectoral Changes	
3.1.2 Wholesale Price Index	
3.1.3 Total Factor Productivity	
3.2 Impact on Agriculture	53
3.3 Impact on Industry	56
3.3.1 Overview of Manufacturing Sector	
3.4 Manufacturing Sub-Sectors	62
3.4.1 Ranking Manufacturing Performance	
3.4.2 Group A - At Risk Subsectors	
3.4.3 Group B – Adjusting Subsectors	68

3.4.4 0	Group C – Successful Manufacturing Subsectors	69
3.4.5 0	Group D – Other Subsectors	70
3.5 Liber	alization of Trade in Services	75
3.5.1 J	ordan's GATS Commitments	77
3.5.2 0	Correlating Trade Restrictiveness and Performance	77
3.5.3 F	Foreign Provision of Services in Jordan	78
3.6 Servi	ce Sectoral Performance	80
3.6.1 0	General Trends	81
3.6.2 F	Performance Trends of the Main Services Sectors	83
3.6.3 F	Ranking of Services Subsectors	
3.0	6.3.1 Profitable and Protected Subsectors	90
Annex 1	WTO Accession Tariff Reductions	
Annex 2	EU Exports to Jordan Restricted under Association Agreement	103
Annex 3	General Staging Categories for US and Jordanian Exports	106
Annex 4	Selected Performance Indicators of Industrial Sectors (000 JD)	107

List of Tables

Table 1 Evolution of MFN Tariff Reductions in Jordan, 1994-2010
Table 2 Jordan Tariff Treatment of Imports from the EU
Table 3 Jordan's Tariff Reductions on Imports from the US under JUSFTA
Table 4 Growth in Imports 1991-2004
Table 5 Imports by Category 1985-2004
Table 6 Top 50 US Exports to Jordan, 2001 and 2004, with Tariff Changes
Table 7 Jordanian Imports from Arab Countries
Table 8 Jordanian Imports from EU Countries
Table 9 Average Annual Sectoral Growth Rates at Constant Prices
Table 10 Factor Contributions to GDP Growth and Total Factor Productivity (percent)
Table 11 Key Manufacturing Performance Indicators
Table 12 At Risk Manufacturing Subsectors (Large and Small)
Table 13 Adjusting Manufacturing Sub-sectors
Table 14 Successful Manufacturing Subsectors
Table 15 Manufacturing Subsectors with Declining Productivity
Table 16 1994-2003 Economic Indicators of Large Manufacturing Firms
Table 17 1994-2003 Economic Indicators of Small Manufacturing Firms
Table 18 Correlating TRIs Against Performance
Table 19 Sectoral Share of JIB Approvals and Actual Total FDI
Table 20 Jordanian Cross-Border Trade in Services
Table 21 Profitable and Protected Service Subsectors
Table 22 Adjusting Service Subsectors
Table 23 Service Subsectors Experiencing Improved Profitability and Productivity
Table 24 Change in Key Performance Indicators of Service Subsectors 1994 and 2003
Table 25Comparing Key Service Subsector Performance Indicators with Trade
Restrictiveness

List of Figures

- _

Deleted: 94

Deleted: 96

Figure 1 Licensed Bank Discounted Bill and Bond Rate
Figure 2 Jordan's Imports by Region (CIF)
Figure 3 Jordan's Imports from the EU, US and China (CIF JD Million)
Figure 4 Jordanian Oil Imports (million JD)
Figure 5 Imports by Broad End-Use Category (000 JD)
Figure 6 Consumer Good Imports (000 JD)
Figure 7 Intermediate Good Imports (000 JD)
Figure 8 Capital Good Imports (000 JD)
Figure 9 Sectoral Composition of GDP (1990-2004)
Figure 10 GDP, CPI and WPI Indices (1992=100)
Figure 11 GDP (basic prices) per Capita and Agriculture Share of GDP (basic prices)
Figure 12 Agriculture GDP Index (Constant Prices) per Agriculture Worker
Figure 13 Crop Productivity
Figure 14 Industrial Employment

Figure 15 Annual Change in Manufacturing GDP (current basic prices) and WPI
Figure 16 Output, Fixed Assets and Gross Value Added (000 JD)
Figure 17 Output Growth, Profit Margin and Exports
Figure 18 Real and Nominal GVA per Employee (000 JD)
Figure 19 GVA per Employee and Capital Expenditure
Figure 20 Factor Returns per Employee
Figure 21 Elements of Gross Value Added and Employment Growth
Figure 22 Selected Elements of Amman Wholesale Price Index (1992 = 100)
Figure 23 Sectoral Commitments under the GATS
Figure 24 Services Employment and Unemployment
Figure 25 Annual Growth of Nominal Output and Profit - Services
Figure 26 Annual Growth of Nominal Output and Profit - Manufacturing
Figure 27 Output and Productivity Trends in Total For-Profit Service Sector
Figure 28 Hotel and Restaurant Subsector Performance
Figure 29 Business Services Subsector Performance
Figure 30 Post and Telecommunication Subsector Performance
Figure 31 Transport and Warehousing Subsector Performance
Figure 32 Trade Subsector Performance
Figure 33 Banking and Insurance Subsector Performance
Figure 34 Construction Subsector Performance
6

Acronyms

СВЈ	Central Bank of Jordan
CPI	Consumer Price Index
DOS	Department of Statistics
EUAA	European Union Jordan Association Agreement
FDI	Foreign Direct Investment
GAFTA	Greater Arab Free Trade Agreement
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GVA	Gross Value Added
HS	Harmonized System (of tariff nomenclature)
ISIC	International System of Industrial Classification (ISIC Revision 3)
JIB	Jordan Investment Board
JUSFTA	Jordan United States Free Trade Agreement
MENA	Middle East and North Africa
MFN	Most Favored Nation
MIT	Ministry of Industry and Trade
NTB	Non-Tariff Barriers
QIZ	Qualifying Industrial Zone
TRI	Trade Restrictiveness Index
UAE	United Arab Emirates
WPI	Wholesale Price Index
WTO	World Trade Organization

Executive Summary

Overview

This report seeks to compare Jordan's trade liberalization path with industry performance over the ten-year period 1994-2003. Part I examines the reduction of import barriers in Jordan over time and their impact on imports. Part II examines the profitability and productivity of manufacturing and service sectors using survey data from the Department of Statistics (DOS).

It is not possible to isolate the influence of changing trade policy from other macroeconomic and microeconomic reforms implemented by the Government of Jordan since 1989. Price and exchange rate stability restored investment planning certainty for businesses by the mid-1990s. Financial market reform and public debt reductions have lowered the cost of capital for businesses. Terminating monopoly concessions over key infrastructural and natural resource sectors have encouraged competition and productivity increases. Trade policy reform has opened access to foreign markets and potentially encouraged competition and lowered the costs of production.

One factor inhibiting the growth of private investment in the 1990s was the relatively high level of local interest rates. Bill and bond rates on facilities provided by local banks peaked at 14 percent in 1998. This rise in rates in the 1990s coincides with a relative fall in sales, profitability and capital investment revealed by DOS survey data for both manufacturing and service firms.

The report concludes that manufacturing has weathered the effects of trade liberalization and the slow economic growth of the late 1990s rather well. Despite large falls in 1996 and 1998, profit margins in 2003 were 20 percent greater than profit margins in 1994. However, profits recovered at the expense of labor; real wages remained constant over this period and manufacturing has ceased to be an engine of employment growth. Worse, labor productivity has been modest and has not led to increasing exports, except in a small number of subsectors. There are some cases where a relationship between performance and trade protection can be identified – those firms experiencing declining profitability and labor productivity were either protected through relatively high tariffs on competing imports or government-provided exclusive concessions.

Services have not fared as well as manufacturing. Like manufacturing, services' employment growth has stagnated since 2000. This is significant, given that services employ three-times more workers than manufacturing. Unlike, manufacturing, services' productivity declined over the ten-year period by about 15%, and profitability is still to recover its levels from the mid-1990s. Fortunately, due likely to their smaller size, greater start-up ease and their greater ability to change production techniques, services' firms have not passed on their problems to their workers. Real wages increased by 27% over the ten-year period, 1994-2003. Moreover, capital expenditure has generally increased over time and business income tax has maintained a realistic share of profits.

Service subsectors display a stronger relationship between market access liberalization and performance than manufacturing subsectors. Profit margins are more likely to have decreased and output to have increased over time in any subsector the larger the degree of

AMIR Program

liberalization of that subsector. Conversely, currently high restrictions on foreign competition are associated with decreasing output and increasing profit over time. In particular, results suggest that more open subsectors have a more productive labor force and provide higher real wages than more protected sectors. These are important results supporting the arguments for greater liberalization of Jordan's services. In particular, transport is a vital infrastructural service for industry. Current road transport conditions impose unnecessarily high costs on exporters of goods and services.

Part I - Path of Trade Liberalization

Jordan began reforming its international trade regime in 1989 under World Bank assistance to restore macroeconomic stability and liberalize the economy. The import weighted average tariff was reduced from 34.4 percent with 26 percent dispersion in 1989 to 25 percent in 1992. In November 1994 the maximum tariff was reduced to 50 percent (excluding fees and surcharges) except on alcohol, tobacco and motor cars. The plethora of tariff bands was consolidated from 24 to ten tariff bands. In 1996 tariffs on 492 capital goods were reduced to zero, and a maximum tariff of 10 percent placed on an additional list of 218 capital goods. The maximum tariff including fees and surcharges was reduced from 70 percent to 50 percent on all goods including cars, supplemented by a new excise tax on cars. The maximum tariff was further reduced to 35 percent in September 1999.

A number of specific trade barriers that were addressed during this pre-WTO accession period continue to raise concerns:

- Although significantly reduced from being equivalent to 51 percent of total imports in the late 1980s to about 15 percent today, exempting classes of importers from paying customs duties continues to frustrate revenue collection and administrative efficiency of Customs. As tariffs decline, removing exemptions imposes less additional cost on the formerly exempt importers. The government could address exemptions as part of its review of investment incentives.
- About 40 percent of imports were controlled by some form of non-tariff barrier in 1989, generally an import license. Relatively few products today are subject to import licenses. However, remaining licenses act as an unnecessary barrier to trade. Officials are now revising the list of imports requiring an import license. Now is the time for agencies to work together to rationalize the list – based on the agreed principles of health and environmental considerations.
- WTO members have agreed to give Jordan more time to provide exporters an income tax exemption on their profits and a refund of customs duties under a duty drawback scheme. These exemptions forego revenue and are likely ineffective at encouraging exports. As tariffs are removed from all capital and intermediate goods, discontinuing export subsidies should have little impact on export volumes. It is recommended that the policy of exempting export profits from income tax is not continued after 2005 consistent with the AMIR Program's recommendations on investment incentive reforms.¹

¹ Outlined in Duanjie Chen, Reformulating the Tax Incentive Program in Jordan, AMIR Program, 2004

AMIR Program

WTO Accession

Commitments to further trade reforms continued under Jordan's accession to the World Trade Organization (WTO). WTO membership does not necessarily involve greater trade liberalization. The WTO acts as a forum for negotiations to reduce market access barriers, and it also is a dispute settlement body enforcing more transparent and equitable rules for conducting trade.

Table (I) below seeks to bring together estimates of most favored nation tariff changes over the past ten years. These tariff changes apply to imports from all countries. The Government made unilateral changes to the MFN tariff schedule before 2000. Changes made since 2000 have been guided by the WTO accession tariff reduction program agreed with WTO member countries.

	Applied Tariff					Bound Tariff	l		
	1994	1996	1998	Jun 2000	2002	July 2004	May 2005	2005	2
Simple Average Tariff	29.0 %	26.5%	24.4 %	15.9%	15.3 %	12.8%	11.7%	17.4 %	1 ¢
Standard deviation	22.7	21.5	16.4	14.8	15.2	15.7	15.3%		
Import-Weighted Average Tariff	20.8 %	19.8%	16.3 %	13.4%	13.0 %				
Number of Main Bands	24	10	6	6	6	6	6	6	ť
Maximum tariff (not alcohol/tobacco)	220. 0%	50%+ 20%	40.0 %	30.0%	30.0 %	30.0%	30.0%	30.0 %	
Share of tariff lines at 30% or more	NA	NA	NA	39.0%	33.2 %	33.0%	20.6%	23.5 %	2 C
Share of tariff lines 15%-29%	NA	NA	NA	4.9%	7.8%	5.9%	16.4%	30.8 %	с. с.
Share of tariff lines 1%-14%	NA	NA	NA	38.5%	38.9 %	18.5%	16.2%	38.6 %	с. с.
Share of tariff lines that are duty free	NA	NA	NA	17.6%	20.1 %	42.6%	47.0%	7.1%	8
Capital Goods **	4.6%	4.6%	4.6%		4.4% *		9.2% simple		
Intermediate Goods **	22.6 %	19.7%	19.8 %		4.4% *				
Consumer Goods **	35.1 %	30.5%	23.2 %		14.1 %*				
Minerals and Mining **	1.0%	1.0%	0.9%		0.9% *				
Agriculture	9.2%	8.3%	7.8%		4.5% *		16.0 simple (ad val only)		

Table (I). MFN Tariff Reductions, 1994-2010

AMIR Program

3

Source: See Table 1 in Part I of this report. Notes: * refers to 2003 values; ** refers to trade-weighted average tariff.

Two trends emerge from the table:

- MFN tariffs have fallen steadily over the past 11 years for which data is available. The import-weighted average MFN tariff is likely less than 11 percent this year². However, this low average rate hides what has become essentially a two rate structure - 30 percent and 0 percent.
- 2. Jordan appears to have already satisfied its WTO commitments that were to be achieved by 2010. Almost half of all tariff lines are duty free. However, more analysis is required to determine if the current 30 percent tariffs on products need to be reduced to meet WTO targets.

The government needs to carefully assess the impact that the evolving tariff rate structure is having on business. Different tariffs on inputs and outputs can lead to a large range of effective rates of protection on different industries – thus distorting relative investment. See Jim Robertson's report for the Amir Program that outlines the case for Jordan to continue reduction of its MFN tariffs unilaterally. This is a first best policy reform benefiting the entire economy.³

Jordan's Regional Trading Arrangements

Jordan has enjoyed duty and quota free access to the European Union (EU) on its industrial exports since 1979. The new Jordanian-EU Association Agreement (EU AA) provides for Jordan to progressively liberalize its own market to EU exports over a 12-year period starting on 1 May 2002. There is no liberalization of agricultural products entering Jordan under the EU AA and Jordan has delayed effective liberalization of industrial products under the EU AA, as long as possible, starting in 2006 and ending in 2014 for most products subject to the maximum MFN rate of 30 percent.

The Jordan-United States Free Trade Agreement (JUSFTA) came into effect on 17 December 2001. In addition to liberalizing trade in some services, the agreement provides for the gradual elimination of all duties and quotas on all goods traded between the two countries by 2010, except tobacco and alcohol. Goods in Categories A, B and C are already duty free, representing 41 percent of total tariff lines. Goods in Category E (representing 21 percent of total tariff lines) adopt the WTO accession tariff reduction schedule. Over 85 percent of these products were duty free when the JUSFTA entered into force. Tariffs on goods in Category D (representing 38 percent of total tariff lines – comprising consumer goods, and many capital and intermediate goods competing with Jordanian manufacturers) have been halved to 15 percent. Passenger motor vehicles enjoyed their first tariff reduction in January 2005, from 30 percent to 24 percent. The simple average tariff on imports from the United States has already fallen from about

 $^{^2}$ Further work is necessary to determine the trade-weighted average tariff under the new tariff schedule published by Customs in June 2005.

³Developing a Strategy for Jordan in the WTO Non-Agriculture Market Access Negotiations, prepared by Jim Robertston for the AMIR Program, 2005.

AMIR Program

15.9 percent in the base year of 2000 to 5.5 percent by January 2005. The simple average MFN tariff had only fallen to 11.7 percent by May 2005.

The United States International Trade Commission (USITC) forecast the impact of removing Jordanian tariffs on the value of US exports of cereals, electrical machinery and machinery and transport equipment to Jordan. US exports of cereals, other than wheat, were expected to increase by 14 percent over their 1998 values, electrical machinery (HS Chapter 85) by 104 percent, and machinery and transport equipment (HS Chapters 37, 84, 86-91) by 64 percent.

The Arab Economic and Social Council of the League of Arab States adopted the Agreement on the Facilitation and Development of Trade Among Arab States in 1981, establishing the Greater Arab Free Trade Area (GAFTA). Sixteen countries have ratified the Agreement: Bahrain, Egypt, Jordan, Iraq, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libya, Morocco, Oman, Palestinian National Authority, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Sudan, Tunisia, United Arab Emirates (UAE) and Yemen.

GAFTA members removed remaining tariffs from products traded among member countries on 1 January 2005. This is a significant achievement given the region's high MFN rates. The common and country-specific product exemption lists have been discontinued; however, about 400 products are excluded because of security and health concerns. GAFTA does not cover trade in services.

Jordan signed a free trade agreement with Singapore in April 2004. This is not expected to have a significant effect on Jordan's direction of trade. Jordan imported 8.6 million JD worth of goods from Singapore in 2004.

Jordan is currently negotiating with a potentially larger trading partner – Turkey. The government is also considering negotiating with Canada. These new agreements raise the issue of the economic costs to Jordan of trade diversion from the lowest cost producer, particularly China, to treaty partners. The Government needs to develop a comprehensive trade strategy to direct future trade policy. Given that Jordan has already secured agreements with its major trading partners under GAFTA, JUSFTA or EUAA there is little reason for Jordan to continue maintaining import tariffs on products that fall outside preferential agreements.

Part II – Impact on Imports

Total imports have increased dramatically in the five year period 1999 - 2004, by an average of 18 percent annually compared with 5 percent annually in the 1990s. The largest single year of growth was 2004 with a total increase of 42 percent. Accounting for the increase in the cost of oil imports that year still leaves a very high growth rate of 36 percent.

The Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region has enjoyed substantial growth over the past five years and has increased its share of total imports from 22 percent to 31 percent over this period. So, GAFTA appears to have had some effect on regional trade. China has also enjoyed substantially more growth in the last five years than during the 1990s and has more than doubled its share of total imports. Jordan applies its MFN tariffs to both WTO and non-WTO member countries.

AMIR Program

Growth of US exports to Jordan has also increased significantly recently and has enabled the United States to maintain its 7 percent share of total imports. This recent growth is likely due to the JUSFTA. Imports from the EU have grown more modestly with less differentiation between growth rates in the 1990s and the period since 2000. As a consequence the EU has lost 30 percent of its market share in Jordan since 2000. However, EU exports to Jordan increased by 26 percent in 2004, after three years of very low or negative growth. This may reflect some impact of the EUAA in 2004.

Table II. Growth in Imports, value, 1991-2004							
	Average	annual	% Share	of total			
Source	growth		imports				
Source	1991-	1999-	2000	2004			
	1998	2004	2000				
China	16%	42%	3	8			
MENA	5%	27%	22	31			
US	1%	15%	7	7			
Other	8%	15%	33	32			
EU	6%	10%	32	22			
Total	5%	19%	100	100			

Table II. Growth in In	nports, Value, 1991-2004
------------------------	--------------------------

Source: Central Bank of Jordan (CBJ) Annual Statistical Series.

Imports by commodity show a volatile path over the last twenty years. Total import growth matched gross domestic product (GDP) growth in the late 1980s but slowed relative to GDP in the 1990s to the point where the value of imports remained constant between 1995 and 1999. Since 2000 total imports have soared. Even excluding oil, imports increased by more than twice the growth of GDP. Notable increases have been edible oils, chemicals (plastics and pharmaceutical products), and most manufactured goods. In particular, food, telecommunication and electrical equipment, and clothing have consistently grown faster than total imports over the last decade. Fabric, steel and iron have seen accelerated growth since 2000.

Qualifying Industrial Zone (QIZ) growth and the construction boom help to explain the increased imports of fabric, steel and iron, and machinery. Privatization of Jordan Telecom and the establishment of Fastlink, MobileCom and X-Press help to explain the recent import increases of telecommunication equipment. Increases in imports of edible oils and clothing are likely driven by tariff reductions.

Regressing import demand against changes in GDP, relative prices, and estimated customs duties over the period 1984-2004 provides a statistically significant customs duty elasticity of demand for imports of -0.2. This means that a 1 percent decrease in the amount of duty paid to Customs leads to a 0.2 percent increase in the volume of imports. The elasticity is larger than the relative current and lagged price elasticity of demand for imports – neither of which were statistically significant.

Imports under Trade Agreements

Excluding the largest export, wheat, the top 50 exports to Jordan by value increased by 189 percent between 2001 and 2004. Imports of wheat are subject to great variation and

were already duty free before JUSFTA came into effect. Total US exports to Jordan increased by a total of 57 percent between 2001 and 2004, less than total Jordanian imports over this period (67 percent increase).

Non-oil imports have grown faster than oil imports from Arab countries⁴ over the past four years and make up about 40 percent of Jordan's total imports from those partners. Food, plastics, textiles, iron and steel, aluminum and copper represent 24 percent of total imports and have been experiencing strong growth. Products most likely enjoying the greatest advantage from GAFTA-induced tariff reductions are food imports from the region. The region has a comparative advantage in food and commodities that are now enjoying their rightful place in regional trade.

It appears that the lack of significant Jordanian preferential treatment of EU imports and continuing MFN reductions for all countries has led to the declining EU share of total Jordanian imports over the past five years. In particular, EU exports of food products to Jordan have steadily declined. EU exports are now concentrated in higher value added chemicals, machinery and transport equipment.

Part III - Impact on Business

Broad Indicators of Impact

Two indicators suggest a positive impact of trade reform on the economy:

- 1. The wholesale price index (WPI) reflects to some degree the costs of intermediate consumption, and is expected to grow at a slower rate than GDP or the consumer price index (CPI) as liberalization reduces industrial input costs. Both WPI and CPI were growing faster than GDP in the early 1990s. However, WPI remained constant between 1994 and 1998 and actually fell between 1998 and 2002 leading to quite a remarkable gap between GDP and the CPI, and the WPI. This suggests that trade liberalization has reduced inputs costs for industry and forced producers to lower final output prices in line with competing import prices.
- 2. Trade liberalization acts to enhance efficient use of inputs and factors of production, i.e. labor and capital. It is important to look beyond increases in the quantity of factors of production to the quality of factor productivity. Total factor productivity (TFP) measures changes in output per unit of both capital and labor. The World Bank estimates that most of the economic growth of the period 1980-2000 could be accounted for by expansion of capital and labor, rather than improvement in productivity. In fact, TFP actually declined in the 1980s and remained constant between 1996-2000. However, the most recent values of TFP in 2001 and 2002, show productivity gains comparable to the early 1990s. This suggests that economic reforms, including trade liberalization, improved the efficiency with which capital and labor are used in production.

Impact on Agriculture

⁴ The term "Arab countries" is used by the CBJ in its Monthly Bulletin of Statistics; no list of countries is provided.

AMIR Program

The largest relative sectoral changes are in the traditional agriculture and mining sectors. Agriculture's share of GDP declined from 8.0 percent in 1990 to 2.3 percent in 2004. Mining declined from 6.0 percent to 2.8 percent of GDP. Agriculture's share of GDP stabilized at around 6 percent in the 1980s but reduced rapidly in 1993 to a new and stable equilibrium level of 2.4 percent in 1999. It is tempting to conclude that lower barriers to agricultural imports accelerated the reduction in agriculture's share of GDP.⁵

The index of real agricultural value added per total agricultural workers fell from 154 in 1993 to 115 in 1998-99, and subsequently increased to 146 in 2003. Employment in this sector steadily increased thereafter from 55,000 in 1993 to 75,000 in 2000 and remained relatively constant thereafter. Increasing output and constant employment have caused real agricultural output per worker to increase in 1999, and maintained agriculture's constant 2.4 percent of growing real GDP at present. Agriculture value added has increased by an average of 12.6 percent between 2001 and 2004, the highest recorded for any broad sector since 1990.

Impact on Industry

The following observations can be made about industry performance between 1994 and 2003 (the years for which survey data is available): 6

- Nominal ouput of surveyed firms increased by over 50 percent; most growth has been since 1999.
- Real labor productivity increased in two steps (1998 and 2002) by a total of 20 percent over the ten-year period.
- Capital productivity steadily increased by a total of 50 percent since 1997 when measured by GVA per total fixed assets.
- Firms only marginally increased exports as a share of domestic sales between 1994 and 2003.
- Operating surplus as a share of total output for the whole sector was 14 percent in 2003. The average profit margin increased by 21 percent between 1994-96 and 2001-03.
- A weak relationship exists between increasing productivity over the period and increasing profitability.
- There is little apparent relationship between subsectors performing well and their level of remaining tariff protection from imports.

Total surveyed industrial employment increased by 30 percent over the past ten years of trade liberalization, from 109,000 in 1994 to a constant 140,000 since 2001. This is a tremendous result given early fears of a collapse of manufacturing employment following trade liberalization. Despite the healthy increase, surveyed industrial employment just kept up with growth of the labor force, maintaining an approximate 11 percent share of

⁵ The correlation coefficient between the per capita GDP and agriculture's share of GDP is -0.84 between 1979 and 2003.

⁶ The Department of Statistics, in its annual survey of industry, surveys oil and gas extraction, mining and quarrying, all manufacturing sectors and electricity, gas, steam and hot water supply.

AMIR Program

the economically active population.⁷ This was insufficient to have much impact on the unemployment rate.

Gross output (total sales) has generally grown at a slower rate than employment but has accelerated since 2000. This has led to modest overall growth in gross value added per worker, growth was concentrated in 2002 and 2003. Increasing GVA per employer is a measure of productivity – suggesting that capital per worker has increased or that capital and/or labor are being used more efficiently. This increase in 2002-03 is reflected in the positive value for total factor productivity value measured by the World Bank in 2001 and 2002.

Exports have grown but remain a remarkably steady 15 percent of domestic sales. Export growth in new growth areas such as apparel and pharmaceuticals has been offset by a lack of export growth in the traditional sectors of fertilizers and minerals.

Gross profit, measured by operating surplus in the survey, has followed a more volatile path with large losses in 1996 and 1998 followed by a significant rebound starting in 2000. Aggregate operating surplus almost doubled between 1999 and 2003. Operating margins have increased from 7.2 percent in 1996 to 13.5 percent in 2003.

Capital expenditure has slowed since 1997 resulting in constant total fixed assets since that year. This has increased the efficient use of plant and equipment.

How has value added been distributed between capital, labor and society?

- 1. Real compensation per worker has remained constant over the ten-year period, peaking at 2,037 JD in 1999. This is a real net increase of just 3.2 percent over the entire ten-year period.
- 2. Operating surplus per employee has shown the opposite trend: steadily declined by a total of 18 percent between 1995 and 1999 before increasing significantly by a total of 70 percent by 2003.
- 3. Operating surplus as a share of total fixed assets (return on assets) increased by 33 percent over the ten-year period to a significant 33.8 percent of total fixed assets. However, the total return on assets was as low as 14.2 percent in 1997.
- 4. Business income tax has increased but in a very inefficient manner. While operating surplus was declining in 1994-96 tax collections continued to increase. Total tax collections even surpassed total operating surplus in 1996. Thereafter, taxation has not kept pace with rising profits.

Therefore, the large increase in the average operating margin has been at the expense of both employee compensation and capital expenditure. The current increasing labor force will likely sustain low real wages, however, short-term profit taking will eventually likely reduce total factor productivity.

These results raise a number of general concerns:

⁷ Economically active population represents those persons 15 years of age and over who are either employed or unemployed and seeking work. Therefore children, students, retired persons and those unable to work are excluded.

AMIR Program

- 1. Constant average employee compensation has not accelerated employment growth.
- 2. The large rise in profitability has not been accompanied by similar increases in taxes on production as measured by DOS.
- 3. Fixed assets are being depreciated, which will eventually impact negatively on output.

Group A - At Risk Manufacturing Subsectors

The group of subsectors experiencing falling profitability and productivity is very small only five large subsectors and seven small subsectors out of a total of 80 subsectors surveyed. Arguably all the large subsectors are capital-intensive industries relying on economies of scale for efficient production. The only one of these industries in which Jordan likely has a natural comparative advantage is the manufacture of fertilizer. This is the largest industry in Jordan outside electricity and is controlled by the Jordan Phosphate Mining Company with its exclusive right to extract and process phosphate. T

				%	%		
				Cha	Chang		
	2003	%	%	nge	e		
	Outp	Cha	2003	Profi	GVA	%	
	ut	nge	Profit	t	per	Export	2002
	(000	Outp	Margi	Mar	Emplo	Growt	Tariff
Subsector	JD)	ut	n	gin	yee	h	%
Manufacture bodies for motor		-	20%	63%	-1%	-	30%
Manufacture of fertilizers and		1	-1%	-	-46%	-	5%
Manufacture of pulp, paper and		-	6%	-	-43%	56%	3-
Manufacture of dairy products		113	4%	-	-37%	3%	5-
Manufacture of soft drinks;		18%	0%	-	-23%	1%	30%
Tanning and dressing of leather		-	-2%	-	-38%	-81%	10%
Manufacture of plastics in primary		-	6%	-	-34%	2%	20%
Manufacture of tanks, reservoirs		14%	7%	1	-29%	-2%	30%
Manufacture of knitted and		-	9%	-	9%	2%	20%
Quarrying of stone, sand and clay		-	19%	-	-8%	4%	30%
Manufacture of footwear		1	16%	1	-9%	60%	30%
Manufacture of structural non-		1%	11%	-	-24%	5%	30%
Total Industry	4,335	58%	14%	21%	35%	6%	
Source: Derived from DOS Annual Industry Survey results 1994-2003.							

Source: Derived from DOS Annual Industry Survey results 1994-2003.

Adjustment has been harder on smaller firms. Half of the group has not recovered their level of 1994 profitability. However, only two industries had average operating losses, i.e. negative average profitability in the period 2001-2003. Facing a 10 percent tariff by 2002, leather tanning has lost most of its output, profits and export sales. The tanning industry is monopolized by Jordan Tanning Company that enjoys a concession until 1998. The other subsectors enjoy relatively high tariff protection against imports.

• Pulp and paper production in Jordan has steadily declined since 1994. Jordanian production is suffering from imports subject to the low tariff range of 3 percent-10 percent. Imports have increased from 43 million to 86 million JD.⁸

• Output in the dairy industry has increased by 113 percent over the ten-year period while profitability and productivity have fallen. Capital expenditure has also been increasing over the last three years. As tariffs on dairy products continue to decline there will likely be domestic producers exiting this industry. Dairy product imports have increased from 31 million JD to 77 million JD over this period.

• Competition from beverage imports is driving down prices and thus productivity and profitability in these food subsectors. Imports of flavored sweetened beverages increased from 144,000 JD in 1994 to an incredible 18.4 million JD in 2003.

Group B - Adjusting Manufacturing Subsectors

Table IV lists subsectors that experienced declining and/or low profits while increasing output and efficiency. This group of industries has reacted to increasing competition from imports by increasing output and employee productivity, but has not recovered its former level of profitability.

			%	%	%		
			200	Cha	Chan		
		%	3	nge	ge	%	
	2003	Cha	Prof	Profi	GVA	Exp	
	Output	nge	it	t	per	ort	2002
	(000	Outp	Mar	Mar	Empl	Gro	Tariff
Subsector	JD)	ut	gin	gin	oyee	wth	%
Production, processing and		337		-			
preserving of meat and products	89,813	%	12%	38%	128%	5%	30%
Production, collection and	291,24			-			mono
distribution of electricity	0	92%	9%	39%	33%	0%	poly
Manufacture of articles of concrete,				-			
cement and plaster	88,308	79%	12%	28%	45%	2%	30%
Manufacture of domestic appliances		-		-			5-
n.e.c.	22,678	18%	10%	21%	23%	21%	30%
	110,93			-			
Manufacture of bakery products	3	73%	14%	12%	22%	1%	30%
	216,15	101		-			70-
Manufacture of tobacco products	6	%	2%	46%	63%	23%	100%
				-			0-
Printing	36,834	67%	9%	15%	70%	11%	30%
Cutting, shaping and finishing of							
stone	44,665	38%	20%	-6%	-8%	14%	30%
Manufacture of grain mill products	86,513	112	6%	-	6%	1%	0-

Table IV. Adjusting Industrial Subsectors 1994-2003

⁸ These import figures include articles made of paper such as tissues that are not included in the manufacture of pulp and paperboard category.

AMIR Program

		%		13%			10%
Manufacture of other food products							0-
n.e.c.	47,053	46%	12%	0%	-19%	21%	30%
Manufacture of basic precious and							20-
non-ferrous metals	33,314	77%	22%	17%	-35%	43%	30%
	4,335,						
Total Industry	479	58%	14%	21%	35%	6%	

Impact of Trade Liberalization on Jordanian Manufacturing and Services Performance 1994-2003

Source: Derived from DOS Annual Industry Survey results 1994-2003.

Some productivity increases have been significant: meat products, tobacco and printing have been able to improve value added per employee by between 63 percent and 128 percent between 1994 and 2003. However, price competition has likely impacted negatively on their profit levels. Gold manufacturers are not struggling but are included because of their decline in productivity. These firms appear to have increased employment more than output value in order to expand export production under the Generalised System of Preferences and the JUSFTA.

Impact on Services

Newly WTO acceding countries, such as Jordan, have committed not to raise restrictions in almost all services sectors. Out of 160 service subsectors, Jordan has listed commitments in all but 47 subsectors. Among the sectors excluded from the schedule are air, rail and road transport, cargo handling and shipping agents, postal services, integrated engineering services, dental and vetinerian services. On average newly acceding countries have committed to the same number of subsectors as developed member countries (about 103 out of a total of 160 subsectors).

Jordanian legislation provides for a large number of specific market access and national treatment restrictions on trade in services. Restrictions applicable to those sectors that Jordan has committed under GATS are described in Jordan's Schedule of Commitments. The two most common types of restrictions require Jordanian nationality for certain professions or impose a 50 percent equity cap on foreign investors.

The Central Bank of Jordan reports aggregated trade in services statistics, transport and other. Jordan engages in a large volume of cross-border trade in services and enjoys a healthy trade surplus. Transport service export earnings in 2003 represented 21 percent of total gross output of the transport sector. Other exported services represented about 8 percent of total non-transport services gross output in 2003. It appears that both Jordan's exports and imports of other services have declined since WTO accession – this is likely due to underreporting of actual values but it may suggest that WTO accession has increased the domestic provision of services within Jordan by foreign direct investors.⁹

Performance of Entire For-Profit Service Sector

⁹ Balance of payment reporting of trade in services is in its infancy in most countries and little can be gained from interpreting these very aggregated results often based on residual data rather than actual values.

AMIR Program

Given its more labor intensive production practices, services employed three times more workers than manufacturing in 2003. Services employment has grown faster than manufacturing employment. Similarly with manufacturing, services employment growth has stagnated since 2000. As a share of the total labor force services employment increased from about 26.7 percent in 1994 to 33.5 percent in 2000, fell to 32.8 percent in 2003. Recent slow services employment growth has not improved the rate of unemployment, remaining at 15 percent of the total labor force.

Real output actually decreased a total of 2 percent between 1996 and 1998 and then increased by 21.5 percent between 1998-2002. However, rising output has not been sufficient to increase productivity. Real gross value added per employee steadily declined until 2000 and has been constant since 2000 at about 6,400 JD. It is likely that the net decrease in real GVA per employee over the entire 1994-2003 period is about 15 percent.

How has GVA per employee been distributed between capital and labor? Real compensation per worker actually maintained a constant level during the period that GVA per worker and operating surplus declined – 1998-2000. The net increase in real compensation per worker was 27 percent over the entire ten-year period. Most of this increase occurred in 1998. On the other hand, operating surplus per worker fell from 2,860 JD in 1995 to 1,638 in 2000, and had only increased to 2,429 in 2003. In contrast to manufacturing, recent services operating surplus growth has not been at the expense of both depreciation and tax, and compensation per worker. Tax revenue appears to be increasing in line with operating profits since 2000.

Possible reasons for this more equitable distribution of the gains from recent growth include:

- Average compensation is lower in the services sector and at only about 150 JD per month is more susceptible to labor arguments to increase wages to cover cost of living increases.
- Services firms may be smaller and employees and owners may have stronger profit sharing arrangements than in manufacturing firms.
- The service sector receives less tax incentives from the government, and so an increase in profits will be taxed.
- Capital investment has not declined over the ten-year liberalization period. Total fixed assets per worker have increased from 7,000 to 8,000 JD between 1994 and 2004.

In summary, recent performance of the services sector raises the following concerns:

- 1. Productivity (real GVA per employee) deteriorated throughout the 1990s, and has reached a new lower equilibrium level, despite real output growth since 1998.
- 2. Real compensation per worker has stagnated since 1998 with little significant gain in employment.
- 3. Profit margins fell significantly in the 1990s but have only recovered about two-thirds of these losses since 2000.

Performance and Liberalization

AMIR Program

A trade in services trade restrictive index (TRI) was derived for this study to indicate the extent to which Jordanian policy restricts trade in services. A TRI of 1 indicates total openness to cross border trade and direct foreign investment. A TRI of 4 indicates severe restrictions, generally related to a prohibition on direct foreign investment. Correlations between the TRI and service subsector performance indicators are weak, but the positive and negative signs of coefficients are in the right direction. Profit margins are more likely to have decreased and output to have increased over time in any subsector the larger the degree of liberalization of that subsector. Conversely, currently high restrictions on foreign competition are associated with decreasing output and increasing profit over time.

The report highlights the performance of the seven main service sectors (including the separation of hotels and restaurants from business services) in further detail and ranks all 65 services by profitability and productivity. Table V summarises the direction of change in some of the key performance indicators available from the DOS data for the seven service sectors. The table expands on the correlation between TRI and service performance. The results suggest that service sectors facing more competition due to market access liberalization have a more productive labor force and provide higher real wages than more protected sectors. They are also likely to invest more in fixed assets. These are important results supporting the arguments for greater liberalization of Jordan's services. In particular, transport is a vital infrastructural service for industry. Current road transport conditions impose unnecessarily high costs on the exports of goods and services.

Table V.	Changes in Key	Indicators	of Main	Service	Sectors'	Performance,	1994-
2003							

	Telecom	Banking	Hotels	Business	Construct	Trade	Transp
Operating Surplus per	0	ŧ	-	0	-	-	ŧ
Real Gross Value Added per	+	÷	-	-	-	-	-
Real Average Annual Wage	ŧ	+	+	0	ŧ	0	-
TaxandDepreciationper	ŧ	0	ŧ	0	0	+	-
TotalFixedAssetsper	+	+	÷	+	-	0	-
Net Result	ŧ	+	ŧ	0	-	-	-

Source: Derived from DOS annual services survey.

Note: Symbols are in bold to show stronger movement in the indicated direction.

Group A - Profitable and Protected Service Subsectors¹⁰

Table VI lists those individual subsectors with high profit margins that have not improved efficiency significantly.

Table VI Profitable and Protected Service Subsectors

				%	%	
		%		Change	Change	
	2003	Change	2003	in	in GVA	
	Output	in	Profit	Profit	per	
Subsector	(000JD)	Output	Margin	Margin	Employee	
Freight transport by road	229,929	82%	46%	125%	-17%	
Other scheduled, non-scheduled	299,106	53%	46%	9%	14%	
Retail sale of second-hand goods in	13,458	76%	43%	-19%	-22%	
Non-specialized retail trade in stores	106,111	-1%	46%	-21%	-20%	
Retail sale of food, beverages, tobacco	60,268	88%	47%	-26%	-5%	
Sale, repair of motor vehicles, retail sale	148,431	40%	39%	-28%	13%	
Retail trade not in stores	1,381	-37%	50%	-32%	-63%	
Other retail trade of new goods in	208,551	11%	35%	-39%	-25%	
Real estate activities with own or leased	20,013	361%	28%	-43%	-12%	
Sea and coastal water transport	20,586	-20%	24%	-16%	-38%	
	1004 000	2				

Source: DOS Annual Services Survey 1994-2003.

Not surprisingly, transport and retail trade are the most protected service sectors in the country and have restructured the least. Road freight transport suffered falling fixed asset values, falling efficiency in terms of GVA per employee and rising profitability. The operating margin more than doubled (increasing by 125 percent) to 46 percent in 2003, almost twice the services sector average. Passenger land transport is the third largest subsector after telecommunication and building. No real improvement in GVA per employee while the profit margin increased to 46 percent.

Retail trade has enjoyed similar high profits and declining efficiency. All but one retail subsector enjoyed increased profitability and declining productivity. Specialized and non-specialized retail trade both experienced modest increases in output, high profit margins (35 percent and 46 percent of output respectively) and falling GVA per employee (-25 percent and -20 percent respectively).

Group B - Adjusting Firms – Improving Productivity, Low Profitability

Table VII lists those subsectors that experienced falling profit margins resulting in low profit margins in 2003 while increasing GVA per employer between 1994 and 2003. The table includes subsectors competing with the public sector, i.e. health, education services and postal services, and also construction related services.

¹⁰ Given that real GVA per worker, as measured by the DOS GDP data series, decreased by about 15% then only nominal increases greater than 15% from the survey data should be considered a positive productivity gain.

				%
		%		Ch
	2003	Change	2003	in
	Output	in	Profit	Pr
Subsector	(000JD)	Output	Margin	Ma
Labour recruitment and provision of personnel	291	2347%	-8%	-19
Motion picture and video production and distribution	12,643	635%	-1%	-8(
Advertising	14,910	205%	12%	-51
Veterinary activities	206	187%	14%	-4(
Other recreational activities	7,563	272%	13%	-1:
Transport via railways	8,031	4%	-2%	-8(
Scheduled and non-scheduled air transport	276,159	-4%	3%	-5!
Hospital activities	104,695	295%	2%	-8:
National post activities	9,963	43%	-28%	-2'
Building-cleaning activities	10,389	205%	-3%	-12
General secondary education	56,409	143%	9%	-7'
Other human health activities	7,106	189%	16%	-14
Other entertainment activities n.e.c.	6,018	71%	7%	-6
Activities of travel agencies and tour operators	45,618	428%	8%	-71
Primary education	30,160	95%	11%	-14
Building installation	134,886	454%	9%	-4(
Building complete constructions, parts; civil engineering	311,258	2%	5%	-31
Hotels; camping sites, other short-stay accommodation	113,776	69%	-10%	-14

Table VII Adjusting Service Subsectors

Source: DOS Annual Services Survey 1994-2003.

The two transport subsectors that are almost fully liberalized, rail and air transport, are on the list. Local airlines (dominated by Royal Jordanian Airlines) and the two rail operations in the Kingdom have not increased output over the ten-years under study. Rail operational surplus declined to -66 percent of output in 2000. Air transport services experienced negative or low profitability during 2001-2003 after the intifada and conflict in Iraq. However, both subsectors have each halved their workforce and halved the value of their total fixed assets increasing productivity by 50 percent.

The national post and courier services increased output by 48 percent and trimmed their workforce to increase productivity by 38 percent. However, profit is still elusive. A positive operating margin was achieved only in 1998. The large increase in fixed assets represents a 14 million JD increase for 2003.

Private hospitals enjoyed a 300 percent increase in output while managing to just double their workforce. However, profits continued to fall over the entire ten-year period. Even ignoring an unusually hight operating surplus in 1994, profitability has dropped by a total of 33 percent over the entire ten-year perid.

Private education, both primary and secondary, has enjoyed output growth of 100 percent or more. In particular, secondary teaching has become 30 percent more efficient in terms

of labor productivity. Both subsectors experienced a slump in profitability in the period 1999-2001, but have managed to recover the levels of 1994 and 1995.

Finally the construction sector has enjoyed significant output growth yet profitability has declined while real productivity has likely decreased. Employment and average compensation have been sustained at the expense of operating profits. The resulting operating margins of between 5 percent-10 percent of output may be appropriate for such an industry.

Mention should be made of the hotel industry which has been suffering steadily declining profits over the entire ten-year period. Although, exacerbated recently by regional conflict, increasing new hotel development has led to rising employment numbers and has bid up average compensation. However, modest output growth has meant falling GVA per employee and record profit losses in 2003. Minimum staff numbers per hotel restrict the ability of this industry to improve labor productivity.

Group C - Profitable and Productive Service Subsectors

Table VIII lists subsectors that have improved both profitability and productivity over the ten-year period.

Table VIII	Sevice S	ubsectors	Experi	encing li	nproved l	Profitabili	ty and Pro	ductivity	ć
								~	1

					%	%
		%		%	Change	C
	2003	Change	2003	Change	in GVA	in
	Output	in	Profit	in Profit	per	Fi
Subsector	(000JD)	Output	Margin	Margin	Employee	А
Renting of construction, civil						
engineering machinery	1,458	601%	74%	153%	370%	19
Storage and warehousing	18,541	354%	46%	1107%	255%	14
Activities of other transport agencies	183,434	2269%	49%	44%	186%	64
Renting of land transport equipment	9,219	148%	23%	31%	175%	72
Market research and public opinion						
polling	226	348%	19%	140%	166%	-2
Other service activities n.e.c.	337	831%	22%	73%	108%	1(
Higher education	95,061	302%	29%	15%	90%	13

AMIR Program

Impact of Trade Liberalization on Jordanian Manufacturing and Services Performance 1994-2003
--

Cargo/passenger handling/brokerage services facilities	191,529	190%	47%	42%	86%	-4
Architectural, engineering activities, related consultancy	34,646	105%	26%	29%	80%	54
Business and management consultancy activities	5,676	336%	31%	37%	59%	36
Restaurants, bars and canteens	125,369	48%	21%	13%	58%	2(

Source: DOS Annual Services Survey 1994-2003.

Some of the improvement is due to regulatory liberalization, e.g. in higher education and warehousing. Servicing booming sectors is a more likely reason for their success, e.g. the rising volume of international trade. Activities of other transport agencies include freight forwarders and customs brokers, renting of land transport equipment, storage and warehousing. Output has grown in response to the increasing volume of international trade. Architecutural and engineering services and the renting of construction machinery and has benefited from the housing boom.

Introduction

This report seeks to compare Jordan's trade liberalization path with industry performance over the ten-year period 1994-2003. Part I examines the reduction of import barriers in Jordan over time and their impact on imports. Part II examines the profitability and productivity of manufacturing and service sectors using survey data from the Department of Statistics. The report serves a number of purposes:

- 1. It has been over 15 years since the Government began to lower customs duties and over five years since Jordan joined the World Trade Organization (WTO). The Government is negotiating new trade liberalization measures, bilaterally with Turkey and Canada, and multilaterally within the WTO Doha Round. It is useful to look back over the performance of industry responding to these major structural changes in order to gauge the readiness of industry for further trade liberalization, and to start planning future policies.
- 2. A new policy monitoring agency, the Jordan Authority for Enterprise Development (JAED), is being currently established by the Government. JAED will review enterprise, investment and trade policy. One of its first tasks will be to develop the National Agenda goals into specific strategies, in particular, setting the direction for trade policy.
- 3. The Foreign Trade Policy Directorate of the Ministry of Industry and Trade (MIT) is working with the United Nations Conference of Trade and Development (UNCTAD) to examine trade in services and support Jordanian service exporters. This report provides some background on the impact of liberalization of trade in services on Jordanian service providers.
- 4. Finally, the report raises a number of issues that could be studied by JAED and other policy makers in Jordan. The report also identifies information gaps that MIT could research before it works with the WTO on Jordan's Trade Policy Review next year.

It is not possible to isolate the influence of changing trade policy from other macroeconomic and microeconomic reforms implemented by the Government since 1989. Price and exchange rate stability provided considerable investment planning certainty to businesses by the mid-1990s. Financial market reform and public debt reductions have lowered the cost of capital for businesses. Terminating monopoly concessions over key infrastructural and natural resource sectors have encouraged competition and productivity increases. Trade policy reform has added to these reforms by increasing competition in the goods and services sectors and increasing access to foreign markets.

This report does not trace the effects of all the different policy reforms implemented since 1989. Readers are referred to various World Bank and International Monetary Fund (IMF) country reports on Jordan for a discussion of these reforms. This study takes advantage of ten years of Department of Statistics survey data to examine the extent to which import liberalization has increased productivity in Jordanian manufacturing and service industries.

Part I Path of Trade Liberalization in Jordan

1.1 Empirical Observations on Trade Liberalization

The gains from trade openness arise from countries trading goods and services based on their comparative advantage. That is, countries produce and export goods using their relatively abundant resources and factors of production, i.e. least cost resources. Trade barriers reduce the net gain to each trading partner. However, tariffs and quantitative restrictions have been used historically by all countries to raise revenue and protect infant or sensitive industries. Experience has shown that countries can benefit from trade liberalization while raising revenue and supporting industry by other non-trade distorting means. The trade liberalization adjustment path involves short-term costs in order to gain the long-term benefits.

- Costs of Liberalization: As import barriers are lowered domestic import competing producers face downward pressure on profits and sales. Unless the producer can find new protected markets or substantially improve productivity through investment in new production techniques, returns to factors of production, i.e. workers and capital, will be under downward pressure. Capital and labor will eventually leave the industry.
- 2) Consumption Benefits of Liberalization: Consumers enjoy lower prices (and increased quality and variety of products) and thus derive an increase in their disposable income. They expand their consumption of other goods and services. Local producers of these goods and services expand their sales.
- 3) Production Benefits of Liberalization: Firms relying on imported inputs and services competing with imported services enjoy lower production costs. Moreover, depending on the extent of decline in import-competing industries, the cost of labor and capital may adjust downwards. Firms working in areas of comparative advantage to the country can hire the displaced capital and labor for more productive purposes. Finally, greater access to foreign markets boosts sales and profits.

The WTO recently commissioned a literature review of the impact of trade liberalization, whose findings are useful to repeat:¹¹

 Existing studies find that the benefits from trade exceed adjustment costs not only in the long run, where the cost to benefit ratio is estimated to be lower than four percent, but even during the adjustment period. A World Bank study of the impact of trade liberalization in developing countries found that manufacturing employment was actually higher in eight out of nine countries studied during and only one year after the liberalization period.¹²

¹¹ Marc Bacchetta and Marion Jansen, *Adjusting to Trade Liberalization*, Special Study 7, WTO, April 2003.

¹² Demetrios Papageorgiou, Armeane Choksi and Michael Michaely, *Liberalizing Foreign Trade in Developing Countries: The Lessons and Experience*, World Bank, 1990.

AMIR Program

- 2) Institutions in developing countries can alleviate short run adjustment costs. Flexible labor and credit markets and social safety nets are very important to ensuring a smooth transition.
- 3) Long-term trends in the relative shares of agriculture, manufacturing and services in national output have remained stable over the past 40 years, reflecting long-run patterns of rising per capita income rather than trade openness. The declining share of manufacturing output in OECD countries is mainly due to their relatively greater level of technological change and productivity gains compared with services. Services have enjoyed lower productivity gains and hence higher relative prices. It is likely, however, that the preference for lowering tariff rates rather than barriers to trade in services over the past fifty years has increased competition more in the manufacturing sector than in the services sector.
- 4) More interestingly, those developing countries for which sufficient data was available have undergone less structural change after a period of trade liberalization than before that period. In Chile, Colombia, Israel and Philippines, changes in the share of total value added for nine manufacturing sub-sectors declined in the seven-year period after liberalization more than in the seven-year period before liberalization. The reason for this needs further research and the WTO authors suggest it could be that trade reform smooths the process of reform or that the reform process was well anticipated by manufacturers.

What does this all mean for Jordan?

We can draw at few predictions for Jordan from this discussion. At the most basic level, prices of traded goods and services will fall and demand will rise. Competing local manufacturers will likely remain competitive as long as they can adjust their profit expectations and/or adjust wages and intermediate costs downwards. In the long run if investors and workers will not accept the lower returns they will leave the industry. Price changes on final consumer products will be greatest given their higher level of pre-reform tariff rates and also given that the tariffs are applied to the whole cost of the product. Lower-priced imported intermediate products will lower costs of production and may enable more firms to export competitively.

In summary, we would expect to see wages and profits adjusting downwards in the shortterm as output declines due to increasing competition for rising imports. Productivity is likely to decline with output as employment is slower to adjust. In the long-term new investment in more efficient plant and equipment and production techniques, lower imported intermediate costs, new export markets and slower recruitment should increase output, productivity and profitability. The net impact on real wages is uncertain. Increasing productivity should translate into higher real wages, but the high rate of growth of the labor force is likely to keep downward pressure on real wages.

Looking at the WTO findings, we can expect long-term shifts in GDP sectoral shares to persist throughout Jordan's period of adjustment. However, given the higher levels of protection that agriculture and manufacturing have enjoyed in Jordan compared with many labor intensive services, further adjustments in agriculture and manufacturing output are likely. Secondly, with Jordan's relatively flexible labor and credit markets, short-term employment losses are likely to be minimized. However, the rapid growth of Jordan's labor force will place downward pressure on employment during the transition.

In addition to these trade reform-induced adjustments, industry in Jordan was subject to other, perhaps more influential, economic pressures during the period of this study. The World Bank highlights two of these pressures¹³. Jordan needs to generate 40,000-50,000 new jobs per year to absorb the new entrants to the labor force. This represents about 1 percent of the population – a considerable hurdle for the private sector. Secondly, non-residential private investment collapsed in the 1980s and has yet to recover its 20 percent of GDP peak from 1981. Between 1989 and 1995 it hovered around 5 percent of GDP and only climbed to 7 percent by 1998. In order to attain GDP annual growth of over 5 percent, private investment should rise above 15 percent of GDP a year.





A factor causing the slow growth of private investment in the 1990s was the relatively high level of local interest rates. Bill and bond rates on facilities provided by local banks peaked at 14 percent in 1998. This rise in rates in the 1990s coincides with a relative fall in sales, profitability and capital investment revealed by DOS survey data in both manufacturing and service firms.

Source: CBJ Annual Statistical Series, Table 22, 1990-2004.

1.2 Elements of Trade Liberalization in Jordan

Jordan began reforming its international trade regime in 1989 under World Bank assistance to restore macroeconomic stability and liberalize the economy. The import weighted average tariff was reduced from 34.4 percent with 26 percent dispersion in 1989 to 25 percent in 1992. In November 1994 the maximum tariff was reduced to 50 percent (excluding fees and surcharges) except on alcohol, tobacco and motor cars. The plethora of tariff bands was consolidated from 24 to ten tariff bands. Tariffs on 492 capital goods at the 8-digit HS level were reduced to a three band tariff structure (0 percent, 5 percent, and 10 percent).

In conjunction with further World Bank lending, the Government of Jordan implemented more reforms by the end of the 1996. Tariffs on the 492 capital goods were reduced to zero, and a maximum tariff of 10 percent placed on an additional list of 218 capital goods. The maximum tariff including fees and surcharges was reduced from 70 percent to 50 percent on all goods including cars, supplemented by a new excise tax on cars. With effect from 1 January 1997, the ten band tariff rate structure was consolidated to six

¹³ Jordan Development Policy Review – A Reforming State in a Volatile Region, World Bank, Nov. 2, 2002, 19.

AMIR Program

bands (0%, 5%, 10%, 20%, 30% and a maximum of rate of 40%). All capital goods were to be zero-rated. The 40 percent maximum tariff was further reduced to 35 percent in September 1999.

The Government also eliminated over five different ad valorem fees and surcharges on imported goods in 1997. These additional costs could total over 20 percent of the value of the imported good.¹⁴ After accession to the WTO the Government also eliminated the requirement for consular certification of commercial bills in the exporting country. Jordan committed to the Working Group that any fees charged after accession would be commensurate of the service provided.

1.2.1 Tariff Exemptions

The volume of imports that was exempt from customs duties frustrated the revenue function of duties and emphasized the inefficient and inequitable nature of Jordan's trade policy at the time. In the late 1980s exemptions were equivalent to 51 percent of total imports.¹⁵ In the first eight months of 1994 the World Bank estimated that 30 percent of total imports were exempt from duties. Imports by the following institutions were exempt from import duties (with share of total imports Jan-Aug 1994):

- Government-owned enterprises and departments (about 10 percent of total imports)
- the Royal Court, armed forces, diplomatic corps, charities and international development organizations (about 3 percent of total imports)
- exporters, investors receiving incentives under the Investment Promotion Law, and certain trade specified in bilateral trade agreements (about 7 percent of total imports)
- ten privately and publicly owned organizations under statutory concessions. The exemptions terminate when the concessions expire. Currently the Arab Potash Company (until 2058), the Arab Bridge Maritime Company (until 2035), the Jordan Petroleum Refinery Company (until 2008), and the Irbid and Jordan Electric Power Companies (until 2011 and 2012 respectively) continue to enjoy duty exemptions. N.B. Cars, stationery, computers, air-conditioners, hand tools, goods used for housing employees and consumption goods are not exempt (about 10 percent of total imports).

According to the World Bank, in 1995 the Government removed duty exemptions enjoyed by the first group above, i.e. government enterprises and agencies. The impact of removing these exemptions on the organizations affected was minimized by reducing tariffs to 5-10 percent on those imports where exemptions accounted for more than 66 percent of their total import value. Exemptions from import duties now account for about 15 percent of total imports according to the World Bank.¹⁶

¹⁴ World Bank Aide Memoire, *Trade Policy Adjustment Loan Appraisal Mission*, June 30-July 20, 1995; World Bank Aide Memoire, *Second Phase of Economic Reform and Development Loan*, July 18, 1996; WTO Accession Report, p. 13.

¹⁵ WB 2004, p. 21. ¹⁶ WB 2004 p. 21.

AMIR Program

Given the Government's fiscal deficit and indebtedness, continuing to exempt certain groups from import duties foregoes revenue and imposes higher administrative costs on the Customs Department. Any exemption program leads to unintended leakages. Furthermore, as tariffs decline, removing exemptions imposes less additional cost imposed on the formerly exempt importers. The government could address exemptions as part of its review of investment incentives.

1.2.2 Import Licensing

About 40 percent of imports were controlled by some form of non-tariff barrier in 1989, generally an import license. Many of these licenses were needed to monitor imports subject to quantitative restrictions under bilateral trade agreements with neighbouring countries, or for reasons of health and security. However, remaining licenses act as an unnecessary barrier to trade. Eliminating unnecessary import licenses was a slow process in Jordan despite a new law in 1992 and the drafting of regulations in 1993. After a series of questions from WTO members of the Accession Working Party, the Government committed to reforming its licensing regime and developed a new list of goods to be subject to automatic and non-automatic import licenses compliant with the WTO Import Licensing Agreement. The published list is relatively brief and has been applied since WTO accession. However, it appears that in practice the Ministry of Industry and Trade requires licenses for a longer list of products than has been notified to the WTO.

The WTO licensing agreement seeks to limit the use of licenses to situations where they are necessary and to require transparent and accountable administration of licenses. Nonautomatic licenses are required when goods are dangerous, may affect public security or to monitor quantitative restrictions on imports. If countries want to issue licenses for other purposes then they are to be issued automatically (without discretion to reject) and quickly. Such automatic licenses are for purposes that cannot be achieved in a more appropriate administrative manner. Jordan stated that it would require automatic licenses for statistical and administrative purposes and if required under its trade protocols.¹⁷

The Government enacted a new licensing law in 2001. The law goes further than the WTO licensing agreement by requiring importers that do not need to apply for a license shall possess an annual import permit issued by the Ministry of Industry and Trade. Jordan's representative told the Working Party Committee that the permit was necessary for statistical purposes and applications were not refused¹⁸. However, a penalty tariff of 5 percent was imposed at the time if the importer did not present a valid permit. Members questioned the import permit system. Given that importers must register with the Customs Department and all shipments are processed through ASYCUDA it would seem unnecessary for the Ministry to keep a duplicate record of importers. Research undertaken as part of an information study of the MIT under AMIR 1.0 indicated that the information generated from issuing licenses does not appear to serve any decision-

¹⁷ Report of the Working Party on the Accession of The Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan to the WTO, 3 December 1999 WT/ACC/JOR/33, para.85. ¹⁸ ibid footnote 17, paragraphs 48 and 51 of the Report.

AMIR Program

making or strategic purpose, since after the process is complete it is not known whether an importer actually imported goods.¹⁹

In mid-2005, Cabinet approved a new draft licensing regulation replacing the existing 1993 regulation. Officials are now working on an instruction authorising which imports require a license. If licenses are required for an uncertain number of imports then now is the time for agencies to work together to rationalize the list – based on the agreed principles of health and environmental considerations.²⁰

1.2.3 Tariff Liberalization Targets

In mid 1995, the World Bank encouraged the Government to adopt and publicize a longterm tariff reduction target of 10 percent by 2000. The purpose was "to further strengthen the credibility of Jordan's trade liberalization efforts, and signal to the private sector the future trade and investment environment so that they could factor this into their current investment decisions."²¹ The Bank offered to provide further balance of payments support for this purpose. The Government had already demonstrated at that time its continuing commitment to liberalization by announcing its intention to accede to the WTO and begin free trade negotiations with the European Union. However, the Government was concerned that such unilateral liberalization would restrict its negotiating strength during accession talks with WTO members. The Government did agree to a publicly announced tariff reduction target over a much longer time frame (eight to ten years).

There are few benefits from retaining tariff barriers on imports, compared with the additional costs that tariffs impose on the whole economy. Revenue is more efficiently raised through a value-added tax. Vulnerable sectors are more efficiently protected by carefully targeted and time-bound subsidies permitted within the WTO framework. One remaining reason why many countries retain tariffs is to induce their trading partners to enter into preferential trade arrangements, and so provide greater market access for their own exports.

It is difficult to assess the degree to which the chance of gaining preferential entry into Jordan's market encouraged countries to conduct multilateral or bilateral trade negotiations with Jordan. Given Jordan's small population and low income it is likely that only its neighbors and smaller, more distant countries would enter into a preferential trading arrangement with Jordan merely to obtain greater access to Jordan's market. Furthermore, given that Jordan has already secured agreements with its major trading partners under AFTA, JUSFTA or EUAA there is little reason for Jordan to continue maintaining import tariffs. Economic theory is unanimous that unilateral liberalization is beneficial for any country.²²

¹⁹, *Trade and Investment Information Systems in Jordan*, prepared by Greta Boye and Hana Uraidi-Hammudeh for the AMIR Program, June 2001.

²⁰For a discussion of import licensing reform in Jordan, see *Comments on Draft Import and Export Regulations*, prepared by by Geoff Wright for the AMIR Program, December 2003.

²¹ Aide Memoire, 1995, page 5.

²² For a further discussion of the merits of unilateral liberalization, see *Developing a Strategy for Jordan in the WTO NAMA Negotiations,* prepared by by Jim Robertson for the AMIR Program, March 2005.

1.2.4 WTO Accession

Commitments to further trade reforms continued under Jordan's accession to the WTO. WTO membership does not necessarily involve greater trade liberalization. The WTO acts as a forum for negotiations to reduce market access barriers, but it also is a dispute settlement body enforcing more transparent and equitable rules for conducting trade.

Some of the main implementation consequences of joining the WTO involve:²³

- 1) committing the Government to a ten-year schedule of declining tariff ceilings;
- 2) committing the Government to a set of market access measures for trade in services;
- 3) removing quantitative restrictions on imports;
- 4) removing price controls and eliminating state trading monopolies;
- 5) eliminating export subsidies;
- 6) administering rules for customs valuation, classification and fees;
- 7) administering rules for pre-shipment inspections and import licensing;
- administering rules for creating and enforcing health and quality standards on imports;
- 9) administering rules for enforcing antidumping, safeguard and countervailing duty; measures; and
- 10) administering rules for creating and enforcing patent, trademark and copyright protection.

Of these measures, it is the first two measures that will be the focus of this report. Jordan's commitments regarding tariff binding and trade in services directly affect the volume of trade in goods and services. The report will also address liberalization of agricultural imports formerly subject to quantitative restrictions.

1.2.5 Export Subsidies

Removal of Jordanian export subsidies is unlikely to affect the volume of trade. There have been three main forms of subsidy to exporters in Jordan. From 1980, until being discontinued at the end of 2002, the Central Bank refinanced export credits at a 2 percent discount to the prevailing interest rate. The estimated total value of the subsidy facility was only JD 10 million in 1995, representing 1.8% of total licensed bank credit to the industry, mining and agriculture sectors.

Most exporters currently enjoy two other subsidies: an income tax exemption on their profits and a refund of customs duties under a duty drawback scheme. In 1994 Cabinet exempted profits on all exports (except phosphate and potash) to non-protocol countries and territories from income tax, i.e. all countries and territories except Israel, Lebanon, Palestinian Authority and Saudi Arabia. Although the Government discontinued the Central Bank refinancing program, it is maintaining its duty and income tax exemptions for exporters until 31 December 2005.²⁴ However, the Government is continuing to reduce tariffs on industrial inputs, thereby minimizing the adverse impact of eliminating

Government has to notify the WTO of changes to any of 40 different administrative actions.

²⁴ Note from Jordan to the WTO Committee on Subsidies and Countervailing Duties, G/SCM/79/Add.2, 16 November 2004.

²³ In order to meet these rules the Government of Jordan had to enact or amend 16 laws and approve over 30 bylaws, instructions or Cabinet decisions and implement 60 other policy changes. In addition the

the duty drawback scheme. In March 2005 Customs announced the removal of tariffs on over 240 intermediate goods used predominantly in the garment industry.

Removing tariffs from all capital and intermediate goods will level the playing field for all manufacturers and services in Jordan. The Government is now reviewing current investment incentives. Removing import duty and income tax exemptions could ideally satisfy both WTO rules and economic principles based on empirical research showing the ineffectiveness of tax exemptions to attract foreign investment. However, the Government will have to address the expectations of exporters operating under existing incentive arrangements carefully.

1.2.6 Price Controls

During the 1980s, the Government provided food subsidies in order to address poverty concerns. Declining poverty levels led to the elimination of subsidies in 1986. However, the 1989 economic crisis prompted the Government to reintroduce price controls on basic goods and services consumed by households in an attempt to alleviate rising poverty. The former Ministry of Supply imported controlled foodstuffs at market prices and distributed the goods at lower prices commensurate with household income. The difference in prices equated to the Government subsidy. In 1989 food subsidies made up 7 percent of total government expenditure (3.3 percent of GDP.)²⁵

In addition to the fiscal cost, the IMF noted that the combination of high demand caused by subsidized prices and willingness of the Government to supply any quantity demanded, resulted in reduced demand for substitute foodstuffs. Moreover, wheat and flour subsidies generally benefited high income families who consume proportionally more bread than low-income families.

In response to these issues, in 1991 the Government replaced the direct subsidy with a coupon system managed by the Ministry of Supply. In 1994, coupons were only made available to families with incomes below 500 JD per month. In 1996, the Government removed subsidies from a number of products, including fresh and chilled meat, rice, sugar, olive oil, sorghum and maize. The bread subsidy was replaced with a special universal cash payment from the Ministry of Finance. In 1997 the food coupon system was replaced by its own cash transfer targeted to families earning less than 500 JD per month.²⁶ All cash transfers were eventually managed by a strengthened National Aid Fund (NAF) established in 1986 to provide target cash assistance to unemployable low income families. The Fund activities dispersed JD 47 million to 67,000 beneficiaries in 2003.

In 1999 the following goods and services were still subject to price controls:

- Chickpea seeds (fixed price)
- Lentil seeds (fixed price)
- Fresh cow milk (price cap)
- Yoghurt (price cap)
- Houmos (price cap)

²⁵ IMF, 2004, paragraph 158.

²⁶ World Bank 2001, Poverty Alleviation in Jordan: Lessons for the Future, Box 1, p. 16.

AMIR Program

- Foul (price cap)
- Falafel (price cap)
- Barley seeds (price cap)
- Private health services
- Money exchange
- Certain banking services
- Securities dealers' commissions
- Legal services
- Hotel rates
- Restaurants at hotels
- Tour packages
- Flour (fixed price)
- Bread (fixed price liberalized Dec 1999)
- Municipal water supply (fixed price)
- Electricity distribution (fixed price)
- Natural gas distribution (fixed price)
- Petroleum products (fixed price)
- Cement (fixed price)
- Transport of goods and passengers (fixed price)
- Public health services (fixed price)
- Travel agent ticketing services (fixed price)
- Car insurance (fixed price)
- Medicine (17 percent profit over sales for retailer)

Jordan informed WTO members that its price controls were not inconsistent with MFN or national treatment principles, and Jordan would continue to apply the controls after accession. The impact of price liberalization depends upon the effect that each price control had on trade and competition. Too low a price would discourage supply while too high a price would discourage demand. The fixed rate of profit applied to retail drug sales appears to have led to the peculiar Jordanian phenomenon of a pharmacist on every street corner.

1.3 Evolution of Tariff Reductions

Table 1 seeks to bring together estimates of tariff changes over the past ten years. These tariff changes apply to imports from all countries. The changes were made unilaterally by Jordan before 2000, and then according to its WTO accession tariff reduction program agreed with WTO member countries. Customs does not retain tariff schedules from years before 2000. The two right hand columns describe the tariff commitments targets in 2005 and 2010 made by Jordan upon accession to the WTO.

As discussed above the number of tariff bands was reduced from 24 to 6, and the maximum tariff reduced from 220 percent to 40 percent before Jordan joined the WTO. Since joining the maximum rate has been lowered to 30 percent and number of duty free line items has doubled to represent almost half of all potential imports. The trade-
weighted average tariff has been reduced from 21 percent in 1994 to 13 percent in 2002.²⁷ In particular, the trade-weighted average tariff on intermediate goods has been reduced from 20 percent in 1998 to under 5 percent in 2003. Average weighted tariffs on agricultural and consumer goods have halved.

		Applied Tariff					Bound		l
							1	Tariff	
	1994	1996	1998	Jun 2000	2002	July 2004	May 2005	2005	2
Simple Average Tariff	29.0 %	26.5%	24.4 %	15.9%	15.3 %	12.8%	11.7%	17.4 %	1 %
Standard deviation	22.7	21.5	16.4	14.8	15.2	15.7	15.3%		
Import-Weighted Average Tariff	20.8 %	19.8%	16.3 %	13.4%	13.0 %				
Number of Main Bands	24	10	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Maximum tariff (not alcohol/tobacco)	220. 0%	50%+ 20%	40.0 %	30.0%	30.0 %	30.0%	30.0%	30.0 %	31 %
Share of tariff lines at 30% or more	NA	NA	NA	39.0%	33.2 %	33.0%	20.6%	23.5 %	2: %
Share of tariff lines 15%-29%	NA	NA	NA	4.9%	7.8%	5.9%	16.4%	30.8 %	3 %
Share of tariff lines 1%-14%	NA	NA	NA	38.5%	38.9 %	18.5%	16.2%	38.6 %	3 %
Share of tariff lines that are duty free	NA	NA	NA	17.6%	20.1 %	42.6%	47.0%	7.1%	8
Capital Goods **	4.6%	4.6%	4.6%		4.4% *		9.2% simple		
Intermediate Goods **	22.6 %	19.7%	19.8 %		4.4% *				
Consumer Goods **	35.1 %	30.5%	23.2 %		14.1 %*				
Minerals and Mining **	1.0%	1.0%	0.9%		0.9% *				
Agriculture Wgtd Avg **	9.2%	8.3%	7.8%		4.5% *		16.0% simple (ad val only)		

Table 1 Evolution of MFN Tariff Reductions in Jordan, 1994-2010

Source: Values for 2000-2005 are calculated from tariff schedules provided by the Customs Department. Values for 1994 and 1996 are from World Bank (1996) where 1996 corresponds to the Proposed First Tranche and 1998 corresponds to the Proposed Second Tranche and World Bank (2002). The 2005 and 2010 bound values are from

²⁷ note that excluding alcohol and tobacco products from these calculations only reduces the trade-weighted average tariff to 12.7% in 2002.

AMIR Program

Farhat (2000) in Annex 1 of this report. The weighted average tariff for 2000 is calculated from the WTO Integrated Database, 2002.

Notes: *The weighted average for capital, intermediate, consumer and mineral products is for 2003 (capital goods = Harmonized System (HS) 84-86, 88-89, intermediate goods = HS 28-40, 44-60, 68, 70, 72-82. consumer goods = HS 16-24, 61-67, 69, 83 and 90-97, mineral = HS 25-27, agriculture = HS 01-15) ; ** refers to the trade-weighted average tariff.

The Customs Department published its new 2005 tariff schedule in June. The number of tariff product lines subject to 30 percent tariffs was reduced to below the target number agreed with WTO members upon accession.²⁸

It is interesting to note that tariffs on minerals and mining were immediately reduced to a one percent average trade weighted tariff under the World Bank program. The Government clearly agreed that tariffs on imported inputs for this important export industry would unnecessarily distort their cost structure.

1.3.1 WTO Accession Tariff Reduction Program 2000 – 2010

Upon accession to the WTO, Jordan committed to reducing tariffs according to a published schedule over a ten year period. Jordan is currently half-way through this staged reduction. WTO members commit to a schedule of maximum or ceiling tariffs (called the bound rate), above which they commit not to increase their applied tariffs. Members are free to lower and raise tariffs within this ceiling. Countries are permitted to join the WTO once existing members are satisfied that the joining country has agreed to bind its tariffs to acceptable levels.

Upon joining, Jordan lowered the maximum rate from 35 percent to 30 percent on all but 50 products.²⁹ The most significant element of the program was Jordan's commitment to reduce the number of lines subject to the maximum rate of 30 percent from about half of the total number of tariff lines to less than a quarter. Jordan has already reached its 2010 target. However, the overall tariff reduction targets are more modest compared to the low tariffs that Jordan was already applying in 2000. When Jordan joined the WTO its simple average applied tariff was 17.3 percent, its simple average bound target rate in 2010 is 16.3 percent. Fortunately, the Government is not limiting itself to this modest target; the simple average tariff in 2005 is already 11.7 percent.

Annex 1 sets out the simple average bound rates for each HS Chapter in 2000, 2005 and 2010. The majority of bound tariff reductions were due to take effect by March 2005. The new WTO compliant tariff schedule is dated 16 May 2005 and was published in English on the Customs Department website in June. The most significant average bound tariff reductions include the following product categories: pharmaceuticals, photographic or cinematographic goods, miscellaneous chemical products, and plastic and articles.

²⁸ There may be products currently subject to 30% tariffs that will enjoy further tariff reductions in order to meet the 2010 bound tariff rate under the WTO accession schedule.

These products comprise tobacco and alcohol products.

AMIR Program

- In addition, the average bound tariff rates of 21 chapters will decrease by between 25 and 50 percent of their 2000 applied rates by 2010, including dairy products, inorganic and organic chemicals, cotton, and apparel.³⁰
- The average bound tariff rates of 36 chapters will decrease by between 11 and 25 percent of their 2000 applied rates by 2010, including chapters on meat, fish,

Box 1. Treatment of Capital Goods

The Government of Jordan committed to removing duties from capital imports in the 1990s under support from the World Bank. However, the average trade-weighted tariff has changed very little over the past decade. Approximating capital good imports in 2003 by examining HS Chapters 84 to 89 and excluding vehicles in chapter 87, it appears that the trade-weighted average tariff on capital goods is about 4.4 percent. This is only marginally lower than the 4.6 percent indicated by the World Bank from 1994 tariffs.

It is instructive to take a closer look at Jordan's treatment of capital good imports. The United Nations Statistical Division has created the Broad Economic Classification series to classify traded goods by their end use. Using this classification to more accurately examine tariffs on capital goods, shows there are 1,131 capital goods out of a total number of 6,516 traded goods in the 2004 MFN tariff schedule.

Treatment of capital good imports is complicated by defining many tariff line items by their end use. If the imported good is "imported by factories as industrial inputs" then the tariff is zero, for most other purposes the tariff is 30 percent. There are 138 such dual purpose tariff lines. In addition to these dual-purpose tariffs 241 capital goods had fixed tariffs of 30 percent. These unavoidably high tariffs are imposed on goods such as refrigerator cabinets, weighing machines, drip irrigation systems, batteries, ball bearings, lamps, telephone equipment, and many parts and accessories. While the Government is reducing overall tariffs on capital imports, the number of capital goods enjoying 30 percent protection remained unchanged between 2002 and 2004.

Tariff Line/ Tariff	2002	2004
0%	520	704
2%	32	1
3%	103	3
4%	24	0
5%	42	52
10%	150	116
15%	3	2
20%	24	12
30%	241	241
Total Tariff Lines	1139	1131
Dual-use Tariff Lines (0% or 30%)	138	138
Average Tariff (Duals set at 0%)	8.7%	7.8%
Average Tariff (Duals set at 30%)	11.6%	10.6%

	Table B-1 MF	N Duties on	Imports of Ca	apital Equipment
--	--------------	-------------	---------------	------------------

³⁰ There are 96 chapters in the Harmonized System of Customs Nomenclature. Chapter 77 is not in use.

BEC capital

Source: Derived from 2002 and 2004 tariff schedules using UN

goods classification. Note: Excludes vehicles.

The table shows the breakdown of tariffs on capital goods in 2002 and 2004, the earliest and latest years that complete and usable schedules were available at the time of the analysis. The simple average tariff on capital goods has reduced. The majority of goods are now not subject to a tariff. Assuming capital imports are for industrial purposes by setting the dual tariffs to zero, the simple average tariff on capital goods was 8.7 percent in 2002 and 7.8 percent in 2004.

Since this analysis was conducted the Customs Department has released its 2005 tariff schedule that removes the dual use tariff lines. As part of the Government of Jordan's review of investment incentives it is recommended that Customs identify remaining tariffs on capital goods.

- preparations of meat and fish, fruit and nuts, rubber, paper, iron and steel, and iron and steel products.
- The average bound tariff rates of 21 chapters will decrease by between 1 and 10 percent of their 2000 applied rates by 2010. These chapters include the following goods: products of live animal origin, live trees and other plants, and milling industry products.

The average bound tariff rates of ten of the 97 chapters remain bound at their current applied rates over the ten-year period; these chapters include such items as live animals, aircraft and ships, and fertilizers.

1.3.2 Jordan's Other Free Trade Agreements

The Government has entered into three major regional preferential trade agreements that have lowered trade barriers between Jordan and its trading partners over the past four years. All these agreements eventually lead to free trade areas linking Jordan and the partner countries.

1.3.2.1 European Union Association Agreement

Jordan has enjoyed duty and quota free access to the EU on its industrial exports since 1979. The new Association Agreement provides for Jordan to progressively liberalize its own market to EU exports over a 12-year period starting on 1 May 2002. Industrial products subject to these phased reductions are listed in a series of Annexes to the EUAA. Annex III (Table A) lists those goods with tariffs in the range of 5 percent to 10 percent in 1996.³¹ Annex III (Table B) lists those goods with tariffs in the range of 20 percent to 70 percent. The maximum tariff and surcharge in 1996 was about 70 percent. There were almost no tariffs in the 10 percent-20 percent range.

Annex III (Table B) includes electrical and mechanical machinery, transportation equipment, furniture and clothing. Tariff reductions commence on 1 May 2006 and

³¹ The base rates for the EUAA were based on a unified rate combining 1996 tariffs, surcharges and fees. Surcharges and fees added any value from 3.2 to 20.2 percentage points to the underlying tariff rate.

conclude with duty free treatment on 1 May 2014. Some common processed foods, such as milk products, butter and oils, pasta and bread products listed in Annex II will only enjoy a maximum 50 percent tariff reduction and not until 1 May 2010, again starting from 1 May 2006. No agreement has been reached to reduce tariffs on some alcoholic beverages, clothing and shoes, used vehicles, some furniture and lighting equipment, carpets and blankets, and some food preparations listed in Annex IV.

Agricultural products enjoy no special tariff reductions beyond the phased MFN reductions. Jordan adopts the list of agricultural products in Annex II to the Treaty of Rome in addition to a list of 25 agricultural products listed in Protocol Two of the Agreement.

Annexes of	Approximate	1996 Base	Treatment	Current	Current
EUAA	Number of	Duties	under	EUAA Duty	MFN
(see Annex Two	Tariff Lines		EUAA	Treatment	Treatm
of this report for			(starting 1	(mid-2005)	
list of goods)			May 2002)		
Annex II Treaty	700	5%-70%	No	Same as MFN	0%-304
of Rome and		8.3%	reduction		4.5%
Protocol Two		weighted			weighte
EUAA		average			average
(agricultural		tariff			tariff
products)					
Annex II	50	5%-70%	50%	Reductions	0% - 3(
			reduction by	start 1 May	
			2010	2006	
Annex III (Table	1,800	5%-10%	Duty free by	1%-2%	Likely
A)			2006		5%
	2.500	2007 7007	Data fair la	N	00 20
Annex III (Table	3,500	20%-70%	Duty free by	No change	0% - 3(
B)			2014	yet	
				Start 1 May 2006	
Annex IV	213	5%-70%	No	Same as MFN	0% - 3(
	213	570-7070	reduction	Same as MIFIN	070 - 30
			agreed yet		
Remaining tariff	237	Duty Free	Immediate	Duty Free	
lines	231	Duty Pice	Duty Free	Duty Fice	
Total	6,500		Duty Fice		
10111	0,000				

Table 2 Jordan Tariff Treatment of Imports from the EU

Note: shaded font means that the relevant products have undergone tariff reductions either under the EUAA or the WTO accession program.

Table 2 shows that the only tariff reductions enjoyed by Jordanian consumers under the EUAA related to products listed in Annex III (Table A). This group includes industrial raw materials, pharmaceuticals, leather products, jewelry, some processed foods and medical appliances. Starting from 1 May 2002, the tariffs on these products are being

reduced by 20 percent annually. However, given their low level of base duties these products are already likely to be duty free today under the regular MFN tariff reductions, suggesting that no preferential tariff reductions have taken place under the EUAA to date.

In summary, there is no liberalization of agricultural products entering Jordan under the EUAA and Jordan has delayed effective liberalization of industrial products under the EUAA as long as possible, starting in 2006 and ending in 2014 for most products subject to the maximum MFN rate of 30 percent. There remain a handful of industrial products competing with Jordanian producers upon which no agreement to liberalize has been reached.

1.3.2.2. Jordan-US Free Trade Agreement

The JUSFTA came into effect on 17 December 2001. The agreement provides for gradual elimination of all duties and quotas on all goods traded between the two countries by 2010, except tobacco and alcohol. Both countries are reducing tariffs on almost all goods according to five general staging categories starting from the tariff base dated 8 June 2000. See Table 3 below. The current simple average tariff is 5.8 percent excluding the few tariff line items in the special staging categories compared to the simple average 11.7 percent MFN tariff.

Goods in Categories A, B and C are already duty free, representing 41 percent of total tariff lines. Goods in Category C were subject to 20 percent tariffs but only comprised 5 percent of total tariff lines, including fish, cheese, tea, fabrics, yarns, some precious metal products, wire products and screws, and clocks. Goods in Category E (representing 21 percent of total tariff lines) adopt the WTO accession tariff reduction schedule. Over 85 percent of these products were duty free when the JUSFTA entered into force. Goods in Category D (representing 36 percent of total tariff lines) are being reduced at an annual rate of 10 percent over the ten-year period. To date duties have been halved on these products to a 15 percent tariff rate. Such goods represented 38 percent of all tariff lines in 2000 – comprising consumer goods, and many capital and intermediate goods competing with Jordanian manufacturers. Finally passenger motor vehicles enjoyed their first tariff reduction under the FTA in January 2005, from 30 percent to 24 percent.

Goods competing with Jordanian manufacturers have their own Special Staging Categories I, J, K, L and M. Tariffs on Category I goods are being reduced in eight equal installments until duty free in 2008. Category I comprises the following products: circuit breakers, coaxial cables, ground bovine meat for sandwiches, honey, ground nuts, breakfast cereals, sausages, ice-cream, sweet corn and chewing gum. These are likely US exports for which the US negotiating team requested a faster rate of tariff reduction than enjoyed by their Category D counterparts. Tariff reductions on apple and chicken imports will begin in 2006³². Duties on passenger vehicles will be reduced after 2004³³. Tariffs on alcohol products will only be reduced to 44.5 percent of their base rates³⁴.

³² Staging Categories J and K of Jordan tariff schedule.

³³ Staging Category M of Jordan tariff schedule.

³⁴ Staging Category L of Jordan tariff schedule.

AMIR Program

Tuble e gore	Table 5 Jordan's Tarin' Reductions on Imports from the OS under JOSFTA							
Staging	Base Tariffs	Share of	Annual	Date when Duty	Description			
Category	(in 2000)	Total	Reductions	Free	Goods			
		Products	start on					
Category A	5%	19.8%	2001	1 Jan 2002	Mainly raw mate			
Category B	10%	16.4%	2001	1 Jan 2004	Mainly intermed			
					inputs			
Category C	20%	4.9%	2001	1 Jan 2005	Some food, ya			
					fabrics, wire			
Category D	30%	36.5%	2001	1 Jan 2010	Mainly const			
					goods			
Category E	85% are	21.2%	2001	WTO Schedule	Raw, intermed			
	duty free				capital goods			
Category I	30%	0.5%	2001	1 Jan 2008	Sensitive goods			
Category J	30%	0.1%	2006*	1 Jan 2010	Chicken			
Category K	30%	0.0%	2006*	1 Jan 2010	Apples			
Category L	180%	0.3%	2004	Max 55%	Alcohol			
				reduction by				
				2010				
Category	30%	0.5%	2005	1 Jan 2010	Passenger cars			
М					-			

* Note that smaller reductions commenced in 2001.

Fourteen products accounted for one-half of Jordan's imports from the United States in 2000. Six of these products already entered Jordan duty-free; duties on two tobaccorelated products are not being reduced; and demand for US aircraft parts and weapons is unlikely to respond to lower tariffs. This leaves corn oil, aluminum sheets and radio transceivers subject to tariffs that will be liberalized under the JUSFTA.

As required by the US Tariff Act 1930, the United States International Trade Commission (USITC) undertook a study of the impact of the proposed JUSFTA on the US economy. It concluded that any changes in trade between the two countries induced by the JUSFTA would have negligible impact on the US economy and the only significant trade change would likely be an increase in Jordanian garment exports to the US. The Commission forecast the impact of removing Jordanian tariffs on the value of US exports of cereals, electrical machinery and machinery and transport equipment. Cereals other than wheat were expected to increase by 14 percent over 1998 values, electrical machinery (HS Chapter 85) by 104 percent, and machinery and transport equipment (HS Chapters 37, 84, 86-91) by 64 percent.

1.3.2.3 Greater Arab Free Trade Area

The Arab economic and Social Council of the League of Arab States adopted the Agreement on the Facilitation and Development of Trade Among Arab States in 1981. The Agreement seeks to establish the Greater Arab Free Trade Area (GAFTA) by requiring member countries to lower tariffs on goods by 10 percent each year starting from 1998. GAFTA does not cover any trade in services. The Agreement also covers safeguards, subsidies, balance of payments, definition and handling of dumping. Sixteen Arab countries have ratified the Agreement: Bahrain, Egypt, Jordan, Iraq, Kuwait,

Lebanon, Libya, Morocco, Oman, Palestinian National Authority, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Sudan, Tunisia, UAE and Yemen.

In February 2002, the target date to eliminate eligible tariffs was brought forward to 1 January 2005. The remaining 20 percent share of base tariffs was removed on 1 January 2005 by GAFTA members. This is a significant achievement given the region's high MFN rates. Moreover, GAFTA members abolished a long list of products that were excluded from GAFTA preferences by all countries. The list included: garments, dairy products, ceramics, vehicles, tomato paste, cigarettes, steel and aluminum for construction, and carpets. Furthermore, from the beginning of 2005 a seasonal program that excluded certain agriculture products was abolished. Finally, six member countries, except Egypt, abolished their own lists of excluded products in 2003. Jordan's list only comprised 34 products.

Products can be excluded on the basis of health, security and other national concerns. Members have agreed on a long list of at least 400 products that fall in this category.³⁵ Despite this list the average trade weighted tariff is likely to be close to zero percent.

1.3.2.4 Other Bilateral Trade Agreements

Jordan has also entered into free trade area agreements with five Arab countries. Tariffs have generally been eliminated on trade between Jordan and these countries, subject to lists of excluded products and seasonal restrictions on fruit and vegetables. Jordan signed a free trade agreement with Singapore in April 2004. This is not expected to have a significant affect on Jordan's direction of trade. Jordan imported 8.6 million JD worth of goods from Singapore in 2004. Although, the agreement may encourage the development of an electronics assembly industry in Jordan if a trilateral cumulation of origin arrangement can be agreed between Jordan, Singapore and the United States based on their respective trade agreements.³⁶

Jordan is currently negotiating with a potentially larger trading partner – Turkey. The government is also considering negotiating with Canada. With free access to the largest export markets already locked in, it appears that the Government is interested to gain further access to secondary markets through bilateral agreements. This raises the issue of the economic costs to Jordan of trade diversion from the lowest cost producer, particularly China, to treaty partners. See Jim Robertson's report for the Amir Program that outlines the case for Jordan to continue reduction of its MFN tariffs unilaterally. This is a first best policy reform benefiting the entire economy.³⁷ In addition, the Government should review the anti-export bias of its current tariff rate structure. Varying tariff rates on production inputs and outputs can lead to unintended high effective rates of protection. JAED could undertake such a study to steer future tariff changes. This is important to do now given that the Customs Department has made an unusually large number of tariff changes this year.

³⁵ The list of excluded goods describes each product rather than referring to a specific HS code for every product.

 ³⁶ Egypt (1998), Tunisia (1998), Morocco (1998), Syria (2001), UAE (2001).
³⁷ Ibid, footnote 3.

AMIR Program

Part II Impact on Imports

Table 4 and Figure 2 show how total imports have increased dramatically in the five year period 1999 - 2004, by an average of 18 percent annually compared to 5 percent annually in the 1990s. These rates belie considerable annual volatility. Imports grew by only 6 percent in 2001 and 4 percent in 2002, and growth was negative from 1997-99. The largest single year of growth was 2004 with a total increase of 42 percent. Accounting for the increase in the cost of oil imports that year still leaves a very high growth rate of 36 percent. The row of tariffs below Figure 2 shows the reduction in average trade weighted MFN tariffs over time. The value of 6 percent in 2004 is an estimate of the average tariff weighted tariff by trade and by country of origin under the different regional trade agreements.³⁸

Looking firstly at geographic sources of this growth, the MENA region has enjoyed substantial growth over the past five years and has increased its share of total imports from 22 percent to 31 percent over this period. So, GAFTA appears to have had some effect on regional trade. China has also enjoyed substantially more growth in the last five years than during the 1990s and has more than doubled its share of total imports. Jordan applies its MFN tariffs to both WTO and non-WTO member countries. Therefore, China's accession to the WTO in 2002 did not increase its access to the Jordanian market. The increase in imports in China reflects growth from a low base and is in line with total import growth.



Figure 2 Jordan's Imports by Region and Trade-Weighted Average Tariff

Source: CBJ Annual Statistical Series and Table 2 above.

Note: Import value is based on product cost, insurance and freight. Trade-weighted average tariff estimated from current import value and average tariff prevailing under Jordan's free trade agreements.

³⁸ By 2004 only 20 percent of any MFN tariff was levied on imports from GAFTA members. The simple average tariff on imports from the US is 5.8 percent so the trade weighted average tariff on imports from the United States is possibly 4 percent.



Figure 3 Jordan's Imports from the EU, US and China (CIF JD Million)

Growth of US exports to Jordan has also increased significantly recently and has enabled the US to maintain its 7 percent share of total imports. Some part of this recent growth must be due to the JUSFTA. The average growth rate of 1 percent in the 1990s masks wide annual swings in exports to Jordan; imports from the US fell by 12 percent between 1997-99. Imports from the EU have grown more modestly with less differentiation between rates in the 1990s and the period since 2000. As a consequence, the EU has lost 30 percent of its market share in Jordan since 2000. However, EU exports to Jordan increased by 26 percent in 2004, after three years of very low or negative growth. This may reflect some impact of the EUAA in 2004.

Average growth		annual	% Share of tota imports		
Source	1991- 1998	999-2004	2000	2004	
China	16%	42%	3	8	
MENA	5%	27%	22	31	
US	1%	15%	7	7	
Other	8%	15%	33	32	
EU	6%	10%	32	22	
Total	5%	19%	100	100	

Table 4 Growth in Imports 1991-2004

Source: Central Bank of Jordan (CBJ) Annual Statistical Series.

Imports by commodity show a volatile path over the last twenty years. Total import growth matched GDP growth in the late 1980s but slowed relative to GDP in the 1990s to the point where the value of imports remained constant between 1995 and 1999. Since 2000 total imports have soared. Even excluding oil, imports increased by more than twice the growth of GDP. Notable increases have been edible oils, chemicals (plastics and pharmaceutical products), and most manufactured goods. In particular, food, telecommunication and electrical equipment, and clothing have consistently grown faster

Source: CBJ Annual Statistical Series.

than total imports over the last decade. Fabric, steel and iron have seen accelerated growth since 2000.

QIZ growth and the construction boom help to explain the increased imports of fabric, steel and iron, and machinery. Privatization of Jordan Telecom and the establishment of Fastlink, MobileCom and X-Press help to explain the increases of telecommunication equipment imports. Increases in imports of edible oils and clothing are likely driven by tariff reductions.

Iron and steel imports have risen even further in the first quarter of 2005, likely encouraged by a tariff reduction from 30 percent to 20 percent. Imports of vehicles have rebounded by 55 percent in 2005, partly explained by the first reduction in tariffs on vehicles imported from the US under the JUSFTA.

		Jan-	2000-	1995-		
		Mar	04	99		
	Share	2005	annual	annual	1990-	19
	of	over	average	average	94	89
	Total	Jan-	growth	growth	annual	an
	2004	Mar			average	av
	Imports	2004			growth	gr
GDP at market prices			8.1%	6.3%	16.7%	3.4
Total Imports	100%	26%	19%	0%	9%	49
excluding mineral fuels	81%	23%	17%	1%		
0- Food and Live Animals	13%	-13%	10%	4%	0%	3%
1- Beverages and Tobacco	1%	13%	25%	43%	10%	30
2- Crude Materials, Except Fuels	2%	23%	2%	-2%	16%	6%
3- Mineral Fuels and Lubricants	19%	38%	30%	-1%	-1%	19
4- Animal and Vegetable Oils, Fats,		2507	(70)	1207		
Waxes	3%	-25%	67%	-13%	69%	-7
5- Chemicals	10%	17%	16%	1%	12%	25
6- Manufactured Goods by Material	20%	27%	33%	-6%	11%	89
Textile Yarn, Fabrics, Made-up		13%	65%	-3%		
Articles	8%	13%	03%	-370		
Iron and Steel	4%	84%	36%	-8%		
7- Machinery and Transport Equipment	23%	37%	10%	4%	21%	6%
Machinery for Agriculture, Industry,		26%	18%	-6%		
Constr.	3%	20%	10%	-0%		
Telecommunication Equipment	4%	10%	31%	3%		
Electrical Machinery	3%	13%	20%	5%		
Transport Equipments and Spare		55%	3%	9%		
Parts	9%	55%	5%	7%		
8 - Miscellaneous Manufacturers	7%	53%	27%	6%	16%	-5
Clothing and Footwear	2%	8%	25%	5%		
9- NES	3%	59%	24%	7%	-5%	-1

Table 5 Imports by Category 1985-2004

Source: Calculated from CBJ Bulletin, Table 37.

AMIR Program

2.1 Modeling Import Demand in Jordan

Regressing import demand against changes in GDP, relative prices, and estimated customs duties over the period 1984-2004 provides a statistically significant customs duty elasticity of demand for imports of -0.2. This means that a 1 percent decrease in the amount of duty paid to Customs leads to a 0.2 percent increase in the volume of imports. The elasticity is larger than the relative current and lagged price elasticity of demand for imports – neither of which were statistically significant.





Much of the unexplained variation in import demand is likely due to changes in oil Figure 4 below imports. shows the volatility of oil imports over the past ten years. The reductions in 1998 and 1999 were during oil-for-food Jordan's with arrangement Iraq. Higher oil prices and the end of the arrangement with Iraq led to the significant increase in oil imports in 2004.

2.2 Imports Classified by End Use Over Time

The Department of Statistics has classified imports by end use over the same data period, 1994-2003, used to examine manufacturing and services performance in this report.





Looking at total goods, all broad end-use categories improved in 1994-1996. However, intermediate good imports declined for the next three years while consumer good imports continued to rise. Imports of capital goods also fell with some increases in the last three years. This suggests that

manufacturing either suffered a significant downturn from 1996 to 2000, or switched to locally made intermediate inputs and capital equipment, as consumers switched to imported consumer goods.



Figure 6 Consumer Good Imports (000 JD)

It appears that the largest contributor to the rapid growth of consumer product imports is small motor vehicles, increasing from 36 million JD in 1994 to a peak of 273 million JD in 2000.³⁹ The large increase in food imports in 1996 may be due to the removal of one or more quantitative restrictions on certain food products. Other

consumer durables were slow to increase until accession in 2000, likely because of the significant reduction in the top tariff rate from 40 percent to 35 percent in September 1999 and then to 30 percent in April 2000.

Figure 7 Intermediate Good Imports (000 JD)



Intermediate good imports are larger than consumer and capital good imports combined. Imports of construction goods are negligible. Many construction inputs are almost non-tradables given their low value/weight ratio. Also, cement and iron and steel have been highly

protected sectors in Jordan. The largest share of the 550 million JD increase in intermediate goods between 1999 and 2003 is likely represented by textile imports – increasing 200 million JD over this period.

By 2003, imports of capital goods had not recovered from their trough of 1999-2000. However, recent growth in imports of nontransport equipment has reached new peaks after four years of inconsistent growth.

Figure 8 Capital Good Imports (000 J)



³⁹ This excludes duty-free imports of motor vehicles into Zarqa Free Zone. Part of the increase in 2000 was likely due to the duty-free incentive for taxi owners to replace their aging vehicles.

2.3 Imports by Regional Trade Agreement

2.3.1 US Exports to Jordan

What import categories from the United States have increased during the first half of JUSFTA implementation? The simple average tariff on imports from the United States has already fallen from about 15.9 percent in the base year of 2000 to 5.8 percent by January 2005.⁴⁰ The simple average MFN tariff had only fallen to 11.7 percent.

Excluding the largest export, wheat, the top 50 exports to Jordan by value increased by 184 percent between 2001 and 2004. Imports of wheat are volatile and, as discussed before, are provided under US Government assistance and have not been affected by tariff changes under the JUSFTA. Total exports increased by 57 percent, much more than would be suggested by GDP over this period, but less than the 67 percent increase in total Jordanian imports over this period.⁴¹

The USITC predicted that non-wheat cereal exports from the US to Jordan would increase by 14 percent over the period that duties were removed compared to their value in 1998 (the latest full year before the analysis took place). Table 6 shows that corn and rice were ranked four and five largest imports by value in 2004 and had increased by 1,766 percent and 197 percent, respectively. USITC forecast electrical machinery (HS Chapter 85) would increase by 104 percent. The USITC database shows US exports of Chapter 85 products to Jordan increasing from \$24.0 million in 2001 to \$43.7 million in 2003 and \$45.7 million in 2004.⁴² The total 2001-2004 increase is 91 percent. In particular, imports of transmission apparatus and cameras (HS 8525) and navigation equipment (HS 8526) were sixth and seventh largest imports in 2004 and increased by 222 percent and 13,870 percent, respectively. The 10 percent tariff on HS 8526 products have been removed, while tariffs on HS 8525 have been reduced from the range of 0 percent to 30 percent to the new range of 0 percent to 5 percent.

Total imports of Chapter 85 products actually fell from 253 million JD in 2001 to 227 million JD in 2003 (the latest year DOS publishes on its web by HS chapter).

The United States doubled its market share of Jordanian 8525 imports to 24.5 percent.⁴³ USITC also forecast that machinery and transport equipment (HS Chapters 37, 84, 86-91) would increase by 64 percent. Imports of products in these chapters increased by 109 percent in the first four years of implementation (2001-2004). Table 6 shows that motor car imports from the US increased from \$3.7 million in 2001 to 30.5 million in 2004 – even before the first tariff reduction took effect on 1 January 2005.

⁴⁰ This excludes products in the Special Staging Categories. The simple average tariff for all ad valorem tariffs is 5.8 percent.

⁴¹ Wheat imports from the US halved between 2001-2004 to \$46.7 million, substantially reducing total US exports to Jordan.

⁴² According to the Department of Statistics in 2001-2003 imports of Chapter 85 products actually decreased from 31 to 18 million JD.

⁴³ There appears to be some classification problem with HS 8526 – USITC reports that the United States exported \$10.9 million worth of these products to Jordan. DOS reports Jordan imported 403,000 JD worth of these products from the whole world.

Imports of freight transport vehicles increased by 2,325 percent to \$7.6 million in 2004 under a substantial tariff reduction from 0 percent-30 percent to the new range of 0 percent-5 percent.

Military equipment imports were the single largest import after wheat and experienced the largest increase. However, the military are exempt from tariffs and so such imports are not influenced by the agreement. Some of the aircraft part imports (8803) could have been influenced by the removal of the 10 percent tariff.

A big surprise is the 148 percent increase in imports of furniture to \$10.7 million in 2004 after duties have halved from 30 percent to 15 percent. Such furniture is likely high value so the tariff reduction would represent a large reduction in the transaction value. Vegetable oils are a low value item, but when imported in bulk even a small reduction in the tariff is enough to shift the direction of trade. The 30 percent tariff on refined vegetable oil imports for non-industrial consumption have been removed. Furthermore, the Vegetable Oil Industries Co. Ltd lost its exclusive right to produce vegetable margarine for the local market in 2001.

It is clear that imports from the US have responded to tariff reductions under the JUS FTA. A gravity model could be used to estimate the additional trade generated by the agreement.⁴⁴

⁴⁴ Gravity models predict trade flows between countries based on variables such as transport costs, market size, income, etc.

AMIR Program

Im	pact of Trade	Liberalization or	Jordanian	Manufacturing	and Services	Performance	1994-2003

	Table 6 Top 50 US Exports to Jordan, 2001 and 20	07, with 12	i ili Change	.o	r
					В
		YEAR_2	YEAR_20	%	Т
	HTS_NUM	001	04	Change	f
	PARTS AND ACCESSORIES OF ARMS				
9305	(MILITARY WEAPONS, PISTOLS, ETC.)	80,447	31,389,910	38919%	3
	MOTOR CARS AND OTHER MOTOR VEHICLES				5
8703	TO TRANSPORT PEOPLE	3,724,273	30,491,897	719%	3
		, ,	, ,		
	PARTS OF GLIDERS, AIRPLANES, OTHER	25.737.13			
8803	AIRCRAFT, SPACECRAFT	0	26,603,822	3%	1
0000		0	20,000,022	0 /0	-
1005	CORN (MAIZE)	1 381 194	25,768,656	1766%	5
1005	CONT (WAILE)	1,301,174	25,700,050	170070	5
1006	RICE	6 612 244	19,631,126	1070%	5
1000	TRANSMISSION APPARATUS FOR RADIO, TV;	0,013,344	19,031,120	19770	0
0505		1 506 461	14 512 707	22207	
8525	TV CAMERAS; STILL VIDEO CAMERAS	4,300,401	14,512,797	222%	3
0.50	RADIO NAVIGATIONAL AID APPARATUS AND		10 000 010	120500	
8526	RADIO REMOTE CONTROL APPARATUS	77,882	10,880,019	138/0%	1
	FURNITURE, NESOI (OTHER THAN SEATS,				
9403	MEDICAL, ETC) AND PARTS THEREOF	4,302,390	10,652,637	148%	3
	INSTRUMENTS, APPLIANCES USED IN				0
9018	MEDICAL, SURGICAL, DENTAL,ETC	7,037,373	9,058,089	29%	3
	FIXED VEGETABLE FATS AND OILS NOT				5
1515	CHEMICALLY MODIFIED	4,819,186	8,946,704	86%	3
	AIRCRAFT, POWERED; SPACECRAFT				
8802	(INCLUDING SATELLITES)	1,441,320	8,003,472	455%	0
	CHEMICAL WOODPULP, SODA OR SULFATE,				
4703	OTHER THAN DISSOLVING GRADES	8,005,611	7,949,304	-1%	5
	TANKS AND ARMORED FIGHTING VEHICLES,				
8710	AND PARTS OF SUCH VEHICLES	202,884	7,938,769	3813%	1
	MOTOR VEHICLES FOR THE TRANSPORT OF	· · · ·	· · ·		0
8704	GOODS	312,494	7,577,189	2325%	3
	CENTRIFUGES, FILTERING, PURIFYING	- , -			0
8421	MACHINERY, FOR LIQUIDS OR GASES; PARTS	5.245.005	6,464,900	23%	3
	PARTS FOR TELEVISION, RADIO AND RADAR		-,,		0
8529	APPARATUS (OF HEADINGS 8525 TO 8528)	3 996 840	6,341,125	59%	3
5527	TUBES, PIPES AND HOLLOW PROFILES OF	2,220,010	5,5 11,125		
7303	CAST IRON	426 222	6,264,854	1370%	3
1505	TOBACCO, UNMANUFACTURED; TOBACCO	720,222	0,207,007	137070	5
2401	REFUSE	14,751	6,215,334	42035%	3
2401	APPARATUS FOR PHYSICAL OR CHEMICAL	14,731	0,215,554	+2033%	0
0027		1,551,315	6 040 507	2000	3
9027	ANALYSIS; PARTS ETC.	1,001,010	0,049,307	290%	5
2015	SATURATED ACYCLIC MONOCARBOXYLIC	2 062 672	5 070 (20	10207	5
2915	ACIDS ETC. DERIVATIVES	2,902,073	5,970,630	102%	5

Table 6 To	n 50 US Exports	to Iordan 2001	and 2004	with Tariff Changes
Table 0 10	p ou uo Exports	to Joruan, 2001	anu 2004,	with Farm Changes

					2	
	DADTS OF DEDDICKS FORKLIFT TRUCKS				Z	
0.421	PARTS OF DERRICKS, FORK-LIFT TRUCKS,	1 00 4 02 5	5 702 702	2070	-	
8431	CONVEYERS, ETC.	1,884,935	5,792,782	207%	3	
	AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING MACHINES					
8471	AND UNITS THEREOF;	5,741,811	5,706,259	-1%	0	
	PRINTING MACHINERY; MACHINES FOR USES					
8443	ANCILLARY TO PRINTING; PARTS	347,415	5,452,683	1470%	0	
802	NUTS NESOI, FRESH OR DRIED	3,174,698	5,134,643	62%	3	
	FLOURS AND MEALS OF OIL SEEDS OR					
	OLEAGINOUS FRUITS, OTHER THAN				0	
1208	MUSTARD	-	5,055,018	na	3	
			, ,			
2907	PHENOLS; PHENOL-ALCOHOLS	-	4,153,655	na	1	
_> 0 /	PRINTED BOOKS, BROCHURES, LEAFLETS		1,100,000		-	
4901	AND SIMILAR PRINTED MATTER	782,361	3,633,546	364%	0	
4701	EXPORTS OF ARTICLES DONATED, NESOI;	702,501	5,055,540	50+70	0	
9802	ARTICLES EXPORTED AND RETURNED,	480,838	3,583,917	645%		
9602	MECHANICAL APPLIANCES FOR DISPERSING	400,030	5,565,917	04370	0	
0424		129 247	2 495 712	71407		
8424	LIQUID OR POWDER; PARTS THEREOF	428,347	3,485,713	714%	3	
0.420	SELF-PROPELLED BULLDOZERS,	100 700	0.040 (74	05410	0	
8429	ANGLEDOZERS, GRADERS, ETC.	122,720	3,240,674	2541%	0	
	POLYMERS OF VINYL CHLORIDE, OTHER				5	
3904	HALOGENATED OLEFINS, IN PRIMARY FORMS	87,040	3,143,870	3512%	3	
	SPECIAL PURPOSE MOTOR VEHICLES, NESOI,				0	
8705	INCLUDING WRECKERS, ETC.	-	3,121,829	na	1	
	OSCILLOSCOPES, SPECTRUM ANALYZERS				0	
9030	FOR MEASURING ELECTRICITY; PARTS	777,611	3,119,980	301%	1	
	SEEDS, FRUIT AND SPORES, OF A KIND USED					
1209	FOR SOWING	1,768,094	3,019,923	71%	5	
	ORTHOPEDIC APPLIANCES; HEARING AIDS;					
9021	PARTS ETC.	2,459,777	2,901,025	18%	0	
	BOMBS, GRENADES, TORPEDOES,				5	
9306	PROJECTILES, ETC; PARTS THEREOF	1.733.750	2,832,896	63%	3	
		,,))		1	
1	PARTS AND ACCESSORIES FOR MOTOR				_	
8708	VEHICLES		2,770,517	134%	3	
0,00	MECHANICAL APPLIANCES HAVING		_,,,0,017	10170		
	INDIVIDUAL FUNCTIONS, NESOI; PARTS				0	
8479	THEREOF	662,862	2,641,174	298%	3	
17	MEDICAMENTS (EXCEPT VACCINES ETC.,	002,002	2,071,174	270 /0	0	
3004	BANDAGES OR PHARMACEUTICALS)	2 560 177	2 620 590	2%	5	
3004	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2,309,177	2,620,580	270	5	
7606	ALUMINUM PLATES, SHEETS AND STRIP,	1 906 065	2 514 069	2201		
7606	OVER 0.2 MM (0.0079 IN.) THICK	1,890,965	2,514,068	33%	2	
0.410	REFRIGERATORS, FREEZERS AND SUCH	2 4 6 4 7 1 7	0.510.000	200	0	
8418	EQUIPMENT; HEAT PUMPS NESOI, PARTS	3,464,512	2,510,929	-28%	3	

			1	<u> </u>	
CHEESE AND CURD	161,681	2,497,109	1444%	2	
X-RAY ETC. APPARATUS, HIGH TENSION				0	
GENERATORS ETC.; PARTS, ACCESSORIES	1,576,567	2,442,239	55%	1	
PUMPS FOR LIQUIDS; LIQUID ELEVATORS;				0	
PARTS THEREOF	1,546,245	2,292,753	48%	3	
TURBOJETS, TURBOPROPELLERS AND OTHER					
GAS TURBINES, AND PARTS THEREOF	2,187,657	2,252,541	3%	0	
AIR OR VACUUM PUMPS, COMPRESSORS,				0	
FANS; VENTILATING HOODS; PARTS	2,250,211	2,217,167	-1%	3	
	· · ·			0	
FOOD PREPARATIONS NESOI	2,739,016	2,179,737	-20%	8	
				2	
WORN CLOTHING AND OTHER WORN				-	
TEXTILE ARTICLES	1,235,617	2,088,023	69%	3	
AIRCRAFT LAUNCHING GEAR; GROUND				0	
FLYING TRAINERS; PARTS THEREOF	113,013	2,072,149	1734%	1	
	123,813,7	351,188,14			
Subtotal	15	0	184%		
	86,722,58				
WHEAT AND MESLIN	5	46,709,149	-46%	0	
	339,129,4	531,415,44			
TOTAL Imports from US	51	6	57%		
	X-RAY ETC. APPARATUS, HIGH TENSION GENERATORS ETC.; PARTS, ACCESSORIES PUMPS FOR LIQUIDS; LIQUID ELEVATORS; PARTS THEREOF TURBOJETS, TURBOPROPELLERS AND OTHER GAS TURBINES, AND PARTS THEREOF AIR OR VACUUM PUMPS, COMPRESSORS, FANS; VENTILATING HOODS; PARTS FOOD PREPARATIONS NESOI WORN CLOTHING AND OTHER WORN TEXTILE ARTICLES AIRCRAFT LAUNCHING GEAR; GROUND FLYING TRAINERS; PARTS THEREOF Subtotal WHEAT AND MESLIN	X-RAYETC.APPARATUS, HIGHTENSION GENERATORS ETC.; PARTS, ACCESSORIES1,576,567PUMPSFORLIQUIDS;LIQUID ELEVATORS; PARTS THEREOF1,546,245TURBOJETS, TURBOPROPELLERS AND OTHER GAS TURBINES, AND PARTS THEREOF2,187,657AIRORVACUUM PUMPS, COMPRESSORS, FANS; VENTILATING HOODS; PARTS2,250,211FOOD PREPARATIONS NESOI2,739,016WORNCLOTHING ANDAND0THER OTHER WORNTEXTILE ARTICLES1,235,617AIRCRAFTLAUNCHING GEAR;GROUND FLYING TRAINERS; PARTS THEREOF113,013Subtotal123,813,7Subtotal15WHEAT AND MESLIN5	X-RAYETC.APPARATUS,HIGHTENSION GENERATORS ETC.; PARTS, ACCESSORIES1,576,5672,442,239PUMPSFORLIQUIDS;LIQUID ELEVATORS; PARTS THEREOF1,546,2452,292,753TURBOJETS, TURBOPROPELLERS AND OTHER GAS TURBINES, AND PARTS THEREOF2,187,6572,252,541AIRORVACUUM PUMPS, COMPRESSORS, FANS; VENTILATING HOODS; PARTS2,250,2112,217,167FOOD PREPARATIONS NESOI2,739,0162,179,737WORNCLOTHING ANDANDOTHER WORN TEXTILE ARTICLES1,235,6172,088,023AIRCRAFTLAUNCHING GEAR;GROUND FLYING TRAINERS; PARTS THEREOF113,0132,072,149Subtotal123,813,7351,188,14 150WHEAT AND MESLIN86,722,58 546,709,149	X-RAY ETC. APPARATUS, HIGH TENSION GENERATORS ETC.; PARTS, ACCESSORIES 1,576,567 2,442,239 55% PUMPS FOR LIQUIDS; LIQUID ELEVATORS; PARTS THEREOF 1,546,245 2,292,753 48% TURBOJETS, TURBOPROPELLERS AND OTHER GAS TURBINES, AND PARTS THEREOF 2,187,657 2,252,541 3% AIR OR VACUUM PUMPS, COMPRESSORS, FANS; VENTILATING HOODS; PARTS 2,250,211 2,217,167 -1% FOOD PREPARATIONS NESOI 2,739,016 2,179,737 -20% WORN CLOTHING AND OTHER WORN TEXTILE ARTICLES 1,235,617 2,088,023 69% AIRCRAFT LAUNCHING GEAR; GROUND FLYING TRAINERS; PARTS THEREOF 113,013 2,072,149 1734% Subtotal 123,813,7 351,188,14 15 0 184% WHEAT AND MESLIN 86,722,58 5 46,709,149 -46%	X-RAY ETC. APPARATUS, HIGH TENSION GENERATORS ETC.; PARTS, ACCESSORIES 1,576,567 2,442,239 55% 1 PUMPS FOR LIQUIDS; LIQUID ELEVATORS; PARTS THEREOF 0 1,546,245 2,292,753 48% 3 TURBOJETS, TURBOPROPELLERS AND OTHER GAS TURBINES, AND PARTS THEREOF 2,187,657 2,252,541 3% 0 AIR OR VACUUM PUMPS, COMPRESSORS, FANS; VENTILATING HOODS; PARTS 2,250,211 2,217,167 -1% 3 FOOD PREPARATIONS NESOI 2,739,016 2,179,737 -20% 8 2 WORN CLOTHING AND OTHER WORN 2 - - - FLYING TRAINERS; PARTS THEREOF 113,013 2,072,149 1734% 1 Subtotal 123,813,7 351,188,14 1 1 WHEAT AND MESLIN 5 46,709,149 -46% 0

* The lower tariff rate is applied if the import is used as an input into industry as opposed to other uses. Table 7 Jordanian Imports from Arab Countries

untries			
Annual	Share of	1 st Qtr	
Growth	Total 2004	2005	
2000-4*	Imports	Growth	
32%	100%	30%	
36%	39%	12%	
45%	11%	-6%	
6%	1%	-33%	
219%	3%	-51%	
19%	3%	-15%	
189%	1%	39%	
-9%	1%	24%	
2%	0%	-41%	
-23%	0%	-13%	
30%	61%	40%	
26%	43%	25%	
22%	10%	122%	
51%	0%	-7%	
	Annual Growth 2000-4* 32% 36% 45% 6% 219% 19% 189% -9% 2% -23% 30% 26% 22%	Annual Growth Share of Total 2004 2000-4* Imports 32% 100% 36% 39% 45% 11% 6% 1% 219% 3% 189% 1% -9% 1% 2% 0% 30% 61% 26% 43% 22% 10%	

5 – Chemicals	31%	9%	26%
Plastic and Articles thereof	32%	6%	15%
6 - Manufactured Goods by Material	48%	11%	4%
Textile Yarn, Fabrics, Made up Articles	38%	2%	63%
Iron and Steel	118%	2%	-55%
Copper	64%	1%	59%
Aluminum	22%	2%	-25%
7 - Machinery and Transport Equipment	34%	1%	37%
Electrical Machinery, Apparatus	36%	1%	12%
8- Miscellaneous Manufactured Articles	26%	3%	48%
Clothing and Footwear	17%	1%	58%
9 - Commodities and Transactions nes	-3%	0%	1045%

Source: Calculated from CBJ Bulletin, Table 42.

*Calculated as a quarter of total 2000-2004 growth.

2.3.2 Imports under GAFTA

Imports from Arab countries have increased each year on average by 32 percent since 2000 – compared to only 19 percent for total imports.⁴⁵ In 2004 imports from the region grew by a staggering 58 percent. Non-oil imports have grown faster than oil imports from Arab countries over 2000-2004 and currently make up about 40 percent of Jordan's total imports from the region. Food, plastics, textiles, iron and steel, aluminum and copper represent 24 percent of total imports and have been experiencing strong growth. Products most likely enjoying the greatest advantage from GAFTA-induced tariff reductions are food imports from the region. The region has a comparative advantage in food and commodities which are now enjoying their rightful place in regional trade. 2.3.3 Imports from the European Union

It appears that the lack of significant Jordanian preferential treatment of EU imports and continuing MFN reductions for all countries has lead to the declining EU share of total Jordanian imports over the past five years. The average growth of EU exports to Jordan between 2000-2004 was 5 percent compared to 19 percent total import growth. In particular, EU exports of food products to Jordan have steadily declined. European Union exports are now concentrated in higher value added chemicals, machinery and transport equipment.

As Figure 8 shows EU exports to Jordan are volatile. In 1999 and 2004 imports increased by over 25 percent each year while actually declining in 2002 and 2003. By 2004 EU exports of machinery and transport equipment had recovered their 2000 levels. Transport equipment is the largest category of EU exports to Jordan and made a large gain in 2004 to JD 250 million up from JD 170 million in 2003. This increase has continued into the first quarter of 2005. The second largest category, chemicals, have enjoyed steady growth over the past five years, particularly plastics and pharmaceuticals.

⁴⁵ The term "Arab Countries" is used by the CBJ in its Monthly Bulletin of Statistics. No list of countries is provided.

Iron and steel and textiles have generally declined over the past few years. Declining textile sales reflects the preference for Jordanian garment manufacturers to source fabric from China and export under the JUSFTA than compete with Turkey, Eastern Europe and other MENA countries in the EU garment market using European fabric. **Table 8 Jordanian Imports from EU Countries**

Table 8 Jordanian Imports from EU Coun	tries		
	Annual growth rate	Share of total Imports from	Growth 1^{st} Qtr 2005 over 1^{st}
	2000-2004*	EU 2004	Qtr 2004
Total	5%	100%	24%
0 - Food and Live Animals	-8%	8%	-6%
Dairy Products and Eggs	-6%	2%	-29%
Cereals and Cereal preparations	-14%	1%	-46%
Sugar	-23%	0%	465%
1 - Beverages and Tobacco	6%	0%	11%
2 - Crude Materials, Inedible, Except fuels	3%	2%	3%
3 - Mineral Fuels, Lubricants	-13%	1%	-88%
4 - Animal and Vegetable Oils, Fats	-9%	0%	-43%
5 - Chemicals	11%	18%	7%
Medical and Pharmacy Products	14%	8%	15%
Plastic and Articles thereof	13%	3%	7%
6 - Manufactured Goods Classified by			
Material	5%	11%	10%
Paper and Cardboard	9%	3%	28%
Textile Yarn, Fabrics, Made up	-3%	1%	-18%
Iron and Steel	1%	1%	-33%
7 - Machinery and Transport Equipment	4%	45%	39%
Machinery for Agriculture, Industry,			
Construction	14%	6%	49%
Office Machines, Automatic Data Processing	5%	2%	-48%
Telecommunication Equipment	20%	2% 7%	-48% 55%
Electrical Machinery, Apparatus	10%	4%	-7%
Other Machinery and Equipment	2%	4% 7%	32%
Transport Equipment, Spare parts	-1%	1%	52% 61%
8- Miscellaneous Manufactured Articles	25%	19% 9%	129%
Clothing and Footwear	25%	9% 1%	-4%
Professional and Scientific Machines	23% 14%	1% 2%	-4% 20%
9 - Commodities and Transactions nes	29%	2% 5%	-49%
7 - Commountes and Transactions nes	29%	3%	-49%

Source: Calculated from Table 42 CBJ Monthly Bulletin. *Calculated as a quarter of total 2000-2004 growth.

Part III Impact on Business⁴⁶

Having reviewed in detail the trade policy reforms implemented over the past fifteen years, we now examine industry performance during the period of liberalization. This brief section of the report looks at indicators of economy-wide changes associated with the trade reform period and draws some conclusions for future policy reform.

3.1 Economy-Wide Indicators of Performance

3.1.1 Sectoral Changes

Figure 9 and Table 9 give a sense of the relative sectoral changes in the economy over the last 15 years. The largest changes are in the traditional agriculture and mining sectors. Agriculture's share of GDP declined from 8.0 percent in 1990 to 2.3 percent in 2004. Mining declined from 6.0 percent to 2.8 percent of GDP, mainly because of the generally constant volume of phosphate and potash production over the past 15 years. Furthermore, the volume of output of the other component of this sector, quarrying, has steadily declined since 1995.

Growth was distributed between manufacturing, electricity and water, construction, trade, restaurants and hotels, and transport and communications. Finance, insurance, real estate, government and social services remained unchanged. The two sectors most affected by trade liberalization have grown the most since 2000. Despite the decline in contribution to overall economic growth, as noted above, agriculture value added has increased by an average of 13 percent between 2001 and 2004, the highest recorded for any broad sector since 1990. Manufacturing enjoyed an annual average growth rate of 9 percent.



Figure 9 Sectoral Composition of GDP (1990-2004)

Source: CBJ Annual Statistical Series Table 35.

⁴⁶ Note that all values mentioned in this report reflect current prices, i.e. nominal values, unless otherwise stated.

Impact of Trade Liberalization on	Jordanian Manufacturing and Services Performance 1994-2003

1000		1		
		1993-	1997-	2001-
		96	2000	04
8%	;	-7.9%	-	12.6%
6%	þ	3.4%	3.1%	3.8%
14%	%	3.0%	7.7%	9.0%
2%	p	9.8%	5.0%	7.8%
4%	p	8.3%	-	10.7%
10%	%	10.4%	1.1%	4.0%
15%	%	5.0%	7.9%	7.6%
20%	%	6.1%	3.1%	4.5%
18%	%	6.9%	9.7%	6.4%
100%	ю	4.4%	3.5%	5.7%
	6% 14% 2% 4% 10% 15% 20% 18%	Share Share f of 8% of 6% of 14% % 2% o 4% o 10% % 20% % 15% % 20% % 18% %	Share Share 1993- 96 \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$	Share Share 1993- 96 1997- 2000 \$\$\mathbf{s}\$ - 2000 - \$\$\mathbf{s}\$ - - - 6\% 3.4\% 3.1\% - 14\% \% 3.0\% 7.7\% 2\% > 9.8\% 5.0\% 4\% > 8.3\% - 10\% \% 10.4\% 1.1\% 15\% \% 5.0\% 7.9\% 20\% \% 6.1\% 3.1\% 18\% \% 6.9\% 9.7\%

Table 9 Average Annual Sectoral Growth Rates at Constant Prices

Source: CBJ Monthly Statistical Bulletin, Table 51 and Annual Statistical Series.

3.1.2 Wholesale Price Index

Trade reform in Jordan has lowered trade barriers on inputs for industry compared with more modest reductions on tariffs imposed on final consumer goods. Therefore, the cost of intermediate consumption would be expected to grow at a slower rate than the consumer price index (CPI). The wholesale price index (WPI) reflects to some degree the costs of intermediate consumption. Figure 10 below shows quite a remarkable gap between GDP and the CPI, and the WPI over time. Both WPI and CPI were growing faster than GDP in the early 1990s. However, WPI remained constant between 1994 and 1998 and actually fell between 1998 and 2002. Many factors help to explain this decline including an increasing labor force, the falling cost of borrowing and, possibly, better harvests. However, greater competitiveness engendered by trade reforms has likely played a role.

3.1.3 Total Factor Productivity

As previously suggested, trade liberalization acts to enhance the efficient use of inputs and factors of production, i.e. labor and capital. It is important to look beyond increases in the quantity of factors of production, through for example factor productivity, which can be measured over time. Total factor productivity (TFP) measures changes in output per unit of both capital and labor. That is, it measures changes in the quality of capital and labor over time – technical improvements due to training, better management and new technology.



Figure 10 Price Indices, 1989-2003 (1992=100)

Source: Derived from annual GDP, CPI and WPI statistical series of the CBJ. Note: A new national WPI series supercedes the Amman-based WPI series from 2000.

According to World Bank studies released in 1994, 2001 and 2004, most of the economic growth in Jordan during 1980-2000 could be accounted for by expansion of capital and labor, rather than improvement in productivity. In fact, TFP actually declined in the 1980s and remained constant between 1996-2000. The most recent values of TFP are for 2001 and 2002 and show productivity gains comparable to the early 1990s. The productivity gains in the first half of the 1990s likely reflect the macroeconomic instability of the late 1980s which was followed by rapid control over inflation and exchange rate stability by 1992. The return of Jordanian workers from the Gulf in 1991 may have also brought new skills that contributed to the increase in TFP. More work is necessary to determine if the TFP gains have been sustained. However, it appears that economic reforms have started to improve economic efficiency.

	Averag	ge Annual	Growth	Rates	Growth C			
	GDP	Physical	Human	Labor	Physical	Human	Labor	TFP
		Capital	Capital	Force	Capital	Capital	Force	
Period								
1981–85 (pre-crisis)	6.4	6.1	9.4	5.1	2.7	3.1	1.2	-0.6
1986–90 (economic crisis)	-0.9	6.5	3.7	4.8	2.8	1.2	1.1	-6.1
1991-95 (World Bank I	7.0	3.6	5.4	7.2	1.6	1.8	1.7	2.0
support)								
1996-00 (World Bank II	3.1	2.8	2.9	4.1	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.0
support)								
2001–02 (WTO accession)	4.6	2.6	2.6	4.1	1.2	0.8	0.9	1.7

Table 10	Factor	Contributions	to	GDP	Growth	and	Total	Factor	Productivity
(percent)									

Source: WB 2004 Table II.4 page 18.

3.2 Impact on Agriculture⁴⁷

As the discussion in Section 1.1 of this report highlighted, the WTO observation that changes to sector shares of GDP reflect long-term per capita income growth. However, this trend is subject to productivity changes caused by greater competition after trade liberalization.

Agricultural output in Jordan is volatile (see Figure 11). Good rain one season probably doubles the harvest in that year. It is likely that as irrigation has become more widespread dispersion has reduced. Agriculture's share of GDP stabilized at around 6 percent in the 1980s, then reduced rapidly in 1993 to a new and stable equilibrium level of 2.4 percent in 1999. The decline of agriculture in the 1990s appears to be due to more than the long run increase in per capita income as suggested by the WTO survey of literature. The increase in agriculture's share in 1990-1992 appears to have boosted GDP growth in 1991. However, the fall of agricultural output after 1992 had no effect on the growth of GDP per capita. It is tempting to conclude that lower barriers to agricultural imports accelerated the reduction in agriculture's share of GDP.⁴⁸



Figure 11 GDP per Capita (JD) and Agriculture Share of GDP (percent)

Source: Derived from CBJ Annual Statistical Series, Table 38 and DOS population statistics.

Note: GDP is measured in current basic prices.

Despite increases in irrigated areas of fruit tree and vegetable production, a number of factors explain the decline in agricultural output. The National Strategy for Agricultural Development 2002-2010 refers to:

⁴⁷ See Kim Hjort's upcoming paper for the AMIR Program for a detailed examination of the impact of trade liberalization on the agriculture sector.

⁴⁸ The correlation coefficient between the two data series in Figure XX is -0.84 between 1979 and 2003, the period when agricultural trade barriers were declining.

- 1. Fragmentation of land holdings and encroachment of urban areas;
- 2. Variability of rainfall and declining water availability and quality;
- 3. Poor land management practices including uncontrolled grazing ;
- 4. Low productivity due to lack of research and training, lack of high yielding varieties and lack of scale economies; and
- 5. Weak marketing and management practices and institutions.

Against this background Jordan embarked on its program of trade liberalization beginning in 1994. Import tariffs were reduced and, in May 1995, quantitative restrictions on all agricultural imports were removed. Subsidies to farmers were limited to a ceiling of 10 percent of the value of agricultural output and for use in a non-price distorting manner. Subsidies include infrastructure, food subsidy programs, and agricultural development services such as research and training. Feed subsidies were removed and the monopoly status removed from the state-owned Agricultural Marketing and Processing Company for importing fresh vegetables and fruit in short supply in the local market.

Table 1 shows that tariffs on agricultural products have steadily declined since the early 1990s and imports have steadily increased across all food categories. Agricultural trade liberalization is well summarized in the introduction of a position paper submitted by Jordan for the Doha Round of WTO negotiations:

Jordan is committed to the agricultural reform process and follows a strict economic adjustment program leading to internal and external trade liberalization as well as shifting of public sector responsibilities to the private sector. The country's average bound tariff is 30 percent as compared to the much higher rates of 58 percent to 75 percent of trading partner countries.

Prior to the country's membership in the WTO, sensitive agricultural commodities such as olive oil, sheep, poultry meat, tomato paste, citrus fruits and fresh milk were either directly or indirectly protected from foreign competition. Under Jordan's terms of accession to the WTO, such protection is no longer permitted. In the long run, Jordan expects competition to lead to more efficient and higher quality production, increasing Jordan's exports. One of the reasons Jordan joined the WTO was to take advantage of international trade opportunities. The policy challenge the country faces now is how to help the domestic producers adjust to the competition from abroad.⁴⁹

Consistent time-series data on agricultural productivity is difficult to piece together. The last in a series of annual surveys of agriculture was conducted in 1988. A comprehensive survey was conducted in 2003. The Ministry of Agriculture compiles annual production statistics on crops and livestock that includes material inputs and some crop employment data. This data is used by the Department of Statistics to derive agriculture's contribution to GDP.

⁴⁹ Proposal by Jordan on WTO Agriculture Negotiations to the Committee on Agriculture of the WTO, G/AG/NG/W/140, 22 March 2001, page 1.

Figure 12 below shows, what will become a familiar U-shape trend in this report, a representation of gross value added per employee. The index of real agricultural value added per total agricultural workers. The index fell from 154 in 1993 to 115 in 1998-99 and has subsequently increased to 146 in 2003. Employment steadily increased throughout this period from 55,000 in 1993 to 75,000 in 2000 since when it has remained relatively constant. Increasing output and constant employment have caused real agricultural output per worker to increase in 1999, and maintained agriculture's constant

2.4 percent of growing real GDP. Figure 12 Agriculture GDP Index (Constant Prices) per Agriculture Worker



Source: Ministry of Agriculture, Annual Report (1998 and 2004), Tables 64 and 38.

The recent rise in productivity is also suggested by crop (fruit, vegetable and cereal) statistics provided on the Department of Statistics website. Plotting indices of nominal production and employment against each other shows a significant increase in productivity since 2000.





Source: DOS website.

3.3 Impact on Industry50

Total industrial employment increased by 30 percent over the past ten years of trade liberalization, from 109,000 in 1994 to 142,000 in 2003. This is a tremendous result given early fears of a collapse of manufacturing employment following trade liberalization. The following table compares this growth to growth of the national labor force and national unemployment. Despite the healthy increase, industrial employment just kept up with growth of the labor force, maintaining an approximate 11 percent share of the economically active population.⁵¹ This was insufficient to have much impact on the unemployment rate.



Figure 14 Industrial Employment

3.3.1 Overview of Manufacturing Sector

Indicators of manufacturing performance are derived from the annual DoS Industry Survey. The survey began in 1994 and 2003 is the latest year results are available. Results for 2004 will be released in November 2005. Samples of establishments in different employment size classes are surveyed; all firms with more than 20 employees are surveyed.⁵² The survey covers 23 subsectors at the two-digit level of the third revision of the International System of Industrial Classification (ISIC Rev.3) and 80 subsectors at the four-digit ISIC level.⁵³ Key performance indicators for the 23 subsectors are set out in Annex 4 of this report.

Source: DOS, various unemployment and employment surveys, industry surveys and censuses.

⁵⁰ The following sectors are included in the annual DOS survey of industry: oil and gas extraction, mining and quarrying, all manufacturing sectors and electricity, gas, steam and hot water supply.

⁵¹ Economically active population are those persons 15 years and over who are either employed or unemployed and seeking work. Therefore children, students, retired persons and those unable to work are excluded.

excluded. ⁵² However, it appears that not all QIZ garment manufacturers have been surveyed since total garment employment and exports are reported as 17,207 and 139.5 million JD in 2003, respectively. The Ministry of Industry and Trade report these values for 2003 as 28,639 and 415 million JD respectively.

⁵³ The list of codes and industry descriptions is available from <u>http://unstats.un.org</u>.

Looking back beyond 1994 at the growth of nominal manufacturing GDP, Figure 15 shows the incredible volatility of manufacturing growth during the 1990s. Even while prices were stabilizing, manufacturing value-added experienced three successive annual shifts in growth rates from as low as -6 percent to as high as 35 percent. More work is needed to isolate the causes of this volatility.⁵⁴ It is unlikely that the steady reduction in tariffs over this period would cause such swings in value-added. However, World Bank findings that the rate of structural change reduces during and after a period of trade reform is supported by Figure 15.⁵⁵ Fortunately, the DOS survey data allows us to examine changes in manufacturing performance starting in 1994 – the last of the major peaks in manufacturing value-added growth.





Source: CBJ Annual Statistical GDP and WPI series. Note: GDP measured in current basic prices.

Yea r	No. of Enterpr ises	No. of Employ ees	Compens ation of Employe es	Gross Output	Gross value added	Operatin g surplus	Fixed Capital Formati on	Total Fixed Assets
199								1,022,86
4	12,358	95,843	181,905	2,358,438	689,373	259,296	-	0
199								1,106,80
5	13,648	99,780	201,700	2,612,935	701,848	227,738	-	3
199								1,133,74
6	13,972	102,254	209,185	2,533,068	681,762	183,227	-	9
199								1,469,61
7	14,466	104,890	223,043	2,700,578	755,897	208,290	147,625	5
199								1,445,78
8	14,936	105,029	245,415	2,771,247	805,536	224,400	118,043	4

⁵⁴ Possible reasons include survey problems, or volatility in a major share of of manufacturing output, e.g. fertilizer production.

⁵⁵ See WTO literature survey, infra footnote 7.

Impact of Trade Liberalization on Jordanian Manufacturing and Services Performance 1994-2003

199								1 572 72
	10.055							1,573,73
9	18,066	112,240	265,219	2,793,147	896,662	270,156	95,683	2
200								1,525,68
0	18,249	119,828	280,503	2,901,841	951,322	295,588	60,191	5
200					1,035,00			1,522,79
1	-	125,777	288,780	3,138,736	5	351,561	68,178	9
200					1,116,97			1,490,75
2	-	125,560	298,642	3,432,498	4	404,233	132,005	2
200					1,233,13			1,471,26
3	-	127,997	310,116	3,679,020	0	496,810	111,307	1

Source: DOS Annual Industrial Survey.⁵⁶





Notes: Nominal Output 1 is gross output at current prices reported by the survey. Nominal Output 2 and Real Output measure gross output at current and constant prices and are reported by DOS from its GDP series.

The detail of Table 11 is depicted in figures discussed in the next few pages. However, it can be noted here that the average manufacturing firm size has reduced from 7.3 workers to 6.6 workers. The increase in the number of firms matches increases in employment. Both points suggest that employment has grown because of the establishment of new firms rather than expansion of existing firms. Perhaps the new firms are more competitive than existing firms in driving output growth.

Manufacturing employment has grown slowly since 1994 interrupted by two periods of zero growth. The first was in 1997-1998 and again in 2001-2002 when employment stagnated at around 127,000. Real output appears to have grown slowly between 1996 and 1999 and then accelerated up to 2002.⁵⁷ Figure 16 shows the decline in the growth path of nominal value-added in the first five years and an increase in the subsequent five-year period. This recent growth in output has not been driven by export growth. Exports

⁵⁶ All subsequent figures in this report are derived from the DOS Annual Industrial Survey and Services Survey.

⁵⁷ 1996-2002 is the period when data at constant prices is available from DOS.

as a share of total output have remained fairly constant at around 15 percent over the entire ten-year period. Export growth in new growth areas such as apparel and pharmaceuticals have been offset by a lack of export growth in the traditional sectors – fertilizers and minerals.

Gross profit, measured by *operating surplus* in the survey, has followed a more volatile path than output during the survey period with large losses in 1996 and 1998 followed by a significant rebound starting in 2000. However, as a share of total output, operating surplus has experienced a steady increase from 7.2 percent in 1996 to 13.5 percent in 2003. Overall, the relatively steady growth of employment and output have led to constant gross value added per employee over most of the period. Gross value added (GVA) represents the returns to capital and labor from production. It is measured as gross output less intermediate consumption of goods and services. Increasing GVA per employer is a measure of productivity – suggesting that capital per worker has increased or that capital and/or labor are being used more efficiently.





Figure 18 shows that GVA per worker grew during periods when higher output growth, i.e. 1995, 1997 and 2001-3 coincided with lower employment growth, i.e. 1997-98 and 2001-3. Estimating real GVA for 1994-95 and 2003 gives a net increase of GVA per employee over the whole ten-year period of 20.7 percent. This growth is generally confined to 1998 and 2002. This picture matches the results of the World Bank work on total factor productivity discussed above.

Source: DOS Annual Industry Survey. Figure 18 Real and Nominal GVA per Employee (000 JD)



Figure 16 shows that total fixed assets have been relatively constant since 1997. Since then capital expenditure has just covered depreciation costs. This has led to increasingly efficient use of plant and equipment. The gross value added per fixed asset ratio has steadily

Notes: The author estimates GVA per employee values for 1994-5 and 2003.

increased from 0.51 in 1997 to 0.84 in 2003. The ratio was only 0.67 in 1994. However, workers have less capital to work with and together with the fall in capital expenditure as a share of output is likely leading to degrading plant and equipment and lower labor productivity. Possible reasons for the decline in capital expenditure include overinvestment in capacity in the early 1990s and lack of confidence in future manufacturing profitability.

How has value added been distributed between capital and labor?⁵⁸ That is, what are the trends in employee compensation per employee and operating surplus per employee. Figure 20 below plots nominal and real compensation per worker against nominal operating surplus per worker. Real compensation per worker has remained constant over the period at about 2,000 JD per year, peaking in 1999 at 2,037 JD. Depreciation costs and business income tax have followed a similar net path as compensation. Operating surplus per employee has shown the opposite trend, steadily declining by a total of 18 percent between 1995 and 1999 before increasing significantly by a total of 70 percent by 2003.

Figure 19 GVA per Employee and Capital Expenditure (000 JD)



Notes: The author estimates gross value added data for 1994-5 and 2003. Figure 20 Factor Returns per Employee (JD)



⁵⁸ DOS calculates gross value added as the sum of worker compensation, operating surplus, depreciation costs and business income tax.

The large increase in operating margin has been at the expense of both employee compensation and capital expenditure. The current increasing labor force will likely sustain low real wages. But short-term profit taking will eventually reduce total factor productivity. Figure 21 below shows the changes in nominal values of the elements of value added over time. In addition to operating margins, the only other social return to have increased is business income tax. Figure 21 shows the bizarre nature of income tax collection in Jordan over time. While operating surplus was declining in 1994-96, tax collections continued to increase. Total tax collections even surpassed total operating surplus in 1996. Thereafter, taxation has not kept pace with rising profits. In fact, employment appears to be a better proxy to estimate business income tax collections rather than operating surplus.



Figure 21 Elements of Gross Value Added and Employment Growth (000 JD)

In summary, capital productivity has steadily increased by a total of 50 percent since 1997 when measured by GVA per total fixed assets. Labor productivity has been constant over most of the ten-year period with growth limited to two years, 1998 and 2002. Labor productivity increased by a net 20 percent over the ten years when measured by real GVA per worker. The returns to each factor of production amplify their productivity increases. Real compensation per worker increased by just 3.2 percent over the entire ten-year period. Operating surplus as a share of total fixed assets (return on assets) increased by 33 percent over the ten-year period to significant 33.8 percent of total fixed assets. However, the total return on assets was as low as 14.2 percent in 1997. Operating surplus as a share of total output (operating margin) increased by 23 percent over the ten-year period.

These results raise a number of general concerns:

- Constant average employee compensation has not accelerated employment growth.
- The large rise in profitability has not been accompanied by similar increases in business income taxes as measured by DOS.
- Fixed assets are being depreciated. This will eventually impact negatively on output.



Figure 22 Selected Elements of Amman Wholesale Price Index (1992 = 100)

Source: CBJ annual statistical series.

3.4 Manufacturing Sub-Sectors

Each of the large manufacturing sub-sectors follows the general trends outlined above for the whole of manufacturing. Annex 4 contains tables for the 23 main subsectors.

- 1. The largest sub-sector is food products and beverages enjoying steady employment growth from 17,307 to 26,522 during 1994-2003. Profitability slumped by 80 percent between 1994 and 1998 and only recovered its 1994 level in 2003. Exports have not recovered their peak of 100 million JD in 1997. Wages have remained flat since 1998.
- 2. Local garment manufacturers experienced a maximum 40 percent drop in profitability between 1994 and 1999, but have since enjoyed spectacular output, employment and profitability growth. Output has increased almost 2,000 percent and exports have jumped from 18 percent of total output to 81 percent. Wages have increased 50 percent between 1997 and 2003 to 128 JD per worker per month. Export garment production in QIZs did not start on any scale until 2000. Therefore, the earlier losses are due to domestic firms losing domestic sales to competing lower price imports. As Figure 22 shows wholesale prices of garments and footwear dropped 40 percent between 1993 and 2000.
- 3. Employment in non-metallic mineral products has been stable at around 14,000 over the past ten years. Output, capital expenditure and profitability declined during 1995-2000 with a maximum profit drop of 35 percent. Thereafter, employee compensation declined while profits fully recovered to their 1994 level. Exports have been slow to recover their previous 16 percent share of output.
- 4. Simple fabricated metal products have enjoyed steady employment growth over this period but profits dropped by 85 percent between 1994 and 1996, before almost recovering their former level by 2003. Payrolls have remained low and stagnant over

this period, averaging 92.5 JD per month per worker in 2003. Export levels are erratic but appear to have recovered their previous share of output.

5. Furniture and not elsewhere classified manufacturing has remained relatively stable over this period. Profitability was at its lowest point in 1996 but quickly recovered to a remarkably constant 15 percent-16 percent for the next six years. Employment has hovered around 10,000 over this entire period. Output fell less than 10 percent but has since increased by 45 percent and exports have increased rapidly since 1999 from 9 percent of total output to 22 percent.

3.4.1 Ranking Manufacturing Performance

In order to compare tariff changes with manufacturing performance, changes in key performance indicators over time are compared with recent tariffs (see Tables 14 and 15). All manufacturing subsectors surveyed by DOS are separated into two groups – large firms and small firms as measured by 2003 output. Subsectors in each group are ranked according to their 2003/1994 change in GVA per employee and compared with changes in profitability and exports (see the notes to Table 17 for an explanation of these indicators). Positive changes in exports suggest those subsectors operating at levels of international competitiveness.



The figures above show three measures of profitability that can be calculated from survey results. The Department of Statistics defines operating surplus as equivalent to earnings before interest and tax, i.e. gross output less employee costs less intermediate consumption less depreciation less indirect taxes (license fees and sales taxes). Generally an investor is interested in the return on equity. Total shareholders' equity is not reported in survey results. Paid-in capital is reported but does not accurately reflect shareholders' equity or book value. For instance, it excludes retained earnings.

As the charts above show, operating surplus/paid-in capital is the most volatile of the three measures. Paid-in capital does not change significantly over time, therefore any change in operating surplus is fully reflected in changes in the measure. In addition private firms in Jordan have an incentive to underreport their paid-in capital. Incorporation fees and compulsory Chamber of Industry or Commerce fees are based on the size of paid-in capital. In comparison with the 11 percent to 53 percent range of return on paid-in capital values depicted above for services, the pre-tax profit reported to the Amman Stock Exchange as a share of shareholders' equity ranged between 2 percent

and 9 percent for services and -5 percent and 9 percent for manufacturers in the period 1998-2002.

Return on assets ignores liabilities and compares earnings with all the tangible and intangible assets employed by the firm. The survey reports a good proxy for total assets – fixed assets. However, capital/output ratios vary considerably among different industries – reducing the comparative value of the measure. Moreover, returns to labor are not accounted for by this measure. Therefore, for the purposes of this study, operating surplus as share of gross output is used to measure profitability. This is equivalent to a form of operating margin.

It is not possible to accurately list the tariff that each subsector faces on competing imports. Subsector descriptions are classified by ISIC Revision 3, which are more aggregated than traded goods classified by HS at the eight-digit level. Therefore, a range of tariffs is listed in the table. In general, tariffs are either 0 percent or less than 5 percent on imported goods that are used for industrial purposes. Goods used for final consumption are subject to the maximum 30 percent tariff in each range.

The following observations can be made during 1994-2003:

- Nominal ouput increased by about 5 percent a year and nominal labor productivity by about 3 percent a year without any overall loss in profitability.
- Firms only marginally increased exports as a share of domestic sales between 1994 and 2003.
- Operating surplus as a share of total output for the whole sector was 14 percent in 2003. The average profit level increased by 21 percent between 1994-96 and 2001-03.
- A weak relationship exists between increasing productivity over the period and increasing profitability.
- There is little apparent relationship between subsectors performing well and their level of remaining tariff protection from imports.

3.4.2 Group A - At Risk Subsectors

The group of declining or at risk sectors is very small – only five large subsectors and seven small subsectors out of a total of 80 subsectors surveyed. The list is defined as those subsectors experiencing falls in at least two key indicators during 1994-2003. Arguably all the large subsectors are capital-intensive industries relying on economies of scale for efficient production. The only one of these industries in which Jordan has a natural comparative advantage is the manufacture of fertilizer. This is the largest industry in Jordan outside electricity production and oil refining. As an export industry, it has not been affected by Jordan's reduction of tariff protection, rather by international fertilizer prices. However, the monopoly nature of fertilizer production does not appear to have helped industry performance.⁵⁹

⁵⁹ The majority government-owned Jordan Phosphate Mines Company (JPMC) owns the Jordan Fertilizer Company and is a joint venture partner in Jordan's other fertilizer producer, the Nippon-Jordan Fertilizer Company. JPMC has a monopoly on the mining and processing of phosphate – the main ingredient in
3.4.2.1 Large Manufacturing Subsectors (Table 16)

Output in the manufacturing of motor vehicle bodies and trailers has increased since 1998 but has not reached the high levels achieved in 1994 and 1995. Gross value added per employee was particularly high in 1994 due to the unusually large reported output that year. GVA per employee in 1995 is in the normal range for the industry and shows only a -1 percent decline by 2003. This industry has recently attracted foreign investment, the assembly of Land Rovers near Aqaba.

Pulp and paper production in Jordan has steadily declined since 1994. This is a capitalintensive industry relying on low cost supplies of wood or chemical pulp. Jordanian production is likely to be small scale and suffering from imports subject to the low tariff range of 3 percent-10 percent. During 1994-2003 imports have increased from 43 million to 86 million JD.⁶⁰

The other two declining subsectors are food-related, which is another area of comparative disadvantage in Jordan. Output in the dairy industry has increased by 113 percent over the ten-year period while profitability and productivity have fallen. Capital expenditure has also been increasing over the last three years. As tariffs on dairy products continue to decline there will likely be domestic producers exiting this industry. Dairy product imports have increased from 31 million JD to 77 million JD over this period.

	2003	Chang	200	Chang	Chang	Exp	2002
	Outp	e	3	e	e	ort	Tarif
Manufacture bodies for motor		-41%	20%	63%	-1%	-	30%
Manufacture of fertilizers and	229,	-13%	-1%	-114%	-46%	-	5%
Manufacture of pulp, paper and		-27%	6%	-41%	-43%	56%	3-
Manufacture of dairy products		113%	4%	-49%	-37%	3%	5-
Manufacture of soft drinks;		18%	0%	-106%	-23%	1%	30%
Tanning and dressing of leather		-64%	-2%	-130%	-38%	-	10%
Manufacture of plastics in primary		-69%	6%	-70%	-34%	2%	20%
Manufacture of tanks, reservoirs		14%	7%	-458%	-29%	-2%	30%
Manufacture of knitted and		-41%	9%	-34%	9%	2%	20%
Quarrying of stone, sand and clay		-36%	19%	-20%	-8%	4%	30%
Manufacture of footwear		-24%	16%	-33%	-9%	60%	30%
Manufacture structural non-		1%	11%	-58%	-24%	5%	30%
			Late		Chang		
	Late	Chang	st	Change	e		
	st	e	Prof	Profit	GVA		
	year	Output	it	Margin	per		
	Outp	from	Mar	from	Emplo	Latest	t year
Industries No Longer Surveyed	ut	1994	gin	1994	yee	surve	yed

Table 12 At Risk Manufacturing Subsectors (Large and Small)

fertilizer production. JPMC also produces sulphuric acid, phosphoric acid, di-ammonium phosphate and aluminum fluoride. ⁶⁰ Data includes articles made of paper such as tissues that are not included in the manufacture of pulp and

paperboard category.

Manufacture of malt liquors and malt	900	-88%	28%	-9%		1998
Manufacture of veneer sheets; manufacture of plywood,						
laminboard, particle board and other panels and boards	165	27%	-1%	-21%		1996
Manufacture of accumulators, primary cells and batteries	6,51 9	-23%	9%	-34%		1999
Manufacture of television & radio receivers, sound or video recording						
or reproducing apparatus,&associated goods	26,8 34	115%	3%	-24%	-11%	1997
Manufacture of musical instruments	8,88 4	13%	9%	-5%		1995

Source: Derived from DOS Industry Survey 1994-2003.

Casual observation also shows the large number of mineral water and fruit juice products on sale in the Kingdom. Competition is driving down prices and thus productivity and profitability in both these food subsectors. Imports of flavored sweetened beverages increased from 144,000 JD in 1994 to an incredible 18.4 million JD in 2003.

Some sectors are no longer surveyed by the Department of Statistics. These five subsectors either suffered large falls in output or profits during the brief period they were surveyed starting in 1994. The manufacture of sound and vision recording and playback equipment had a relatively large output of 26.8 million JD in 1997.

3.4.2.2 Small Manufacturing Subsectors (Table 17)

Adjustment has been harder on smaller firms than on larger firms. Half of the group has not recovered their level of 1994 profitability. However, only two industries had average operating losses, i.e. negative average profitability in the period 2001-2003. Facing a 10 percent tariff by 2002, leather tanning has lost most of its output, profits and export sales. The tanning industry is monopolized by Jordan Tanning Company which enjoys a concession until 2002. Casting of basic steel and iron has experienced a 38 percent fall in profitability to -19 percent of sales in 2003. However, output increased by 450 percent, productivity by 50 percent and exports by 16 percent. It is difficult to explain this inconsistency since the iron and steel industry has traditionally been controlled by a cartel in Jordan.

Six small manufacturing industries can be regarded as declining over two or more of the performance indicators (see Table 12). These industries are discussed in turn:

1. The manufacture of metal tanks, reservoirs and containers of metal is a very small subsector that saw a very large fall in profitability to 7 percent of sales in 2003. Output growth was only 14 percent higher in 2003 than in 1994. With Jordan's large pool of engineering skills this would appear to be an industry that Jordan could develop. Perhaps lower metal working wages in Egypt and Syria reduce Jordan's competitiveness. Imports of these products increased from 1.3 million JD to 6.2

million JD over this period, indicating sufficient competition for a domestic industry an output of 3.2 million JD in 2003.

- 2. Other concerns exist for traditional Jordanian industries. Output of the quarrying of stone and sand declined by 36 percent, profitability fell by 20 percent as did productivity by 8 percent. This industry faces naturally high import protection through high land transport costs in addition to a 30 percent import tariff on competing products. Similarly, an industry four times larger in terms of output is the cutting and shaping of stone. It has experienced modest reductions in profitability and productivity likely due to competition from imported stone. Imports of stone have increased from about two to eight million JD between 1994 and 2003. A new trade association JSTONE is working to improve industry performance. Price competition has worked to increase cut stone exports from 2 percent to 16 percent of domestic sales.
- 3. One of the declining industries is footwear manufacturing. Industry representatives have lobbied the Ministry of Industry and Trade for maintaining protection on footwear imports. It appears that price competition has reduced footwear output value by 24 percent and profits by 33 percent. However, footwear profitability is still above the entire industrial average of 14 percent of output and exports increased to 60 percent of domestic sales in 2003. Clearly the industry is able to produce profitably at world prices.⁶¹
- 4. Another industry seeking to maintain or increase protection is the carpet and rug making subsector. This industry increased productivity by 53 percent leading to an 82 percent increase in average profits, and exported the equivalent of half of its domestic sales in 2003.
- 5. Manufacturing of primary plastics in basic forms has suffered a 70 percent fall in output and profitability. This could be due to declining tariffs on competing products from Gulf countries under GAFTA.
- 6. Structural non-refractory clay and ceramic products (bricks, tiles, etc.) have seen almost no growth in output, a 58 percent decline in profitability and a 24 percent decline in productivity. Like stone, these products face high 30 percent import tariffs and high land transport costs. It is likely the industry has moved to significantly reduce prices in order to compete with imports. This has helped the industry to increase exports to about 15 percent of domestic sales in 2001-2003.

⁶¹ Approximately 4.4 million JD in customs duty should have been collected from the 14.5 million JD of footwear imports in 2004. This represents about 3,500 JD for each of the 1,258 surveyed workers in the footwear industry and is equivalent to total operating surplus plus worker compensation for the subsector.

Table 15 Aujusting Manufacturi	ing Dub		200	Cha	Chan	1	
			3	nge			
	Outp	Cha	J Pro	Prof	ge GVA	Exp	
	ut		fit	it		-	2002
	uı (000J	nge			per Email	ort	
	· -	Out	Ma	Mar	Empl	Gro	Tarif
	D)	put	rgin	gin	oyee	wth	f
Manufacture of medical and	12,25	510	10.00		10.00	2.5.00	0.07
orthopedic equipment	5	%	12%	-2%	106%	35%	0%
Manufacture of lifting and		116					0-
handling equipment	6,476	%	6%	-5%	37%	87%	30%
	110,9			-			
Manufacture of bakery products	33	73%	14%	12%	22%	1%	30%
Manufacture of grain mill	86,51	112		-			0-
products	3	%	6%	13%	6%	1%	10%
	36,83			-			0-
Printing	4	67%	9%	15%	70%	11%	30%
Manufacture of domestic	22,67	-		-			5-
appliances n.e.c.	8	18%	10%	21%	23%	21%	30%
Manufacture of articles of	88,30			-			
concrete, cement and plaster	8	79%	12%	28%	45%	2%	30%
Manufacture of knitted,		-		-			
crocheted fabrics and articles	4,991	41%	9%	34%	9%	2%	20%
Production, processing of meat	89,81	337		-			
and products	3	%	12%	38%	128%	5%	30%
		451	-	-			
Casting of iron and steel	7,525	%	19%	38%	50%	16%	30%
Production, collection and	291,2			_			mono
distribution of electricity	40	92%	9%	39%	33%	0%	poly
Manufacture of other special		221	2.15	-			0-
purpose machinery	1,684	%	12%	45%	27%	62%	30%
	216,1	101	1270	-	2170	02/0	70-
Manufacture of tobacco products	56	%	2%	46%	63%	23%	100%
internative of tobacco products	50	70	270		0.570	2370	10070
Manufacture of other chemical		171		301			
products n.e.c.	8,282	%	3%	%	35%	20%	3-5%
	4,335	10	570	70	3370	2070	3-570
Total Industry	4,333 ,479	58%	14%	21%	35%	6%	
rotal muusu y	,417	J070	1470	2170	5570	070	

3.4.3 Group B – Adjusting Subsectors Table 13 Adjusting Manufacturing Sub-sectors

Source: Derived from DOS Industry Survey 1994-2003.

This group of manufacturing subsectors has experienced declining and/or low profits resulting in below average profit margins in 2003, while increasing output and efficiency. The table is sorted by change in profit margins. This group of industries has reacted to increasing competition from imports by increasing output and employee productivity but has not recovered its former level of profitability. Some productivity increases have been significant: meat products, tobacco and printing have been able to improve value added per employee by between 63 percent and 128 percent. However, price competition has likely impacted negatively on their profit levels. Many of the subsectors still enjoy relatively high levels of protection: bakery products, articles of cement, meat processing, domestic appliances. Continuing profit reductions could put these subsectors in the at risk category.⁶²

	3.4.4 Group C – Succes	ssful Ma	nufacturii	ig Subsec	tors
1	Table 14 Successful Manufac	cturing S	Subsectors		

. . .

		Change	2003	Change	Change	Export	2
	Output	Output	Profit	Profit	GVA per	Growth]
Manufacture of	8,689	4779%	21%	-28%	477%	343%	С
Service activities related	1,717	2913%	46%	-1%	116%	0%	
Manufacture of non-	5,792	1170%	22%	247%	241%	99%	(T)
Treatment of metals;	2,627	839%	27%	53%	31%	0%	C
Distilling, rectifying,	25,079	619%	23%	121%	375%	19%	1
Manufacture of electric	10,341	525%	20%	875%	98%	-6%	(T)
Manufacture of basic	97,057	409%	35%	565%	491%	452%	(r)
Manufacture of wearing	171,384	308%	40%	69%	146%	449%	(T)
Manufacture of insulated	68,821	273%	9%	24%	170%	88%	(r)
Publishing of newspapers,	50,311	234%	26%	19%	103%	7%	C
Manufacture of cutlery,	5,214	214%	10%	116%	54%	9%	1
Manufacture of prepared	37,338	185%	14%	198%	148%	12%	(r)
Manufacture of other non-	5,377	153%	20%	109%	178%	-48%	(T)
Manufacture of other	65,896	111%	16%	79%	81%	24%	C
Manufacture of paints,	58,788	103%	8%	135%	35%	27%	C
Other manufacturing	11,679	101%	11%	326%	303%	398%	C
Manufacture of basic iron	145,037	88%	14%	158%	111%	5%	2
Manufacture of	168,002	64%	27%	45%	53%	86%	C
Manufacture of other	45,874	43%	11%	162%	173%	67%	(T)
Manufacture of electricity	•	25%	18%	164%	108%	532%	(1) (1)

Source: Derived from DOS Industry Survey 1994-2003.

Table 14 lists the 20 top performing subsectors in terms of increases in output, productivity and the export share of domestic sales, sorted by output growth. The list represents subsectors that have outperformed all other subsectors. Apparel, pharmaceuticals and articles of paper are no surprise. Basic chemicals build on Jordan's

⁶² Government-owned Jordan Cement Factories Company had the exclusive right to extract and produce cement and its byproducts for 50 years until 2001. In December 2002 about half of the company's shares were sold to a French cement company.

traditional Dead Sea mineral industry. Paint and varnish manufacturing is a large industry now and adds further value to the traditional chemical industry. Insulated cables is a new industry established by listed company National Cables and Wire Manufacturing. Manufacturing of lighting equipment, electricity control apparatus (with domestic appliances in the adjusting sector) are improving productivity behind their 30 percent tariff protection. Manufacture of basic iron and steel and other fabricated metal products (nails, wire, sinks, pots and pans) has expanded. Certainly in the case of iron and steel, import protection has helped its performance.

There appears to be little relationship between tariff changes and performance. Some subsectors under high protection, e.g. distilling, have actually increased their exports. However, statistics do not relate changes in tariff protection over time to subsector performance – they merely note the approximate range of current tariffs. Furthermore, each subsector has unique characteristics that dictate its response to changing tariffs. For example, some goods have high transport costs so they are essentially non-tradable goods, e.g. treatment of metals, and manufacture of some basic iron and steel products. Furthermore, many sectors are starting from a low base and so experience high growth rates.

	Output	Change Output	2003 Profit Margin	Change Profit Margin	Change GVA per Employ ee	Exp ort Gro wth
Cutting, shaping and finishing	Output	Output	Margin	Margin		wen
of stone	44,665	38%	20%	-6%	-8%	14%
Manufacture of other food products n.e.c.	47,053	46%	12%	0%	-19%	21%
Manufacture of basic precious and non-ferrous metals	33,314	77%	22%	17%	-35%	43%
Manufacture of structural metal products	61,953	36%	19%	3%	0%	1%
Manufacture of luggage, handbags, and harness	674	-40%	31%	15%	-19%	-8%
Manufacture of other articles of cork, straw, plaiting	1,385	-25%	35%	24%	6%	0%
Manufacture of made-up textile articles, except apparel	4,108	-10%	28%	45%	-1%	- 33%
Manufacture of wooden containers	871	-57%	25%	103%	-58%	0%
Sawmilling and planing of wood	4,620	164%	17%	-6%	-85%	6%
Total Industry	4,335,47 9	58%	14%	21%	35%	6%

3.4.5 Group D – Other Subsectors Table 15 Manufacturing Subsectors with Declining Productivity

Source: Derived from DOS Industry Survey 1994-2003.

Table 15 is a mixed list of subsectors experiencing declining productivity in terms of GVA per employee while enjoying above average profit margins and modest output growth. Four of the subsectors are very small and experienced a net fall in output, explaining the declining productivity. Sawmilling would be in the list of declining industries if output had not increased by 164 percent. Many firms within the manufacture of other food products subsector (sauces, pickles, etc.) are likely declining. The subsector has below average profitability and declining productivity. However, some firms have managed to increase exports.

Of more interest are the three other large subsectors: stone cutting, precious metals and structural metal products. Stone cutting has become less efficient and less profitable. It would join its allied subsector, quarrying, as a declining subsector if output had not increased 38 percent and exports increased by 14 percent. Gold manufacturers are not struggling but are included because of their decline in productivity. These firms appear to have increased employment more than output value in order to expand export production under the GSP and the JUSFTA.

A common characteristic of some of the subsectors in this category is their enjoyment of some remaining level of protection. Stone cutting enjoys a high tariff and the high cost of road transport slows demand for imported product. Certain food products and structural metal products enjoy high tariff protection.

	2003 Outpu t (000 JD)	% Chang e in Outpu t 1994- 2003	200 3 Pro fit Ma rgin	% Chan ge in Profit Marg in 1994- 2003	% Chan ge in GVA 1994- 2003	Diffe rence Expo rts / Dom estic Sales 1994 - 2003	2002 Impo rt Tarif f
Manufacture bodies for motor vehicles; trailers, semi-trailers	22,94 4	-41%	20 %	63%	-1%	- 1902 %	30%
Manufacture of pulp, paper and paperboard	20,34 8	-27%	6%	-41%	- 43%	56%	3- 10%
Manufacture of domestic appliances n.e.c.	22,67 8	-18%	10 %	-21%	23%	21%	5- 30%
Manufacture of fertilizers and nitrogen compounds	229,0 13	-13%	- 1%	- 114%	- 46%	- 1535 %	5%

Table 16 1994-2003 Economic Indicators of Large Manufacturing Firms

Manufacture of vegetable and animal oils and fats	88,66 0	-13%	14 %	53%	37%	-25%	30%
Manufacture of soft drinks; production of mineral waters	79,07 8	18%	0%	- 106%	- 23%	1%	30%
Preparation and spinning of textile fibres; weaving of textiles	26,97 0	19%	28 %	11%	95%	-42%	0%
Manufacture of furniture	67,62 3	24%	20 %	15%	26%	11%	30%
Manufacture of cement, lime and plaster	174,7 26	30%	29 %	66%	127 %	-9%	30%
Manufacture of structural metal products	61,95 3	36%	19 %	3%	0%	1%	0- 30%
Cutting, shaping and finishing of stone	44,66 5	38%	20 %	-6%	-8%	14%	30%
Manufacture of other articles of paper and paperboard	45,87 4	43%	11 %	162%	173 %	67%	30%
Manufacture of other food products n.e.c.	47,05 3	46%	12 %	0%	- 19%	21%	0- 30%
Manufacture soap, detergents; polishing, perfume	84,79 8	49%	15 %	71%	85%	53%	22%
Manufacture of plastics products	106,3 99	56%	14 %	63%	32%	5%	3- 22%
Mining of chemical and fertilizer minerals	341,2 41	56%	23 %	5%	74%	- 300 %	mono poly
Manufacture of refined petroleum products	597,9 81	57%	5%	59%	150 %	0%	mono poly
Manufacture of pharmaceuticals, medicinal, botanical products	168,0 02	64%	27 %	45%	53%	86%	0-5%
Printing	36,83 4	67%	9%	-15%	70%	11%	0- 30%

Impact of Trade Liberalization on Jordanian Manufacturing and Services Performance 1994-2003

			1			1	
Manufacture of bakery products	110,9 33	73%	14 %	-12%	22%	1%	30%
Manufacture of basic precious and non-ferrous metals	33,31 4	77%	22 %	17%	- 35%	43%	20- 30%
Manufacture of articles of concrete, cement and plaster	88,30 8	79%	12 %	-28%	45%	2%	30%
Manufacture of basic iron and steel	145,0 37	88%	14 %	158%	111 %	5%	20- 30%
Production, collection and distribution of electricity	291,2 40	92%	9%	-39%	33%	0%	mono poly
Manufacture of corrugated paper, paperboard and containers	40,63 7	93%	15 %	23%	69%	3%	30%
Manufacture of tobacco products	216,1 56	101%	2%	-46%	63%	23%	70- 100 %
Manufacture of paints, varnishes, printing ink and mastics	58,78 8	103%	8%	135%	35%	27%	0-5, 30%
Manufacture of other fabricated metal products n.e.c.	65,89 6	111%	16 %	79%	81%	24%	0- 30%
Manufacture of grain mill products	86,51 3	112%	6%	-13%	6%	1%	0- 10%
Manufacture of dairy products	75,83 7	113%	4%	-49%	- 37%	3%	5- 30%
Manufacture of other general purpose machinery	25,24 1	153%	13 %	12%	1%	-25%	0- 30%
Manufacture of prepared animal feeds	37,33 8	185%	14 %	198%	148 %	12%	3- 30%
Publishing of newspapers, journals and periodicals	50,31 1	234%	26 %	19%	103 %	7%	0%
Manufacture of insulated wire and cable	68,82 1	273%	9%	24%	170 %	88%	3- 30%

Impact of Trade Liberalization on Jordanian Manufacturing and Services Performance 1994-2003

Manufacture of wearing apparel, except fur apparel	171,3 84	308%	40 %	69%	146 %	449 %	30%
Production, processing and preserving of meat and meat products	89,81 3	337%	12 %	-38%	128 %	5%	30%
Manufacture of basic chemicals, not fertilizers/nitrogen compounds	97,05 7	409%	35 %	565%	491 %	452 %	3-5%
Distilling, rectifying, blending of spirits; ethyl alcohol production	25,07 9	619%	23 %	121%	375 %	19%	180 %
Total Industry	4,335, 479	58%	14 %	21%	35%	6%	

Impact of Trade Liberalization on Jordanian Manufacturing and Services Performance 1994-2003

Source: Derived from DOS Industry Survey 1994-2003. Notes: See notes of Table 17.

Table 17 1994-2003 Economic Indicators of Small Manufacturing Firr	ms
--	----

	2003 Outpu t (000 JD)	% Chan ge in Outpu t 1994- 2003	200 3 Prof it Mar gin	% Chan ge in Profit Margi n 1994- 2003	% Chan ge in GVA 1994- 2003	Differ ence Expor ts / Dome stic Sales 1994- 2003	200 Imr rt Tar
Building and repairing of pleasure		38%	40%	11%	255%	0%	10%
Manufacture of luggage, handbags,		-40%	31%	15%	-19%	-8%	309
Manufacture of wooden containers		-57%	25%	103%	-58%	0%	5%
Manufacture of other articles of cork.		-25%	35%	24%	6%	0%	3
Manufacture of other textiles n.e.c.		296%	21%	-11%	5%	12%	0-
Manufacture of other rubber products		73%	26%	45%	174%	-2%	0-
Manufacture machinery for food,		172%	24%	6%	16%	-1%	0%
Manufacture of other special purpose		221%	12%	-45%	27%	62%	0-
Service activities related to printing		2913	46%	-1%	116%	0%	
Manufacture rubber tyres, tubes;		134%	10%	-70%	-4%	0%	30%
Treatment of metals; general		839%	27%	53%	31%	0%	0%
Manufacture of machine-tools		483%	22%	169%	296%	-	0%
Manufacture of machinery for		103%	25%	65%	47%	-11%	0_
Manufacture of tanks, reservoirs and		14%	7%	-	-29%	-2%	309
Tanning and dressing of leather		-64%	-2%	-	-38%	-81%	10%
Manufacture of made-up textile		-10%	28%	45%	-1%	-33%	30%
Manufacture of glass and glass		28%	8%	70%	46%	-10%	0-
Manufacture of electric motors,		62%	15%	28%	90%	4%	0-
Manufacture of electricity distribution		25%	18%	164%	108%	532%	309
Sawmilling and planing of wood		164%	17%	-6%	-85%	6%	0%
Manufacture of knitted and crocheted		-41%	9%	-34%	9%	2%	20%

Impact of Trade Liberalization on Jos	ordanian Manufacturing and	Services Performance 1994-2003

		-			
214%	10%	116%	54%	9%	10%
153%	20%	109%	178%	-48%	30%
1170	22%	247%	241%	99%	30%
116%	6%	-5%	37%	87%	0-
451%	-	-38%	50%	16%	30%
-28%	16%	78%	79%	4328	10
171%	3%	-	35%	20%	3-5
164%	28%	-16%	24%	45%	10
4779	21%	-28%	477%	343%	0%
131%	10%	-49%	-15%	-60%	30%
-69%	6%	-70%	-34%	2%	20%
525%	20%	875%	98%	-6%	30%
-36%	19%	-20%	-8%	4%	30%
101%	11%	326%	303%	398%	0-
510%	12%	-2%	106%	35%	0%
-24%	16%	-33%	-9%	60%	30%
1%	11%	-58%	-24%	5%	30%
4%	17%	82%	53%	22%	30%
48%	6%	182%	-2%	-24%	30%
				1	30%
104%	8%	-39%			30%
58%	14%		35%	6%	
	$\begin{array}{c} 153\%\\ 1170\\ 116\%\\ 451\%\\ -28\%\\ 171\%\\ 164\%\\ 4779\\ 131\%\\ -69\%\\ 525\%\\ -36\%\\ 101\%\\ 510\%\\ -24\%\\ 1\%\\ 4\%\\ 48\%\\ 34\%\\ 104\%\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Source: Derived from DOS Industry Survey 1994-2003.

Notes: Change in Output equals the percentage change between Output in 2003 and 1994. Change in Profitability equals the percentage change between Average Operating Surplus/Output in 2001-2003 and Average Operating Surplus/Output 1994-1996. Change in Gross Value Added per Employee equals Percentage Change between GVA/Employee in 2004 and GVA/Employee in 1994 or nearest later year. Export Growth equals Exports/Domestic Sales in 2003 less Exports/Domestic Sales in 1994. 2002 Tariffs – In general, products with a tariff range of 0-30% apply low tariffs if the product is for an industrial purpose and a 30% tariff if for final consumption.

3.5 Liberalization of Trade in Services⁶³

During the Uruguay Round of WTO multilateral trade negotiations member countries agreed for the first time on a mechanism to commit to maximum levels of restrictions on international trade in services. The General Agreement of Trade in Services (GATS) sets out basic principles of transparency and non-discrimination in the treatment of services provided by foreign entities to residents. Member countries list in their GATS Schedule of Commitments those subsectors that they choose to be bound by GATS rules. For each committed subsector the member country must explicitly describe any restrictions in order for those restrictions to remain in effect. Restrictions are categorized as market access measures of national treatment measures. Market access measures place limits on the number, value or volume of service or service providers that may operate in a country. Common measures include residency or nationality requirements for providers and maximum equity limits on foreign investors. Member countries may also list

⁶³ Only for-profit services are discussed in this report.

measures that derogate from the most-favored-nation rule, i.e. permitting preferential treatment for certain countries.



Figure 23 Sectoral Commitments under the GATS

Source: Rudolf Adlung and Martin Roy, *Turning Hills into Mountains? Curre Commitments under GATS and the Prospects for Change*, WTO Economic Research ar Statistics Division, Staff Working Paper ERSD-2005-01 March 2005 Chart 1, page 8.

Newly acceding countries, such as Jordan, have committed not to raise restrictions in almost all services sectors. Out of 160 service subsectors, Jordan has listed commitments in all but 47 subsectors. Among the sectors excluded from the schedule are air, rail and road transport; cargo handling and shipping agents, postal services, integrated engineering services, dental and vetinerarian services. On average newly acceding countries have committed to the same number of subsectors as developed member countries (about 103 out of a total of 160 subsectors). Member countries have made the most commitments in sectors that have likely demonstrated the most benefits from foreign participation – tourism, finance, business and telecom.

3.5.1 Jordan's GATS Commitments

Jordan has made a number of so-called *horizontal* commitments affecting all subsectors. These comprise:

- 1. conditions on the entry of natural persons to work in Jordan, e.g. the managing director of entities established in the Kingdom must be resident;
- 2. a minimum capital investment of 50,000 JD for all foreign investors;
- 3. conditions on the purchase of real estate in the Kingdom by foreigners.

Jordanian legislation provides for a large number of specific market access and national treatment restrictions on trade in services. Restrictions applicable to those sectors that Jordan has committed under GATS are described in Jordan's Schedule of Commitments. These specific commitments deal with three main types of market access restrictions:

- 1. Restrictions on cross-border provision of services include the requirement that certain services may only be provided by persons domiciled in the Kingdom and registered with the relevant professional association. Most professionals are subject to this requirement.
- 2. The Labor Law provides the requirement that certain occupations are reserved for Jordanian nationals. Exceptions are sometimes provided for nationals of the other countries if Jordanians are permitted to work in those countries. These sectors include law, architects, engineers, geologists, dentists, pharmacists, real estate agents, accountants, all sales professions, and hair stylists.
- 3. Restrictions on establishing a physical presence in Jordan to provide services, i.e. direct foreign investment, include the requirement that the service be provided by Jordanian nationals as a natural person rather than a company that can be owned by foreigners, e.g. real estate agents. More prevalent and restrictive is the requirement that foreigners may not own more than 50 percent of the equity in the Jordanian establishment. The 50 percent equity cap is applied to engineering, construction and contracting; leasing equipment; wholesale and retail trade; employment agencies; media; restaurants; travel agencies; sea, air and land transport; warehousing and freight forwarding.

It is important to note two points. Firstly, trade in a particular subsector still takes place even if the subsector is not listed in the Schedule. Exclusion from the schedule means that Jordan is free to impose additional restrictions on trade in that subsector. The Government has extensively regulated foreign access to air and land transport in Jordan – but it is not willing to bind future policy to current restrictions. Secondly, restrictions on trade in service sectors, whether listed or not in Jordan's Schedule are not set in stone. New legislation may reduce the degree of these restrictions. WTO members automatically enjoy any unilateral liberalization of restrictions on trade in service subsectors upon which Jordan has made GATS commitments. Of course, the Government may not increase restrictions on any subsector committed under GATS.

3.5.2 Correlating Trade Restrictiveness and Performance

	1994-200	03 Change	e in
	Output	Profit	GVA
Change in			
TRI	0.20	-0.21	0.09
TRI in 2003	-0.23	0.20	-0.13

 Table 18 Correlating TRIs Against Performance

Table 18 compares changes in profit margins, output and GVA per worker over the period 1994-2003 with a trade restrictiveness index (TRI). The TRI provides a score from 1 to 4+ for each subsector based on market access and national treatment restrictions imposed on the subsector in 1998 and 2003. The score attempts to represent the level of restrictiveness that the Government has imposed on foreign provision of services in the subsector.

A score of 1 means that the sector is fully liberalized to foreign service providers. The service may still be regulated but this is done so in a transparent and non-discriminatory manner. Banking, management consulting and information technology are examples of these sectors. A score of 2 means that the subsector is subject to some restrictions with minor expected effect on foreign providers. Such restrictions include requiring commercial presence in Jordan, or requiring that investors operated through a Jordanian incorporated company. Examples are secondary education and hospital services.

A score of 3 means that foreign provision is permitted but is subject to limiting restrictions. In general these restrictions are the 50 percent equity cap or the nationality requirement. An asterisk in the 1998 column means that the subsector was relatively free of legislated restriction. However, the subsector was likely subject to the 50 percent foreign equity cap. At the time legislation did not clearly define which sectors were subject to the cap. A score of 4 means that the sector is closed to foreign providers. In general, such sectors were regulated through bilateral agreements. Such sectors include road transport and investigative firms. A plus sign means that the subsector is subject to further restrictions than the normal score.

Correlations between the TRI and the performance indicators are weak, but the positive and negative signs of coefficients are in the right direction. Any relationship between these variables is not likely to be linear and so some other function should be fitted to the data. Nevertheless, profit margins are more likely to have decreased and output to have increased over time in any subsector the larger the degree of liberalization of that subsector. Conversely, currently high restrictions on foreign competition are associated with decreasing output and increasing profit over time.

3.5.3 Foreign Provision of Services in Jordan

WTO members have agreed on four modes of service delivery. In mode 1 the service is physically sent to the consuming country from the provider located in another country, e.g. mailing engineering plans. In mode 2 the consumer travels to receive the service in a foreign country, e.g. outward tourism. In mode 3 the foreign provider establishes a

commercial presence in the consuming country. Mode 3 covers all foreign direct investment. In mode 4 the provider travels to the consuming country to deliver the service for a limited period of time, e.g. consulting services.

The value of foreign direct investment (FDI) is usually captured by countries during the process of registering foreign investors. The Jordan Investment Board (JIB) collects very aggregated values of FDI from foreign investors receiving incentives from JIB. Unfortunately, the breakdown of categories readily available sheds little light on which subsectors are benefiting from liberalization. Furthermore, sectors not eligible for incentives are not recorded at all. Excluded sectors include: banking, finance, insurance, communications, construction, air and road transport, distribution, electricity and gas.

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
Industry	65%	59%	38%	67%	25%	87%	92%
Hotels	24%	31%	43%	18%	71%	7%	1%
Agriculture	5%	4%	5%	5%	0%	3%	2%
Hospitals	6%	1%	10%	6%	4%	3%	2%
Sea and Rail Transport	0%	1%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Conventions	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%
Recreation	0%	3%	1%	4%	0%	0%	1%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Total FDI (million JD)	11	256	220	112	558	71	40

 Table 19 Sectoral Share of JIB Approvals and Actual Total FDI

Source: JIB and Duanjie Chen, *Reformulating the Tax Incentive Program in Jordan*, AMIR Program, 2004.

The peak in 2000 represents the sale of 41 percent of Jordan Telecom to France Telecom valued at 360 million JD and a 71 million JD potash investment. The relatively liberal hotel and hospital sectors are well represented by approvals, but little can be learned about services FDI because of poor data.

Estimates of modes 1 and 2 are indicated by payments and receipts in the balance of payments. Again the figures are very aggregated, into just three categories: travel, transport and other services. The travel item mainly comprises tourism and is based on passenger surveys. The transport item relies on customs declarations. Despite the large errors it is clear that Jordan engages in a large volume of cross-border trade in services and enjoys a healthy trade surplus. Transport export earnings in 2003 represented 21 percent of total gross output of the transport sector. Other exported services represented about 8 percent of total non-transport services gross output in 2003. It appears that both Jordan's exports and imports of other services have declined since WTO accession. The increase in transport payments is likely due to the increasing volume of QIZ exports.

JD Million	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003		
Receipts									
Travel	549	549	564	512	496	557	578		
Transport	273	218	209	211	182	204	215		

 Table 20 Jordanian Cross-Border Trade in Services

Impact of Trade Liberalization on Jordanian Manufacturing and Services Performance 1994-2003

Other services	410	472	434	437	372	311	244
Payments							
Travel	282	250	252	274	298	296	268
Transport	224	181	171	173	186	188	549
Other services	264	465	493	416	363	356	292
Net position							
Travel	267	298	312	238	198	261	310
Transport	49	37	39	38	-3	16	-334
Other	146	6	-59	20	9	-45	-48
Net Workers'							
remittances		947	1035	1168	1289	1373	1424
Note:							
Overall errors etc:	-39	-305	21	224	58	40	380

Source: CBJ Monthly Statistical Bulletin.

3.6 Service Sectoral Performance

This section of the report will firstly summarize the main performance trends for these five sectors and then rank the 55 subsectors in the same way manufacturing subsectors were compared. Those subsectors are as follows:

- 1) Banking and Insurance (2 subsectors)
- 2) Construction (4 subsectors)
- 3) Hotels, restaurants, professional and other services (30 subsectors)
- 4) Transport, warehousing and communications (11 subsectors)
- 5) Wholesale and retail trade (8 subsectors)

Unfortunately, two of the largest subsectors, banking and telecommunication, are not further disaggregated. Data for banking and insurance is incomplete and only covers the period 1998-2003 (no 1998 data for insurance). Employment data is missing for many sectors for one or more of the years 1999-2002. No data is reported for construction in 2002. Missing employment data was estimated from GDP sectoral employment statistics. The number of firms and export revenue data is collected.

Real output and value-added data used in this report are provided by DOS from their GDP data series. The GDP data attempts to cover all economic activity by broad economic sector. The difference between the survey and GDP data sets is quite distinct. The GDP data gives total nominal output of profit-making firms as 5.6 billion JD and the survey data gives the equivalent figure of 4.3 billion JD. Moreover, as discussed in section 3.5.1, following, the two data sets show different output trends over time. More research needs to be conducted with DOS to isolate the elements of each data set. However, for the purposes of this study, work will rely upon the more detailed results of each subsector included in the survey data. It is important to keep in mind that the survey is a sample survey and does not include every manufacturer or service firm.

3.6.1 General Trends

Gross output (total sales) of manufacturing and services are of a similar size (about 4.3 billion JD in 2003). Looking at the sum of the for-profit service subsectors surveyed by DOS, nominal output grew marginally faster than manufacturing output between 1994 and 2003. Services output growth has not been negative and has followed a similar path to manufacturing output growth, with annual growth slowing to 4 percent in 1997 and 2000 and reaching 11 percent in 2002. It is interesting to note that services growth has lagged manufacturing growth by exactly one year. This is likely due to more conservative consumption of services following a bad year by manufacturers whether used as inputs into production and for final consumption.

However, real output data for all profit-making services used by DOS to determine GDP shows a different picture. Real output actually decreased a total of 2 percent between 1996 and 1998 and then increased by 21.5 percent between 1998 and 2002.

Given its more labor intensive production practices, services employed three times more workers than manufacturing in 2003. Services employment has grown faster than manufacturing employment.

Figure 24 Services Employment and Unemployment



In 1994 services employed two and half times more workers than manufacturing. Similarly with manufacturing, services employment growth has stagnated since 2000. As a share of the total labor force services employment increased from about 26.7 percent in 1994 to 33.5 percent in 2000, and has fallen to 32.8 percent in 2003. Figure 24 shows the growth path of services employment and its recent reductions. This has caused unemployment to remain at 15 percent of the total labor force.

Source: Derived from DOS crude activity rate and the annual Employment and Unemployment Survey.

Figure 25 Annual Growth of Nominal Output and Profit - Services



Figure 26 Annual Growth of Nominal Output and Profit - Manufacturing



Source: Derived from Annual DOS Services Survey.

Rising output has not been sufficient to increase productivity. Real gross value added per employee steadily declined until 2000 and has been constant since 2000 at about 6,400 JD.

How has GVA per employee been distributed between capital and labor? The figures below describe how real compensation per worker actually maintained a constant level during the period that GVA per worker and operating surplus declined – 1998-2000. The net increase in real compensation per worker was 27 percent over the entire ten-year period. Most of this increase occurred in 1998. Operating surplus per worker fell from 2,860 JD in 1995 to 1,638 in 2000, and had only increased to 2,429 in 2003. In contrast to manufacturing, recent services operating surplus growth has not been at the expense of both depreciation and tax, and compensation per worker.

Possible reasons for this more equitable distribution of the gains from recent growth include:

- Average compensation is lower in the services sector and at only about 150 JD per month was more susceptible to labor arguments to increase wages to cover cost of living increases.
- Services firms may be smaller and employees and owners may have stronger profit sharing arrangements than in manufacturing firms.
- The service sector receives less tax incentives from the government, and so an increase in profits will be taxed.

• Capital Investment has not declined over the ten year liberalization period. Total fixed assets per worker have increased from 7,000 to 8,000 JD between 1994 and 2004.

Figure 27 Output and Productivity Trends in Total For-Profit Service Sector (a) Output, Profit, Fixed Assets, GVA and Employment (b) Factor Returns per Emp





(d) Labor Productivity and Compe



while regional contract in 2005-2005 has likery reversed inese losses as forual acts as a logistical focal point for reconstruction in Iraq. Output in the hotel and restaurant sector remained constant until 1998. Real output growth improved significantly in 1999 and 2000. However, the deteriorating regional tourism environment brought real output back to 1997 levels. Over this time employment increased in the hotel sector and remained constant in the restaurant sector. This caused a long-run downward trend in real GVA per worker. The extent of the downturn in tourism can be seen from the decline in real GVA per worker from 4,442 JD in 2000 to 2,440 JD in 2002. Profitability has generally shown a continuous negative growth path, increasing to any degree only in 2003. The only indicator to increase has been fixed assets and the association burden of increasing depreciation costs due to the hotel construction boom. Foreign provision of hotel services is fully liberalized and committed under GATS. Foreign investors may own no more than 50 percent of the equity of restaurants, however.

⁶⁴ Given that real GVA per worker, as measured by the DOS GDP data series, decreased by about 15% then only increases in nominal GVA per worker that are greater than 15% from the survey data should be considered a positive productivity gain.



Figure 28 Hotel and Restaurant Subsector Performance (a) Annual Change in Output, Profit, Fixed Assets

(b) Labor Productivity and Compensation

(c) Factor Returns per Employee



Business Services

This large sector includes professional services, private health and education, real estate services, equipment rental and entertainment services. As would be expected for so many subsectors real output has grown smoothly but modestly at 3 percent per annum. However, employment increased by 130 percent between 1994 and 2001 leading to deteriorating productivity – real GVA per worker almost halved over this period. Real compensation per worker increased until 1999 but the pressure of ever declining profitability led to falling real wages. No growth in employment over the past three years has enabled profits to approach their former level of around 20 percent of gross output, while real wages have reached their former 1994 level. Total assets increased significantly until 1999. The surge of capital investment in 1999 was a 100 million JD increase in real estate management fixed assets. Capital investment only increased again in 2003.

Foreign provision of business services in Jordan is generally limited to some extent. Some sub-sectors such as management consulting and information management are fully liberalized. The professions generally require Jordanian residency and sometimes nationality. For example, real estate agents must be natural Jordanian persons. **Figure 29 Business Services Subsector Performance**

(a) Annual Change in Output, Profit, Fixed Assets



(b) Labor Productivity and Compensation

(c) Factor Returns per Employee



Post and Telecommunications

With the entry of mobile provider Fastlink into the market in 1995, the privatization of Jordan Telecom between 2000 and 2002, and the start-up of a second mobile phone operator, MobileCom in 2000, growth in this sector has been rapid. Real output has grown about 300 percent between 1994 and 2002. Employment has remained fairly constant between 7,000 and 9,000. Therefore, real GVA per employee increased significantly, from 22,000 JD in 1994 to 41,000 in 2002. Average annual worker compensation increased from 2,371 JD in 1994 to 8,364 in 2003. Capital expenditure has been volatile, with a large increase after the establishment of Fastlink in 1996 and lower annual growth each year since 1998. Despite rapidly increasing output, and Jordan Telecom's monopoly over long distance telephony, operating margins have tended to decrease over time – likely due to the competition-oriented regulatory structure of the industry. Perhaps more importantly, the government has maintained a rising level of tax revenue.⁶⁵

The majority of postal services are restricted to the national postal services. Jordan has signed the Basic Telecommunications Reference Paper as an attachment of its GATS

⁶⁵ Fastlink and Mobilcom have entered into revenue sharing agreemtns with the Government.

schedule. However, until the beginning of 2005 Jordan Telecom has had a monopoly over most fixed line telecommunication services.

Figure 30 Post and Telecommunication Subsector Performance (a) Annual Change in Output, Profit, Fixed Assets



(b) Labor Productivity and Compensation

(c) Factor Returns per Employee



employment and one quarter of its gross output. Total employment grew steadily reaching 100,000 in 1999. Since then employment has been constant. Real output grew very slowly during 1996-2001 causing GVA per employee to decline over this period. Gross output and value added have grown strongly since 2001. However, this gain has been captured in higher operating surpluses, rather than higher average annual compensation or taxes and depreciation. Profits have increased to new highs while average employee compensation fell from 1,948 in 1994 to 1,357 in 1999 and only increased to 1,492 by 2003. Real wages have fallen continuously over the entire period under study. The value of fixed assets has decreased, even in nominal terms. Declining depreciation means firms are not reinvesting in new vehicles.

Jordan has made very few commitments under GATS to permitting foreign providers of transport services to operate in Jordan. The few that are made with respect sea transport and auxiliary transport services are subject to nationality and foreign equity limits. Under Jordanian law no foreign equity is permitted in road transport firms at all.

Figure 31 Transport and Warehousing Subsector Performance (a) Annual Change in Output, Profit, Fixed Assets

Impact of Trade Liberalization on Jordanian Manufacturing and Services Performance 1994-2003



(b) Labor Productivity and Compensation



(c) Factor Returns per Employee

Trade

Trading employment remained relatively flat during 1997-2000. Real gross output has grown slowly except for a large fall in 1998. This fall led to a new lower equilibrium real GVA per employee. Operating surplus has steadily declined with a massive -40 percent fall in 1999. Real wages increased slowly peaking in 2000. In 2003 real wages were 8.5 percent higher than in 1994. Increasing real output since 2000 was accompanied by a 15 percent increase in employment in 2001 so real GVA per worker did not increase. However, low real wages meant the long-term downward profitability trend was reversed. Capital expenditure growth peaked in 1999. Rising depreciation and taxes since 2000 have taken their share of profit growth.

As a labor-intensive industry trading output growth has been accompanied by an increase in employment – likely an extensive expansion of firm numbers rather an intensive expansion of firm turnover. Flexible real wages maintain employment levels during periods of recession at the cost of productivity. This reflects the family ownership of small shops. The trading sector is relatively closed to competition from foreign providers. Commission agents must be Jordanian nationals or form companies and be registered in Jordan. All foreign investors in trading activities are subject to 50 percent foreign equity limits.⁶⁶

Figure 32 Trade Subsector Performance





One of Jordan's strengths is its banking sector. The sector attracts considerable funds from the region. Recent reforms of the securities market have deepened financial intermediation reducing the cost of capital for firms.⁶⁷ These reforms have been implemented over the last fifteen years. Although Jordan included commitments on each financial subsector in its GATS Schedule, WTO membership has not prompted these reforms. Jordan placed some restrictions on these commitments – commercial presence is required and real property in Jordan cannot be mortgaged to banks located overseas.

Figures are only available between 1998 and 2003 and may not fully reflect the underlying characteristics of the financial sector. After a bad period for banking in 1998 and 1999, the banking and insurance sectors have enjoyed significant growth in gross output, and particularly in operating surplus. Banking GVA per employee has grown from 8,759 in 1998 to 19,861 2003. While annual average compensation for both

⁶⁶ Jordan permitted a Kuwaiti firm to purchase a controlling share of Safeway in Jordan in 2004. Under WTO rules this is a tacit approval of an increase in the foreign equity cap.

See Susan Crean, Rishi Goyal, Mushfiq Mobarak and Randa Sab, Financial Sector Development in the Middle East and North

Africa, IMF WP/04/201, 2004. Jordan is ranked third in terms of a financial development index score of 6.9 out of 10, compared with Lebanon at 7.0 and Bahrain at 7.7. The regional average was 5.0.

banking and insurance has increased from 8,500 to 10,000, operating surplus per employee increased from a loss of 1,000 to a gain of 7,340. Taxes and depreciation have remained constant over this period. Jordan has a high ratio of foreign bank to domestic bank assets (68 percent in 1998). During this recent period of growth a number of foreign banks established retail banking networks and began providing foreign currency deposits and loans.

Figure 33 Banking and Insurance Subsector Performance (a) Annual Change in Output, Profit, Fixed Assets



(b) Labor Productivity and Compensation

(c) Factor Returns per Employee



construction output declined significantly each year between 1994 and 1998, and then increased each year thereafter. Employment steadily increased leading to large falls in GVA per employee in the 1990s. Operating surplus reached its low point of 2 percent of output in 1999. Since 1999, output and profit have rebounded, although operating margins are only two thirds of their 9 percent 1994-5 average.

Although, construction employment data is not available between 1999-2003, estimations based on the national unemployment survey indicate that average worker compensation has steadily increased since 1995 – this is unique among all services. The minimum wage introduced in 1999 may have supported payments to entry level construction workers but at 960 JD a year it was well below the average annual compensation of 2,391 JD in 1998. Average annual compensation is currently about 3,000 JD – almost three times higher than the current minimum wage. Rising wages and a flat operating surplus per employee suggest that competition in the industry is bidding up the price of labor while keeping margins down. The availability of foreign laborers should act as a limit on real wage increases. If these employment figures are correct, it is likely that quotas on

employment of foreign workers and increases in foreign worker permits have slowed recruitment of foreign construction workers.

The sector is not very liberalized. Foreign construction firms generally have to form joint ventures with local firms and/or are subject to 50 percent foreign equity limits. Foreign firms also need to possess more experience than Jordanian firms to obtain a certain grading.

Construction 200% 150% 50% 0% 1995 1996 1997 1896 1997 2000 2001 2002 2003 50%

Figure 34 Construction Subsector Performance (a) Annual Change in Output, Profit, Fixed Assets



100

(c) Factor Returns per Employee



and 2005. The average operating margin ht 2005 was 25 percent, down from 55 percent in 1994. Nominal surveyed GVA per employee was up modestly at 13 percent and fixed assets were up 64 percent.⁶⁸ In general, competition has acted to increase output and reduce profits. Moreover, as the earlier discussion suggested, these appear to be new medium term equilibriums, rather than mid-point increases or falls.

This performance is exemplified by the two sectors most open to foreign competition. Output and GVA per employee increased by more than 100 percent in both telecom and banking while banking profit margins fell by 64 percent. Transport and storage is the most protected sector. GVA per employee and fixed assets fell by 3 percent and 15 percent respectively while profitability increased by 45 percent.

3.6.3.1 Profitable and Protected Subsectors

The table below lists those individual subsectors with high profit margins that have not improved efficiency significantly. Not surprisingly, transport and retail trade are the most protected service sectors in the country and have restructured the least. Road

⁶⁸ Note that real GVA per worker, measured by the DOS GDP data series, declined over the entire ten-year period.

freight transport suffered falling fixed asset values, falling efficiency in terms of GVA per employee and rising profitability. The operating margin increased by 125 percent to 46 percent in 2003, almost twice the services sector average. Passenger land transport is the third largest subsector after telecommunication and building. While GVA per employee did increase by 14 percent, profit margin also increased to 46 percent.

	2003			Change	Change	
	Output	Change	2003	in	in GVA	
	(000)	in	Profit	Profit	per	
Subsector	JD)	Output	Margin	Margin	Employee	
Freight transport by road	229,929	82%	46%	125%	-17%	
Other scheduled, non-scheduled	299,106	53%	46%	9%	14%	
Retail sale of second-hand goods in	13,458	76%	43%	-19%	-22%	
Non-specialized retail trade in stores	106,111	-1%	46%	-21%	-20%	
Retail sale of food, beverages, tobacco	60,268	88%	47%	-26%	-5%	
Sale, repair of motor vehicles, retail sale	148,431	40%	39%	-28%	13%	
Retail trade not in stores	1,381	-37%	50%	-32%	-63%	
Other retail trade of new goods in	208,551	11%	35%	-39%	-25%	
Real estate activities with own or leased	20,013	361%	28%	-43%	-12%	
Sea and coastal water transport	20,586	-20%	24%	-16%	-38%	

Table 21 Profitable and Protected Service Subsectors

Source: Derived from DOS Annual Service Survey 1994-2003.

Note: Percentage change between 1994 and 2003.

Retail trade has enjoyed similar high profits and declining efficiency. All but one retail subsectors enjoyed increased profitability and declining productivity. Specialized and non-specialized retail trade both experienced modest increases in output, high profit margins (35 percent and 46 percent of output respectively) and falling GVA per employee (-25 percent and -20 percent respectively).

3.6.3.2 Adjusting Firms – Improving Productivity, Low Profitability

The following table lists those subsectors that experienced falling profit margins resulting in low profit margins in 2003 while increasing GVA per employer between 1994 and 2003. The table includes subsectors competing with the public sector, i.e. health, education services and postal services, and also construction related services.

The two transport subsectors that have being liberalized are on the list, rail and air transport. Local airlines (dominated by Royal Jordanian Airlines) and the two rail operations in the Kingdom have not increased output over the ten years under study. Rail operational surplus declined to -66 percent of output in 2000. Air transport services experienced negative or low profitability during 2001-2003 after the intifada and conflict in Iraq. However, both subsectors have each halved their workforce and halved the value of their total fixed assets increasing productivity by 50 percent.

The national post and courier services have increased output by 48 percent and trimmed their workforce to increase productivity by 38 percent. However, profit is still elusive. A

positive operating margin was achieved only in 1998. The large increase in fixed assets is a 14 million JD increase in 2003.

Private hospitals enjoyed a 300 percent increase in output while managing to just double their workforce. However, profits have continued to fall over the entire ten-year period. The starting operating surplus in 1994 was unusually high, but even taking the 1995 value shows that profitability has dropped 33 percent.

Private education, both primary and secondary, have enjoyed growth of 100 percent or more. In particular, secondary teaching has become 30 percent more efficient in terms of labor productivity. Both subsectors experienced a slump in profitability in the period 1999-2001, but have managed to recover the levels of 1994 and 1995.

Finally the construction sector has enjoyed significant output growth yet profitability has declined while productivity has increased modestly. As discussed above, employment and average compensation have been sustained at the expense of operating profits. The resulting operating margins of between 5 percent-10 percent of output may be appropriate for such an industry. Mention should be made of the hotel industry which has been suffering steadily declining profits over the entire ten-year period. Although, exacerbated recently by regional conflict, increasing new hotel development has led to rising employment numbers and has bid up average compensation. However, modest output growth has meant falling GVA per employee and record profit losses in 2003. Minimum staff numbers per hotel restrict the ability of this industry to improve labor productivity.

, , , , , , , , , ,	_			
	2003 Output (000 JD)	Change Output	2003 Profitability	
Labour recruitment and provision of personnel	291	2347%	-8%	
Motion picture and video production and distribution	12,643	635%	-1%	
Advertising	14,910	205%	12%	
Veterinary activities	206	187%	14%	
Other recreational activities	7,563	272%	13%	
Transport via railways	8,031	4%	-2%	
Scheduled and non-scheduled air transport	276,159	-4%	3%	
Hospital activities	104,695	295%	2%	
National post activities	9,963	43%	-28%	
Building-cleaning activities	10,389	205%	-3%	
General secondary education	56,409	143%	9%	
Other human health activities	7,106	189%	16%	
Other entertainment activities n.e.c.	6,018	71%	7%	
Activities of travel agencies and tour operators	45,618	428%	8%	
Primary education	30,160	95%	11%	

Table 22 Adjusting Service Subsectors

Impact of Trade Liberalization on Jordanian Manufacturing and Services Performance 1994-2003

	Building installation	134,886	454%	9%	
	Building complete constructions, parts; civil engineering	311,258	2%	5%	
	Hotels; camping sites, other short-stay accommodation	113,776	69%	-10%	
		002			

Source: Derived from DOS Annual Service Survey 1994-2003.

Note: Percentage change between 1994 and 2003.

3.6.3.3 Successful Subsectors – Profitable and Productive

Table 23 lists subsectors that have improved both profitability and productivity over the ten-year period. Some of the improvement is due to regulatory liberalization, e.g. in higher education and warehousing. Servicing booming sectors is a more likely reason for their success. Many of these subsectors benefit from the rising volume of international trade. For example, the activities of other transport agencies include freight forwarders and customs brokers, renting of land transport equipment, storage and warehousing. Output has grown in response to the increasing volume of international trade. Architectural and engineering services and the renting of construction machinery and has benefited from the housing boom.

	2003				
	Output				Chang
	(000	Change	2003	Change	GVA
	JD)	Output	Profitability	Profitability	Emple
Renting of construction, civil					
engineering machinery	1,458	601%	74%	153%	370%
Storage and warehousing	18,541	354%	46%	1107%	255%
Activities of other transport agencies	183,434	2269%	49%	44%	186%
Renting of land transport equipment	9,219	148%	23%	31%	175%
Market research and public opinion					
polling	226	348%	19%	140%	166%
Other service activities n.e.c.	337	831%	22%	73%	108%
Higher education	95,061	302%	29%	15%	90%
Cargo/passenger handling/brokerage services and facilities	191,529	190%	47%	42%	86%
Architectural, engineering activities,					
related consultancy	34,646	105%	26%	29%	80%
Business and management					
consultancy activities	5,676	336%	31%	37%	59%
Restaurants, bars and canteens	125,369	48%	21%	13%	58%

 Table 23 Service Subsectors Experiencing Improved Profitability and Productivity

Source: Derived from DOS Annual Service Survey 1994-2003.

Note: Percentage change between 1994 and 2003.

Table 24 Change in Key Performance Indicators of Service Subsectors 1994 and 2003

	2002	-	2(
	2003	Change	20		
	Output	Change	Pı		
	(000 JD)	Output	М		<u> </u>
Building Completion	816	-32%	_9		
Retail trade not in stores	1.381	-37%	5(<u> </u>
Sea and coastal water transport	20.586	-20%	24		
Other retail trade of new goods in specialized stores	208.551	11%	3:		
Retail sale of second-hand goods in stores	13.458	76%	4		
Non-specialized retail trade in stores	106.111	-1%	4(
Freight transport by road	229,929	82%	4(
Investigation and security activities	5,742	642%	91		
Real estate activities with own or leased property	20.013	361%	28		
Motion picture projection	12,493	574%	-2		ĺ
Retail sale of food, beverages and tobacco in specialized stores	60.268	88%	4		
Hotels: camping sites, other short-stay accommodation	113.776		-1		
Dramatic arts, music and other art activities	828	49%	0		
Site Preparation	4.978	64%	20		
Building complete constructions or parts thereof: civil engineering	311.258		50		
Primary education	30.160	95%	11		
Building installation	134.886		9(
Wholesale, commission trade, except of motor vehicles	254.744	199%	24		
Sale, repair of motor vehicles, retail sale of automotive fuel	148.431	40%	30		
Other scheduled and non-scheduled passenger land transport	299.106		4		
	5.753	<u> </u>	29		
Photographic activities			4		
Renting of private and household goods n.e.c.	3.375	42%			
Washing and (drv-) cleaning of textile and fur products	6.162	50%	32		<u> </u>
Activities of travel agencies and tour operators	45.618	428%	81		
Renting of other machinery and equipment n.e.c.	280	264%	20		
Other entertainment activities n.e.c.	6.018	71%	74		
Repair of personal and household goods	11.521	46%	5:		
Other human health activities	7.106	189%	1(
General secondary education	56,409	143%	91		
Legal activities	16.229	122%	52		
Medical and dental practice activities	31.976	44%	4		
Building-cleaning activities	10.389	205%	_3		
National post activities	9,963	43%	-2		ĺ
Hospital activities	104.695	295%	20		
Insurance	48.529	54%	2:		
Hairdressing and other beauty treatment	25.556	55%	48		
Maintenance and repair of office and computing machinery	1.041	161%	4		
Real estate activities on a fee or contract basis	4.775	47%	44		
Scheduled and non-scheduled air transport	276.159		31		
Adult and other education	11.163	83%	2(
	8.031	4%	-2		
Transport via railways	11.805	4% 591%	34		
Other business activities n.e.c.		272%	11		
Other recreational activities	7.563		2		<u> </u>
Restaurants, bars and canteens	125.369		2		
Business and management consultancy activities	5.676	336%	3		<u> </u>
Veterinary activities	206	187%	14		<u> </u>
Advertising	14.910	205%	12		
Software consultancy and supply	17.699	1447%	2		
Architectural, engineering activities, related technical consultancy	34.646	105%	2(
Cargo/bassenger handling/brokerage services and facilities	191.529	190%	4		├──
Higher education	95,061	302%	29		<u> </u>
Accounting, book-keeping and auditing activities: tax consultancy	8.576	128%	24		I

Impact of Trade Liberalization on Jordanian Manufacturing and Services Performance 1994-2003

Other service activities n.e.c.	337	831%	22		
Telecom	599.166	212%	20		
Market research and public opinion polling	226	348%	19		
Renting of land transport equipment	9.219	148%	2:		
Motion picture and video production and distribution	12.643	635%	-1		
Storage and warehousing	18.541	354%	4(
Labor recruitment and provision of personnel	291	2347%	-8		
Renting of construction, civil engineering machinery	1.458	601%	7₄		
Other computer related activities	74	-77%	-1		
Research and development on natural sciences and engineering	1.809	38%	-1		
Funeral and related activities	60	-35%	19		
Telecom	599,166	212%	26		Ē
Total: Transport and Storage	1.089.497	51%	3:		
Total: Wholesale and retail trade	804.465	51%	3:		
Total Construction - Contractors	451.938	37%	6'		Ē
Total: Hotels. Professions and Other Profit-oriented Services	821.563.6	127%	1(
Total: Banks and financial institutions	378.758	95%	3(
Total: All Profit Services 1998-2003	4.203.881	44%	2:		
Total All Profit Services excluding Telecom. Insurance	3.776.594	82%	2:		

Source: Derived from DOS Annual Service Survey 1994-2003.

Notes: Percentage change between 1994 and 2003. For telecom 1998-2003; Insurance 1999-2003; All Construction Subsectors 1994-2002; Other Computer Related Activities, Funeral and Related Activities, Research and Development on Natural Sciences and Engineering 1999-2003; Storage and Warehousing operating surplus, 1995.

Table 25Comparing Key Service Subsector Performance Indicators with TradeRestrictiveness

	Change	Change	Chang	
	Output	Profit	GVA	
Building Completion	-32%	-251%	-67%	
Retail trade not in stores	-37%	-32%	-63%	
Sea and coastal water transport	-20%	-16%	-38%	
Other retail trade of new goods in specialized stores	11%	-39%	-25%	
Retail sale of second-hand goods in stores	76%	-19%	-22%	
Non-specialized retail trade in stores	-1%	-21%	-20%	
Freight transport by road	82%	125%	-17%	
Investigation and security activities	642%	-11%	-15%	
Real estate activities with own or leased property	361%	-43%	-12%	
Motion picture projection	574%	-259%	-8%	
Retail sale of food, beverages and tobacco in specialized stores	88%	-26%	-5%	
Hotels: camping sites, other short-stay accommodation	69%	-143%	-4%	
Dramatic arts, music and other art activities	49%	-101%	-2%	
Site Preparation	64%	-31%	0%	
Building complete constructions or parts thereof: civil engineering	2%	-38%	5%	
Primary education	95%	-14%	11%	

Impact of Trade Liberalization on Jordanian Manufacturing and Services Performance 1994-2003
--

	1 - 1	110		1
Building installation	454%	-46%	11%	
Wholesale, commission trade, except of motor vehicles	199%	-58%	12%	
Sale. repair of motor vehicles. retail sale of automotive fuel	40%	-28%	13%	
Other scheduled and non-scheduled passenger land transport	53%	9%	14%	
Photographic activities	9%	28%	14%	
Renting of private and household goods n.e.c.	42%	55%	16%	
Washing and (drv-) cleaning of textile and fur products	50%	31%	18%	
Activities of travel agencies and tour operators	428%	-77%	19%	
Renting of other machinery and equipment n.e.c.	264%	54%	22%	
Other entertainment activities n.e.c.	71%	-67%	24%	
Repair of personal and household goods	46%	25%	27%	
Other human health activities	189%	-14%	30%	
General secondary education	143%	-7%	31%	
Legal activities	122%	20%	31%	
Medical and dental practice activities	44%	21%	35%	
Building-cleaning activities	205%	-121%	36%	
National post activities	43%	-2%	38%	
Hospital activities	295%	-85%	40%	
Insurance	54%	85%	40%	
Hairdressing and other beauty treatment	55%	22%	42%	
Maintenance and repair of office and computing machinery	161%	58%	43%	
Real estate activities on a fee or contract basis	47%	10%	45%	
Scheduled and non-scheduled air transport	-4%	-59%	47%	
Adult and other education	83%	41%	47%	
Transport via railways	4%	-80%	48%	
Other business activities n.e.c.	591%	-7%	48%	
Other recreational activities	272%	-15%	50%	
Restaurants, bars and canteens	48%	13%	58%	
Business and management consultancy activities	336%	37%	59%	
Veterinary activities	187%	-46%	61%	
Advertising	205%	-51%	64%	
Software consultancy and supply	1447%	-36%	79%	
Architectural, engineering activities, related technical consultancy	105%	29%	80%	
Cargo/passenger handling/brokerage services and facilities	190%	42%	86%	
Higher education	302%	15%	90%	
Accounting, book-keeping and auditing activities: tax consultancy		-2%	91%	
Other service activities n.e.c.	831%	73%	108%	
Telecom	212%	-67%	110%	
Market research and public opinion polling	348%	140%	166%	
Renting of land transport equipment	148%	31%	175%	
Motion picture and video production and distribution	635%	-86%	247%	
Storage and warehousing	354%	123%	255%	
Labor recruitment and provision of personnel	2347%	-196%	297%	
Renting of construction, civil engineering machinery	601%	153%	370%	
Other computer related activities	-77%	-65%	_	
Research and development on natural sciences and engineering	38%	-76%	-	
Funeral and related activities	-35%	-300%	_	

Source: Derived from DOS Annual Service Survey 1994-2003.

Notes: Percentage Change between 1994 and 2003. For telecom, 1998-2003; insurance, 1999-2003; all construction subsectors, 1994-2002; other computer related activities, funeral and related activities, research and development on natural sciences and engineering, 1999-2003; storage and warehousing operating surplus, 1995. The law appears to provide more liberal

treatment than Jordan's WTO GATS Schedule for this subsector. * Before the Regulating Non-Jordanian Investments Regulation No. 54 2000, unstated government policy was to impose 50 percent foreign equity ceiling on all sectors except, hotels, hospitals, agriculture, industry and maritime and rail transport (IBLAW, 1998, p16).

Annex 1 WTO Accession Tariff Reductions

The following table sets out the simple average tariff for each chapter of the Harmonized System⁶⁹ before and at the time of WTO accession (March 2000 and April 2000). The simple average bound tariffs are also listed for March 2005 (half way through the accession program) and March 2010 (completion of accession tariff reductions).

HS PRIV ATE	Chapter Description	Applied (March 2000)	Upon Accession		Marc 2010
1	Live animals.	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%
2	Meat and edible meat offal.	18.3%	15.8%	14.2%	14.29
3	Fish and crustaceans, molluscs and other aquatic invertebrates.		22.7%	21.4%	20.19
4	Dairy produce; birds eggs; natural honey; edible products of animal origin, not elsewhere specified or included.		16.6%	16.3%	14.99
5	Products of animal origin; not elsewhere specified or included.	14.5%	13.6%	13.6%	13.69
6	Live trees and other plants; bulbs, roots and the like; cut flowers and ornamental foliage.	20.5%	19.5%	18.4%	18.49
7	Edible vegetables and certain roots and tubers.	23.3%	23.1%	22.7%	21.99
8	Edible fruit and nuts; peel of citrus fruit or melons.	28.4%	26.6%	25.6%	25.29
9	Coffee, tea, mate, and spices.	27.8%	26.6%	25.8%	25.89
10	Cereals.	6.3%	7.7%	6.3%	6.3%
11	Products of the milling industry; malt; starches; inulin; wheat gluten.	13.6%	13.5%	13.4%	13.29
12	Oil seeds and oleaginous fruits; miscellaneous grains, seeds and fruit; industrial or medical plants; straw and fodder.		15.7%	15.3%	15.19
13	Lac; gums, resins and other vegetable saps and extracts.	21.7%	21.7%	21.7%	21.79
14	Vegetable plaiting materials; vegetable products not elsewhere specified or included.	10.4%	10.0%	10.0%	10.09
15	Animal or vegetable fats and oils and their cleavage products; prepared edible fats; animal or vegetable waxes.		18.7%	18.4%	18.09
16	Preparations of meat, of fish or of crustaceans, molluscs or other aquatic invertebrates.		27.3%	23.1%	23.19
17	Sugars and sugar confectionery.	23.8%	20.2%	18.3%	18.39
18	Cocoa and cocoa preparations.	24.6%	19.6%	17.5%	17.5%

⁶⁹ Farhat Farhat, "Comparative Assessment of Jordan's Accession to the WTO," January 20, 2000, pp 21 – 24.

HS PRIV ATE	Chapter Description	Applied (March 2000)	Binding Upon Accession	Binding March 2005	Bindi Marc 2010
19	Preparations of cereals, flour, starch of milk; pastrycooks' products		23.4%	21.6%	21.69
20	Preparations of vegetables, fruit, nuts, or other parts of plants	30.8%	24.5%	21.4%	21.49
21	Miscellaneous edible preparations.	28.8%	22.7%	20.2%	20.29
22	Beverages, spirits and vinegar.	124.7	144.7	123.3	122.6
23	Residues and waste from the food industries; prepared animal fodder.	7.5%	6.6%	6.3%	6.3%
24	Tobacco and manufactured tobacco substitutes.	65.8%	119 %	65.8%	65.89
25	Salt; sulphur; earth and stone; plastering materials, lime and cement.	20.8%	21.1%	19.2%	19.29
26	Ores, slag and ash.	5.0%	8.3%	5.0%	5.0%
27	Mineral fuels, mineral oils and products of their distillation; bituminous substances; mineral waxes.		18.2%	14.9%	14.09
28	Inorganic chemicals; organic or inorganic compounds of precious metals, of rare-earth metal, of radioactive elements or of isotopes.		7.4%	5.2%	5.1%
29	Organic chemicals.	7.0%	6.7%	4.8%	4.8%
30	Pharmaceutical products.	10.0%	10.0%	2.6%	0.2%
31	Fertilizers.	8.4%	8.4%	8.4%	8.4%
32	Tanning or dyeing extracts; tannins and their derivatives; dyes, pigments and other coloring matter; paints and varnishes; putty and other mastics; inks.		16.9%	8.8%	7.6%
33	Essential oils and resinoids; perfumery, cosmetics or toilet preparations	25.6%	23.3%	10.3%	9.4%
34	Soap, organic surface-active agents, washing preparations, lubricating preparations, artificial waxes, prepared waxes, polishing or scouring preparations, candles and similar articles, modelling pastes, 'dental waxes" and dental preparations with a basis of plaster.		23.0%	7.9%	7.0%
35	Albuminoidal substances, modified starches; glues; enzymes.	19.5%	18.0%	14.5%	13.59
36	Explosives; pyrotechnic products;; matches; pyrophoric alloys; certain combustible preparations.		23.3%	6.9%	6.5%
37	Photographic or cinematographic goods.	28.2%	25.2%	7.1%	6.4%
38	Miscellaneous chemical products.	17.9%	17.7%	8.8%	7.2%
39	Plastic and articles thereof.	17.5%	16.3%	6.7%	5.7%
40	Rubber and articles thereof	21.4%	21.9%	18.5%	17.5%

Impact of Trade Liberalization on Jordanian Manufacturing and Services Performance 1994-2003

HS PRIV ATE	Chapter Description	Applied (March 2000)	Binding Upon Accession	Binding March 2005	Bindi Marc 2010
41	Raw hides and skins (other than furskins) and leather.		30.0%	28.3%	26.79
42	Articles of leather; saddlery and harness; travel goods, handbags and similar containers; articles of animal gut (other than silk-worm gut)		30.0%	19.7%	19.49
43	Furskins and artificial fur; manufactures thereof.	22.5%	20.0%	19.7%	19.49
44	Wood and articles of wood charcoal.	22.5%	22.8%	20.1%	18.69
45	Cork and articles of cork.	15.4%	17.9%	14.6%	14.6%
46	Manufactures of straw, of esparto or of other plaiting materials; basketware and wickerwork.	21.0%	21.0%	18.5%	18.59
47	Pulp of wood or other fibrous cellulosic material; recovered (waste and scrap) paper or paperboard		9.0%	5.0%	5.0%
48	Paper and paperboard; articles of paper pulp; of paper or of paperboard	23.4%	23.6%	21.2%	20.59
49	Printed books, newspapers, pictures and other products of the printing industry; manuscripts and plans.		16.8%	13.6%	14.39
50	Silk.	14.0%	14.0%	12.5%	11.09
51	Wool, fine or coarse animal hair; horsehair yarn and woven fabric.	13.4%	13.4%	12.0%	10.59
52	Cotton.	20.0%	20.0%	17.1%	13.5%
53	Other vegetable textile fibers; paper yarn and woven fabrics of paper.	11.8%	11.8%	10.8%	9.7%
54	Man-made filaments.	18.1%	18.1%	15.7%	13.39
55	Man-made staple fibers.	20.3%	20.3%	17.4%	14.5%
56	Wadding, felt and nonwovens; special yarn; twine, cordage ropes and cables and articles thereof.		21.8%	18.5%	15.39
57	Carpets and other textile floor covering.	30.0%	30.0%	25.0%	20.09
58	Special woven fabrics; tufted textile fabrics; lace; tapestries; trimmings; embroidery.		26.9%	22.6%	18.29
59	Impregnated coated, covered or laminated textile fabrics; textile articles of a kind suitable for industrial use.		21.0%	17.9%	14.79
60	Knitted or crocheted fabrics.	30.0%	30.0%	25.0%	16.9%
61	Articles of apparel and clothing accessories, knitted or crocheted.		28.3%	23.7%	19.19
62	Articles of apparel and clothing accessories, not knitted or crochet.		29.2%	24.3%	19.59
63	Other made up textile articles; sets; worn	29.5%	29.5%	24.7%	19.29

Impact of Trade Liberalization on Jordanian Manufacturing and Services Performance 1994-2003
HS PRIV ATE	Chapter Description	Applied (March 2000)	Binding Upon Accession	Binding March 2005	Bindi Marc 2010
	clothing and worn textile articles; rags.				
64	Footwear, gaiters and the like; parts of such articles	30.0%	30.0%	29.4%	28.89
65	Headgear and parts thereof.	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.09
66	Umbrellas and sun umbrellas, walking-sticks, seat-sticks, whips, riding-crops and parts thereof.		30.0%	30.0%	30.09
67	Prepared feathers and down and articles made of feathers or down; artificial flowers; articles of human hair.	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.09
68	Article of stone, plaster, cement, asbestos, mica or similar materials.	27.5%	27.5%	26.6%	26.49
69	Ceramic products.	25.3%	25.3%	23.0%	22.5%
70	Glass and glassware.	24.8%	24.1%	21.5%	20.5%
71	Natural or cultured pearls, precious or semi- precious stones, precious metal, metal clad with precious metal and articles thereof; imitation jewellery; coins.	17.6%	17.9%	16.0%	15.99
72	Iron and steel.	16.6%	19.8%	15.1%	13.79
73	Articles of iron or steel.	27.3%	27.0%	24.4%	23.99
74	Copper and articles thereof.	19.9%	20.7%	18.2%	17.49
75	Nickel and articles thereof.	23.3%	22.6%	22.1%	22.19
76	Aluminium and articles thereof.	20.2%	20.7%	18.6%	18.59
78	Lead and articles thereof.	18.0%	19.7%	16.7%	15.79
79	Zinc and articles thereof.	16.0%	17.3%	15.3%	15.39
80	Tin and articles thereof.	15.8%	18.8%	15.4%	15.49
81	Other base metals; cermets; articles thereof.	9.0%	13.5%	8.9%	8.9%
82	Tools, implements, cutlery, spoons and forks, of base metal, parts thereof of base metal.		19.8%	16.8%	16.79
83	Miscellaneous articles of base metal.	29.6%	26.8%	25.8%	25.79
84	mechanical appliance; part thereof.	9.9%	11.7%	8.3%	7.9%
85	Electrical machinery and equipments and parts thereof; sound recorder and reproducers, television image and sound recorders and reproducers, and parts and accessories of such articles.		21.0%	14.7%	14.19
86	Railway or tramway locomotive rolling-stock and parts thereof; railway or tramway track fixtures and fittings and parts thereof; mechanical (including electro-mechanical) traffic signalling equipment of all kinds.		8.3%	3.3%	3.3%

HS PRIV ATE	Chapter Description	Applied (March 2000)	Binding Upon Accession		Bindi Marc 2010
87	Vehicles other than railway or tarmway rolling- stock and parts accessories thereof.	21.1%	20.8%	18.4%	17.69
88	Aircraft, spacecraft, and parts thereof.	5.7%	7.7%	5.7%	5.7%
89	Ships, boats floating structures.	2.9%	6.8%	2.9%	2.9%
90	Optical photographic and cinematographic, measuring, checking, precision, medical or surgical instruments and apparatus; parts and accessories thereof.		18.2%	11.6%	10.59
91	Clocks and watches and parts thereof.	23.6%	24.3%	21.1%	20.39
92	Musical instruments; parts and accessories of such articles.	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.09
93	Arms and ammunition; parts and accessories thereof.	29.3%	26.2%	25.2%	25.29
94	Furniture; bedding, mattresses, mattress supports, cushions and similar stuffed furnishings; lamps and lighting fittings, not elsewhere specified or included; illuminated nameplate and the like; prefabricated buildings.		27.3%	27.2%	26.69
95	Toys, games and sport requisites; parts and accessories.	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.09
96	Miscellaneous manufactures articles.	26.2%	25.2%	24.0%	24.09
97	Works of art, collectors' pieces and antiques.	27.5%	28.1%	27.5%	26.39
	TOTAL	17.7%	19.6%	16.6%	16.39

Impact of Trade Liberalization on Jordanian Manufacturing and Services Performance 1994-2003

Annex 2 EU Exports to Jordan Restricted under Association Agreement70

Examples of agricultural products restricted under Protocol Two are:

- § Peaches, prepared or preserved
- § Barley
- § Preserved Olives
- § Butter
- § Processed Cheese and dairy spreads
- § Semi/wholly milled rice
- § Fresh and Frozen meat (with and without bones)
- § Animal Feed (other than that used for cats and dogs)
- § Live Animals

Goods and Products Containing an Agricultural Component that have been Excluded from Tariff Reductions Allowed by Association Agreement, and are Listed in Annex I to the Treaty Establishing the European Community (1957):

- 1. Agricultural products: meaning the products of the soil, of stock farming and of fisheries and products of first-stage processing directly related to these products
- 2. Live animals, meat and edible meat offal, guts, bladders and stomachs of animals (other than fish), whole and pieces thereof
- 3. Fish, crustaceans and molluscs
- 4. Dairy produce; birds' eggs; natural honey
- 5. Live trees and other plants; bulbs, roots and the like; cut flowers and ornamental foliage
- 6. Coffee, tea and spices, excluding mate
- 7. Cereals and products of the milling industry; malt and starches; gluten; inulin
- 8. Some oil and lard types made from animals, vegetables and fish. Margarine, imitation lard and other prepared edible fats
- 9. Beet sugar and cane sugar, solids, and other sugars; sugar syrups; artificial honey (whether or not mixed with natural honey); caramel; molasses, whether or not decolourised, and flavoured or coloured sugars, syrups and molasses, but not including fruit juices containing added sugar in any proportion
- 10. Cocoa beans, whole or broken, raw or roasted, shells, husks, skins and waste.
- 11. Grape must, in fermentation or with fermentation arrested otherwise than by the addition of alcohol. Wine of fresh grapes; grape must with fermentation arrested by the addition of alcohol and other fermented beverages (for example, cider, perry and mead)
- 12. Ethyl alcohol or neutral spirits, whether or not denatured, of any strength
- 13. Vinegar and substitutes for vinegar
- 14. Un-manufactured tobacco, tobacco refuse
- 15. Natural cork, un-worked, crushed, granulated or ground; waste cork.
- 16. Flax, and True hemp raw or processed but not spun; flax and hemp tow and waste (including pulled or garnetted rags)

⁷⁰ Tables are copied from "The Jordan-EU Association Agreement, Trade Liberalisation in Goods", www.eucc.org, 2003,

Sensitive industrial product imports are restricted in Annexes II, IIIA and IIIB and IV.

Annex II: Lists of Industrial Products originating in the EU on which Jordan may retain an Agricultural Component. These are subject to a phased annual 10% reduction of the tariff until the maximum tariff reduction of 50% is reached, between 2006 and 2010.

- \cdot Milk and milk products, sweetened or not, with and without cocoa
- · Ice cream
- \cdot Butter, oils and fats
- · Corn, maize and sweet potatoes (fresh and preserved)
- · Confectionaries, chocolates and cocoa products
- · Pasta (with the exception of cooked or stuffed forms)
- · Yeast, bread and other bakery items
- · Chemicals and chemical products used for dying, tinting, adhesives and stabilisers
- \cdot Cocoa paste (with or without natural oils and fats)
- · Ethylene and alcoholic drinks and liquors
- · Non-alcoholic beverages not containing fruit or vegetable juices
- · Glycerine in all forms

Annex III – Table A

Annual tariff reductions of 20% starting 1 May 2002 until Total Duty and Quota Free Access is achieved on 1 May 2006

- · Medical instruments and appliances
- · Some mining products
- · Pharmaceuticals
- · Fertilisers
- · Jewellery and precious metals
- Industrial raw materials used as manufacturing inputs such as some chemicals, plastics and rubber
- · Leather products
- · Processed foods and some animal and vegetable products
- Some types of wood, pulp, cork, paper and packaging products used in the printing and packaging industry
- · Some types of textiles, clothes and garments products

Annex III – Table B

Annual tariff reductions of 10% starting on 1 May 2006 until total duty and quota free access achieved on 1 May 2014.

- · Some medical and optical appliances, clocks, watches and musical instruments
- · Some mining products
- · Some chemical products and related industries including plastics and rubber
- · Artificial flowers
- \cdot Electrical and mechanical appliances, spare parts, and different transportation vehicles

- · Some types of furniture, sports equipment, and different arts pieces
- · Some types of processed foods, fats, oils, non-alcoholic beverages, tobacco and cigarettes
- · Some types of wood, pulp, cork, paper and packaging products used in the printing and packaging industry
- \cdot Most types of textiles, clothes, shoes, handbags

Annex IV

Lists of Industrial Products Originating in the EU that do not undergo any tariff reductions for the first four years after the date of entry into force of the Agreement. Both Parties have agreed to reexamine this list and establish a tariff-dismantling schedule for these products.

Some examples are:

- \cdot Coffee and tea concentrates and related products
- · Food preparations, sauces, spices and processed mustard
- · Carpets, rugs and blankets
- · Alcoholic beverages
- \cdot Some types of ready wear, clothes and shoes
- \cdot Used vehicles
- \cdot Some types of furniture, lamps and electrical lights
- \cdot Pre-fabricated houses

Category	Tariff Elimination Rule	Example of Products Affect	eted		
A	Over two years in equal annual	Onions; candied nuts;			
	stages with duty free status in 2002	garments, not knitted or cro	ocheted, etc.		
В	Over 4 years in equal annual	Safety glad; cellulose an			
	stages, with duty free status in 2004	nylon yarn; men's and boy			
С	Over 5 years in equal annual	Electric blankets; men's a			
	stages, with duty free status in 2005	bread; wrapping paper; syn fabrics; footwear; buckets			
D	Over 10 years in equal annual	Many fresh, dried, and pro	cessed fruits and vege		
	stages, with duty free status in 2010	many spices, pasta, cousco or building stones, certair	varnishes, perfume,		
			hygiene products, (
		photographic materials, ce			
E	FTA = WTO	textiles and other fabrics, eWTOMilk substance for infants			
Е	FIA = WIO	; waferboard; cotton; w			
		fine animal hair carpets, etc			
Spec	ial Staging Categories: US Exports				
Category	Tariff Elimination Rule		Products Affected		
I	Over 8 years in annual stages	Various prepared			
J/K	Negligible or no change for the annual reductions until duty free		Apples and chicken		
L	No change for first 3 years fol	Alcoholic beverages			
L	year 4, 10% reduction in years		r deolione beverages		
	annual reductions until duty is 4				
М	No change for the first 4 years		Passenger cars and ve		
	reductions until duty free by 201	0	-		
	ial Staging Categories: Jordanian I	Exports to the US			
Category	Tariff Elimination Rule		Products Affected		
F	Tariff elimination in one step, ef	fective January 1, 2010	Certain textiles		
		apparels exported unc			
C			QIZ regime		
G	Immediate tariff elimination	land has 50% and and in the	GSP exports		
Н	No change for first 3 years fol year 4, 10% reduction in years		Alcoholic beverages		
	annual reductions until duty is 44				
		$\tau_{\rm eff}$ μ of dasc law m 2010.			
I			Re-exported manufa		
Ι	Special provisions		Re-exported manufa goods		

Annex 3	General Staging Categories for US and Jordanian Exports
---------	---

Impact of Trade Liberalization on Jordanian Manufacturing and Services Performance 1994-2003
--

Economic Activity	Year	No. of enterpri ses		per Employ	Total Payroll per Employ ee	Surplu	ing Surplu s /	
Extraction of crude petroleum and		1	74	107.77	16.41	(())	1510	10.0(0
natural gas	1997	1	74	137.77	16.41	66%	151%	12,068
	1998	1	78	118.53	17.68	65%	120%	10,761
	1999	1	75	108.21	7.57	63%	190%	10,999
	2000	1	74	141.67	8.37	83%	406%	11,112
	2001	-	73	117.68	7.29	81%	303%	9,082
	2002	-	180	70.17	7.71	76%	264%	13,251
	2003	-	173	71.06	6.17	77%	120%	12,965
Mining and quarrying	1994	129	8,349	15.24	5.14	14%	16%	236,27 9
	1995	131	8,025	22.86	6.08	27%	38%	286,74 7
	1996	143	8,491	22.35	6.36	22%	32%	315,03 2
	1997	144	8,981	21.40	6.04	21%	30%	328,12 3
	1998	152	9,270	20.90	7.29	14%	18%	355,03 4
	1999	128	8,470	22.63	10.21	6%	7%	373,03 9
	2000	129	7,895	24.12	7.71	18%	21%	332,34 9
	2001	-	7,520	25.32	7.83	23%	29%	331,51 4
	2002	-	7,127	26.51	8.43	21%	33%	349,00 7
	2003	-	7,422	26.20	8.40	22%	36%	352,25 4
Manufacture of food products and								405,73
beverages		1,778	17,307	6.63	1.49	10%	19%	4
	1995	1,939	18,504			7%	14%	504,19

Annex 4	Selected Performance Indicators of Industrial Sectors (000 JD)
---------	--

Economic Activity	Year	No. of enterpri ses		Gross Value Added per Employ ee	Total Payroll per Employ ee	ing Surplu	Operat ing Surplu s / Fixed Assets	Output
				6.22	1.60			4
								459,62
	1996	1,994	18,052	6.24	1.65	7%	14%	8
								550,56
	1997	2,112	20,167	5.69	1.73	4%	7%	8
								519,34
	1998	2,218	20,109	5.92	2.07	2%	4%	2
								506,51
	1999	2,881	22,395	6.02	1.98	5%	8%	6
	2000	3,195	24,119	6.29	2.08	7%	12%	545,44 8
								540,36
	2001	-	25,294	6.20	2.03	9%	15%	1
	2002	_	25,658		2.01	9%	18%	618,56 7
	2002		25,050	0.05	2.01	110	1070	674,54
	2003	-	26,522	7.12	2.01	10%	22%	6
Manufacture of tobacco products	1994	6	1,224	70.28	3.89	4%	24%	107,40 0
	1995	6	1,264	74.63	3.78	3%	19%	117,46 8
	1996		1,428		3.19	5%	24%	127,49 1
		8	1,052		2.54	3%	13%	131,90 1
								146,57
	1998	8	1,047	98.76	4.70	4%	19%	8 168,16
	1999	6	978	119.48	4.47	10%	42%	9
	2000	6	931	140.00	5.29	11%	69%	184,36 2
	2001	-	996	130.57	5.04	4%	24%	200,93 9
								211,74
	2002	-	1,148	114.65	4.86	0%	0%	4
	2003	-	1,162	114.67	5.07	2%	12%	216,15 6
Manufacture of textiles	1994	309	3,291	5.81	1.67	18%	21%	51,035

Economic Activity		No. of enterpri ses		Added	Total Payroll per Employ ee	ing Surplu	5 /	Output
	1995	348	3,711	5.56	1.65	18%	17%	55,061
	1996	354	4,118	4.58	1.82	8%	9%	62,141
	1997	365	3,487	4.89	1.76	7%	7%	54,122
	1998	363	3,191	8.47	2.10	20%	26%	65,947
	1999	625	3,524	5.97	1.83	15%	22%	51,391
	2000	564	2,867	6.80	2.05	16%	22%	47,524
	2001	_	3,327	6.61	2.01	17%	26%	53,114
	2002	-	3,317	6.52	1.97	19%	33%	50,842
	2003	-	3,029	8.01	2.18	23%	42%	53,029
Manufacture wearing apparel, dressing fur	1994	1,306	7,122	2.40	0.94	18%	25%	42,038
	1995	1,485	7,209	2.44	1.04	20%	62%	40,314
	1996	1,515	6,950	1.91	0.93	12%	30%	37,175
	1997	1,599	6,709	2.43	1.01	17%	45%	39,213
	1998	1,614	7,232	2.12	1.14	12%	15%	37,589
	1999	2,045	12,108	2.18	1.15	14%	16%	55,736
	2000	2,083	16,073	2.53	1.26	23%	27%	63,719
	2001	-	16,938	3.06	1.31	26%	47%	88,220
	2002	_	16,671	4.45	1.44	34%	89%	128,38 7
	2003	_	17,207	5.89	1.54	40%	102%	171,38 4
Tanning leather; manufacture	1994	239	2,051			18%	41%	29,109

Economic Activity	Year	No. of enterpri ses		ee	Total Payroll per Employ ee	ing Surplu	s /	Output
luggage, footwear				4.67	1.56			
	1995	249	1,676	4.50	1.69	13%	38%	28,591
	1996	256	2,385	3.50	1.58	7%	23%	33,811
	1997	271	2,419	3.91	1.60	11%	23%	30,088
	1998	333	2,097	3.93	1.67	13%	32%	23,299
	1999	404	2,329	2.97	1.35	5%	8%	20,047
	2000	345	1,982	4.05	2.00	8%	19%	28,160
	2001	-	2,511	3.07	1.50	6%	7%	21,962
	2002	-	2,462	2.97	1.52	5%	7%	20,718
	2003	-	1,645	3.85	1.80	13%	34%	17,782
Manufacture of wood, except furniture		1,045	2,842	2.84	0.72	29%	77%	18,161
	1995	1,240	3,423	2.02	0.78	12%	28%	21,895
	1996	1,277	3,762	1.55	0.71	11%	29%	19,859
	1997	1,303	3,542	2.33	0.93	19%	33%	19,853
	1998	1,382	3,873	2.26	0.78	20%	70%	22,679
	1999	1,213	2,321	1.95	0.61	19%	48%	13,283
	2000	1,168	2,198	1.88	0.75	14%	32%	12,942
	2001	-	2,624	1.77	0.66	16%	47%	12,879
	2002	-	2,999	2.20	0.78	17%	40%	18,101
	2003	-	3,150	2.77	0.72	23%	78%	23,460

Economic Activity	Year	No. of enterpri ses		Gross Value Added per Employ ee	Total Payroll per Employ ee	ing Surplu	5 /	Output
Manufacture of paper and paper products	1994	64	3,194		2.24	14%	28%	81,041
L	1995		2,998	6.76	2.49	8%		91,143
	1996	75	3,288	5.67	2.49	4%	8%	85,624
	1997	77	3,504	6.00	2.82	2%	3%	89,863
	1998	77	3,301	5.11	2.79	-1%	-1%	89,884
	1999	89	3,445	7.27	2.72	8%	12%	91,534
	2000	95	2,714	9.99	3.12	7%	8%	89,671
	2001	-	2,842	11.89	3.09	11%	15%	104,41 1
	2002	-	2,870	12.56	3.11	12%	17%	106,05 6
	2003	-	2,980	11.95	3.08	11%	15%	106,85 9
Publishing, printing, reproducing recorded media	1994	202	3,055	4.69	2.35	13%	16%	37,161
	1995	203	2,999	7.32	2.82	18%	25%	48,776
	1996	209	3,030	5.84	2.82	10%	13%	46,890
	1997	226	3,450	6.66	3.22	7%	8%	55,265
	1998	235	3,480	7.79	3.26	16%	19%	60,424
	1999	420	4,199	7.72	3.18	18%	22%	67,467
	2000	394	3,594	8.97	3.69	15%	22%	68,849
	2001	-	4,277	8.64	3.44	16%	27%	78,830
	2002	-	4,667	8.85	3.74	15%		84,140
	2003	-	4,619			19%	43%	88,862

Impact of Trade Liberalization on Jordanian Manufacturing and Servic	es Performance 1994-2003
--	--------------------------

Economic Activity	Year	No. of enterpri ses		Gross Value Added per Employ ee	Total Payroll per Employ ee	ing Surplu	Operat ing Surplu s / Fixed Assets	Output
				9.50	3.41			
Manufacture of coke, refined		1	2 0 4 7	7 70	5.07	1.07	1601	380,86
petroleum products	1994	1	3,947	7.72	5.27	1%	16%	4
	1995	1	3,863	9.83	5.36	3%	42%	398,55 4
	1996	1	3,585	14.95	6.67	6%	96%	425,09 1
	1997	1	3,533	16.99	6.15	6%	117%	468,94 0
	1998	1	3,459	17.56	6.88	5%	92%	468,28 1
	1999	1	3,520	17.78	6.40	6%	50%	459,21 1
	2000	1	3,418	18.58	6.53	6%	41%	471,26 3
	2001	-	3,291	19.35	6.65	5%	44%	547,52 8
	2002	-	3,463	19.47	6.71	5%	39%	595,24 8
	2003	-	3,493	19.28	6.94	5%	40%	597,98 1
Manufacture of chemicals, chemical products	1994	184	8,550	11.03	3.71	8%	25%	516,09 4
	1995	198	8,506	12.37	3.96	9%	31%	566,17 2
	1996	215	9,368	11.11	3.81	8%	23%	542,59 2
	1997	228	10,230	12.73	4.02	11%	17%	537,73 2
	1998	234	10,963	15.23	4.33	12%	22%	647,43 2
	1999	202	9,921	18.12	5.53	12%	21%	613,32 9
	2000	219	10,951	15.28	4.76	12%	21%	595,03 8
	2001	-	11,653	15.01	4.63	13%	23%	585,39 2
	2002	-	11,110	16.85	5.03	14%	28%	645,73 6

Economic Activity	Year	No. of enterpri ses		Gross Value Added per Employ ee	Total Payroll per Employ ee	ing Surplu	s / Fixed Assets	Output
	2003	-	11,609	16.94	5.06	15%		663,14 0
Manufacture of rubber and plastics products		179	4,078	6.40	1.80	18%	22%	70,181
	1995	188	4,486	5.13	1.94	4%	6%	96,129
	1996	195	4,297	3.71	1.82	-1%	-1%	73,664
	1997	207	4,750	5.74	1.93	5%	4%	97,377
	1998	193	3,934	5.79	2.03	6%	7%	70,359
	1999	213	3,865	7.33	2.27	11%	14%	85,938
	2000	246	4,635	6.18	2.23	5%	6%	93,200 102,76
	2001	-	5,209	6.72	2.26	10%	13%	4 106,83
	2002	-	4,756	7.87	2.31	12%	19%	6 110,19
	2003	-	4,646	8.50	2.29	14%	21%	5
Manufacture other non-metallic mineral products	1994	1,948	13,426	8.79	1.78	21%	25%	237,03 6
	1995	2,045	13,691	8.10	2.05	15%	18%	233,50 2
	1996	2,061	13,658	7.85	2.06	15%	18%	231,75 9
	1997	2,125	13,932	8.33	2.16	15%	19%	246,33 3
	1998	2,183	14,009	7.45	2.20	13%	15%	227,52 1
	1999	2,181	13,044	9.28	2.57	16%	19%	244,96 8
	2000	2,288	13,655	8.81	2.61	14%	18%	255,64 0
	2001	-	14,218	9.73	2.31	18%	26%	286,33 8
	2002	-	13,857			21%	33%	307,33

Economic Activity	Year	No. of enterpri ses		Gross Value Added per Employ ee	Total Payroll per Employ ee	ing Surplu	Operat ing Surplu s / Fixed Assets	Output
				11.03	2.27			2
	2003		14,020	11.97	2.44	22%	41%	338,31 7
	2005	-	14,020	11.97	2.44	2270	4170	104,22
Manufacture of basic metals	1994	41	2,044	14.11	3.09	7%	19%	7
	1995	32	1,862	14.59	3.29	6%	17%	105,25 8
	1996	37	1,750	15.97	3.65	5%	13%	121,82 2
		36	1,839		3.77	4%	10%	107,04 5
		38	2,014		3.19	7%	11%	100,65
		103	2,887		3.07	5%	6%	122,38 4
	1999	105	2,007	12.03	5.07	570	070	4 117,97
	2000	42	2,723	16.51	3.04	11%	12%	4
	2001	-	2,578	19.81	3.21	14%	19%	143,05 3
	2002	-	2,599	18.87	3.84	11%	19%	142,25 0
	2003	-	3,426	20.03	3.81	14%	27%	185,87 6
Manufacture of fabricated metal products, except machinery and								
equipment		2,678	9,679	3.11	0.97	20%	40%	81,566
	1995	2,969	10,805	2.92	1.09	13%	18%	97,305
	1996	3,020	10,943	2.16	1.07	3%	4%	87,105
	1997	3,118	11,060	2.53	1.15	8%	11%	86,496
	1998	3,228	10,985	2.64	1.09	10%	20%	86,712
	1999	3,785	11,215	3.08	1.14	14%	21%	91,269
	2000	3,772	11,142	3.47	1.25	14%	22%	106,39 3
	2000	-	11,226			16%	31%	120,60

Economic Activity	Year	No. of enterpri ses		Gross Value Added per Employ ee	Total Payroll per Employ ee	ing Surplu	S /	Output
				4.00	1.25			5
								120,29
	2002	-	12,303	3.52	1.19	15%	30%	8
	2003		12 600	2.00	1 1 1	170	2007	138,85
		-	12,699	3.98	1.11	17%	39%	6
Manufacture of machinery and		150	0 (1 1	5.25	1.00	1407	2101	12 010
equipment n.e.c.	1994	156	2,644	5.35	1.88	14%	31%	43,919
	1995	170	2,600	5.59	2.16	11%	23%	49,497
	1996	178	2,926	4.45	2.04	8%	18%	45,266
	1997	175	2,895	5.94	2.30	13%	22%	44,841
	1998	159	2,881	5.37	2.98	4%	8%	48,427
	1999	298	2,752	5.71	2.54	10%	16%	41,997
	2000	190	3,308	5.50	2.43	9%	24%	54,529
	2001	-	3,451	6.06	2.68	10%	22%	58,323
	2002	-	3,420	6.76	2.65	13%	29%	66,991
	2003	-	3,672	6.86	2.63	13%	30%	72,201
Manufacture of electrical								
machinery n.e.c.	1994	27	962	9.36	2.30	16%	22%	29,672
	1995	27	918	7.89	2.45	9%	13%	31,421
	1996	31	1,305	9.31	3.07	9%	11%	47,980
	1997	28	903	7.69	2.96	1%	1%	31,794
	1998	30	1,548	10.80	3.20	6%	5%	63,514
	1999	86	1,767	8.05	2.97	4%	3%	62,766
	2000	36	1,193	14.73	3.35	12%	26%	63,256

Economic Activity	Year	No. of enterpri ses		Gross Value Added per Employ ee	Total Payroll per Employ ee	ing Surplu	S /	
	2001	-	1,352	13.27	3.38	10%	25%	71,747
	2002	-	1,560	12.25	3.15	10%	27%	75,826
	2003	-	1,727	13.74	2.94	11%	30%	88,247
Manufacture medical, precision, optics, watches		52	328	2.60	0.98	13%	8%	2,009
optics,watches								
	1995	66	493	3.02	1.44	13%	12%	3,413
	1996	68	400	2.65	1.88	0%	0%	3,292
	1997	75	524	3.85	1.80	7%	4%	5,214
	1998	73	488	4.12	2.36	4%	5%	5,470
	1999	168	805	3.69	1.84	9%	12%	7,062
	2000	172	883	3.29	1.85	5%	5%	7,809
	2001	-	1,074	2.86	1.79	0%	0%	8,079
	2002	-	967	4.97	2.18	12%	11%	11,044
	2003	-	994	5.35	2.29	12%	13%	12,255
Manufacture of motor vehicles, trailers	1994	32	1,103	14.28	2.18	30%	197%	43,018
	1995	31	1,107	7.40	2.10	17%	92%	29,215
	1996	33	963	3.19	2.22	1%	1%	15,043
	1997	33	821	7.01	2.35	18%	61%	16,638
	1998	28	702	6.00	2.52	12%	42%	14,007
		71	1,076	6.40	2.57	9%	20%	20,135
	2000	49	1,057			14%	46%	23,935

Economic Activity	Year	No. of enterpri ses		Added per Employ ee	Total Payroll per Employ ee	ing Surplu	s /	Output
				6.75	2.55			
	2001	-	1,327	7.49	2.41	18%	80%	30,380
	2002	-	1,508	7.79	2.51	19%	80%	33,597
	2003	-	1,486	7.55	2.55	17%	63%	31,748
Manufacture of other transport equipment		3	22		1.30	25%	75%	134
	1995	4	20	2.64	1.18	30%	33%	73
	1996	4	20	1.21	1.02	-5%	-4%	43
	1999	3	15	3.69	2.18	5%	4%	97
	2000	3	7	9.96	4.06	23%	80%	140
	2001	-	8	2.74	2.33	-7%	-7%	54
	2002	-	12	6.73	2.54	29%	64%	144
	2003	-	10	10.88	2.45	40%	106%	185
Manufacture of furniture; manufacturing n.e.c.		2,105	8,539	2.78	1.08	18%	44%	65,575
	1995	2,378	9,233	2.56	1.15	13%	24%	69,654
	1996	2,439	10,025	2.27	1.11	11%	20%	66,793
	1997	2,476	9,661	2.49	1.03	16%	28%	60,460
	1998	2,537	9,717	3.05	1.24	17%	30%	73,124
	1999	3,272	10,073	2.58	1.09	16%	29%	69,850
	2000	3,381	12,372	2.30	1.04	15%	29%	71,956
	2001	-	11,581	2.70	1.10	15%	30%	83,759

Impact of Trade Liberalization on Jordanian Manufacturing and Services Performance 1994-2003	
--	--

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	t
Electricity, gas, steam and hot water supply199435,16913.554.9015%7%7199535,31515.024.4719%9%8199635,50815.184.9514%4%4199736,04316.035.1512%3%7199835,74516.955.989%3%2199955,78416.875.486%1%7200056,31316.335.215%1%82001-6,63917.805.349%3%52002-6,74919.045.3912%4%52003-6,86417.995.329%3%0Total Industry199412,49018.112.2911.5%20%14	
Electricity, gas, steam and hot water supply199435,16913.554.9015%7%7199535,31515.024.4719%9%8199635,50815.184.9514%4%4199736,04316.035.1512%3%7199835,74516.955.989%3%2199955,78416.875.486%1%7200056,31316.335.215%1%82001-6,63917.805.349%3%52002-6,74919.045.3912%4%52003-6,86417.995.329%3%0Total Industry199412,49018.112.2911.5%20%14	1
water supply199435,16913.554.9015%7%7199535,31515.024.4719%9%8199635,50815.184.9514%4%4199736,04316.035.1512%3%7199835,74516.955.989%3%2199955,78416.875.486%1%7200056,31316.335.215%1%82001-6,63917.805.349%3%52002-6,74919.045.3912%4%52003-6,86417.995.329%3%0Total Industry199412,49018.112.2911.5%20%14	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	
19983 $5,745$ 16.95 5.98 9% 3% 2 19995 $5,784$ 16.87 5.48 6% 1% $233,5$ 20005 $6,313$ 16.87 5.48 6% 1% $248,5$ 2001- $6,639$ 17.80 5.34 9% 3% 5 2002- $6,749$ 19.04 5.39 12% 4% 5 2003- $6,864$ 17.99 5.32 9% 3% 0 Total Industry 1994 $12,490$ 1 8.11 2.29 11.5% 20% 14	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	
2002 - 6,749 19.04 5.39 12% 4% 285,2 291,2 291,2 291,2 0 291,2 0 291,2 0 271,2 0 271,2 0 271,2 0 271,2 0 271,2 0 271,2 0 271,2 0 271,2 0 271,2 0 274,6 109,36 109,36 11.5% 20% 14 274,6 14	,
2003 - 6,864 17.99 5.32 9% 3% 291,2 Total Industry 1994 12,490 1 8.11 2.29 11.5% 20% 14	1
Total Industry 1994 12,490 109,36 2,746 2,746	
Total Industry 1994 12,490 1 8.11 2.29 11.5% 20% 14	
	/
1995 13,782 0 8.53 2.42 11.0% 20% 30	3
1996 14,118 116,25 2.50 9.2% 14% 3,041	1
119,98 3,254	2
<u>1997</u> 14,614 8 8.79 2.58 9.5% 13% 65	
1998 15,092 2 9.21 2.90 9.0% 12% 73	נ
126,56 3,410	7
1999 18,200 9 9.43 3.03 9.3% 11% 62	
2000 18,384 0 9.36 2.80 10.8% 13% 80	3
2000 18,384 0 9.50 2.60 10.87 157 30 200 2001 - 140,00 12.3% 17% 3,740	5

Economic Activity	Year	enterpri	No. of employ ees	Added per Employ	Payroll per Employ	ing Surplu s /	Operat ing Surplu s / Fixed Assets		
			9	9.66	2.74			36	
			139,61					4,080,0	
	2002	-	6	10.36	2.84	12.8%	19%	21	
			142,45					4,335,4	
	2003	-	6	10.97	2.88	14.1%	23%	79	